



Research Article

On the P-Adic Valuations of Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

S. S. Singh^{1*}, A. Lalchhuangliana¹, P. K. Saikia²

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Mizoram University, Aizawl, Mizoram, India

²Department of Mathematics, North Eastern Hill University, Shillong, Meghalaya, India

E-mail: sssanasam@yahoo.com

Received: 16 November 2020; **Revised:** 7 January 2021; **Accepted:** 15 January 2021

Abstract: In this paper, we introduced certain formulas for p -adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n, k)$ denoted by $v_p(S(n, k))$ for an odd prime p and positive integers k such that $n \geq k$. We have obtained the formulas, $v_p(S(n, n-a))$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $v_p(S(cp^n, cp^k))$ for $1 \leq c \leq p-1$ and primality test of positive integer n . We have presented the results of $v_p(S(p^2, kp))$ for $2 \leq k \leq p-1$, $2 < p < 100$ and a table of $v_p(S(p, k))$. We have posed the following conjectures from our analysis:

1. Let $p \neq 7$ be an odd prime and k be an even integer such that $0 < k < p-1$. Then

$$v_p(S(p^2, kp)) - v_p(S(p^2, p(k+1))) = 3.$$

2. If k be an integer such that $1 < k < p-1$, then the p -adic valuations satisfy

$$v_p(S(p^2, kp)) = \begin{cases} 5 \text{ or } 6, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 2 \text{ or } 3, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for any prime $p > 7$.

3. For any primes p and positive integer k such that $2 \leq k \leq p-1$, then

$$v_p(S(p, k)) \leq 2.$$

Keywords: p -adic valuations, stirling numbers of the second kind, congruence, primes, minimum period

MSC: 05A18, 11A51, 11B73, 11E95

1. Introduction

Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds were introduced by James Stirling [1]. These numbers have been found to be of great utility in various branches of Mathematics such as combinatorics, number theory, calculus of finite differences, theory of algorithms, etc. The p -adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind appear frequently

in algebraic topology by Davis [2] to obtain new results related to James numbers, v_1 -periodic homotopy groups and exponents of $SU(n)$. More details of Stirling numbers of the second kind may be seen on Comtet [3] and Graham et al. [4].

Stirling numbers of the second kind are more interesting than the first kind by their intrinsic nature. There are many interesting results of 2-adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind in the open literature. Recently, Wannemacker's proof [5] of Lengyel's conjecture [6], results of $v_2(k!S(c - 2n + u, k))$ for $c > 0$ by Lengyel [7], the proof of Wannemacker's conjecture by Hong [8], the works of Amdeberhan et al. [9] and Zhao et al. [10] are other notable results of 2-adic valuation. Gessel and Lengyel [11] proved that for an arbitrary prime p and $n = a(p - 1)p^q$, $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$v_p(k!S(n, k)) = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{p-1} + \tau(k) \right\rfloor,$$

where a and q are positive integers such that $(a, p) = 1$, q is sufficiently large, $\frac{k}{p}$ is an odd integer and $\tau(p)$ is a non-negative integer.

Strauss [12] and Pan [13] discussed the problems of 3-adic valuations and 2-adic valuations of certain sums of binomial coefficients respectively. Sun [14] also presented the results of p -adic valuations for multinomial coefficients. Friedland [15] used 2-adic valuations of certain ratios of factorials to prove a conjecture of Falikman-Friedland-Lowery on the parity of degrees of projective varieties of $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrices of rank at most k . Some more results of p -adic valuations are also given in Gouvea [16], Koblitz [17] and Adelberg [18].

This paper consists of some interesting results about p -adic valuations for a few class of Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n, k)$. This number $v_p(S(n, k))$, where either n or k is related to p , has been obtained independently for some values of p , n and k . The values of $v_p(S(n, k))$ are computed by using GP/PARI software and they are presented in Table 1.

2. Materials and methods

Definition 2.1 Let p be a prime. For any non-zero integer a , the p -adic valuation of a , denoted by $v_p(a)$, is defined as the exponent of the highest power of p dividing a .

It may be noted that $v_p(0) = \infty$ and $v_p(a)$ for a non-zero integer a , is a non-negative integer.

So, $v_3(25) = 0$, $v_3(25) = 2$.

Note that, for any prime p , $v_p(\pm 1) = 0$. For a given prime p and any two integers a and b , we have

$$v_p(a + b) \geq \min\{v_p(a), v_p(b)\}, \quad v_p(ab) = v_p(a) + v_p(b).$$

The p -adic valuation v_p can further be extended to the field of rational numbers, $r = \frac{a}{b}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \neq 0$ as

$$v_p(r) = v_p(a) - v_p(b).$$

Definition 2.2 Given two non-negative integers n and k , not both zero, the Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, k)$ is defined as the number of ways one can partition a set with n elements into exactly k non-empty subsets.

Example 2.1 All partitions of the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ into 2 non-empty subsets are $\{1\}, \{2, 3, 4\}$; $\{2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}$; $\{3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}$; $\{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}$; $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}$; $\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}$ and $\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}$. Hence, $S(4, 2) = 7$.

By convention, we set $S(0, 0) = 1$ and $S(0, k) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Thus, $S(n, k)$ is the number of ways of distributing n distinct balls into k indistinguishable boxes (the order of the boxes does not count) such that no box is empty.

It is clear that $S(n, k) = 0$ if $1 \leq n < k$ and $S(n, n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$.

We use the following properties to prove the results of $v_p(S(n, k))$:

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} i^n, \tag{1}$$

which gives

$$S(n, 2) = 2^{n-1} - 1, S(n, 1) = 1, S(n, 0) = 0. \quad (2)$$

It is easy to derive the following specific identities of $S(n, k)$ using the results of ([19] p. 115-116).

$$S(n, n-1) = \binom{n}{2} \text{ if } n \geq 2, \quad (3)$$

$$S(n, n-2) = \binom{n}{3} + 3\binom{n}{4} \text{ if } n \geq 4, \quad (4)$$

$$S(n, n-3) = \binom{n}{4} + 10\binom{n}{5} + 15\binom{n}{6} \text{ if } n \geq 6. \quad (5)$$

3. Results

In this section, we present some basic results of the p -adic valuations of Stirling numbers starting with $S(n, n-1)$ for $n > 1$.

Proposition 3.1 For any positive integer $n > 1$ and an odd prime p

$$v_p(S(n, n-1)) = v_p(n) + v_p(n-1).$$

Proof. Using the identity (3), we have

$$S(n, n-1) = \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

The multiplicative property of $v_p(a)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(S(n, n-1)) &= v_p(n) + v_p(n-1) - v_p(2) \\ &= v_p(n) + v_p(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

as $v_p(2) = 0$, p being odd.

Applying Kummer's theorem [20] to the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{2} = S(n, n-1)$, the above result can be put in the following form

$$v_p(S(n, n-1)) = \frac{s_p(n-2) - s_p(n) + 2}{p-1}, \quad (6)$$

where $s_p(n)$ denotes the sum of the p -adic digits of n .

Corollary 3.1 Let p be an odd prime. For any positive integer n and c such that $\gcd(p, c) = 1$,

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 1)) = n.$$

Proof. By the proposition, we have

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 1)) = v_p(cp^n) + v_p(cp^n - 1).$$

Since $v_p(cp^n - 1) = 0$ and using the multiplicative property of $v_p(a)$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 1)) &= v_p(cp^n) \\ &= n + v_p(c). \end{aligned}$$

As $\gcd(p, c) = 1$, it is clear that $v_p(c) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.2 For any positive integer $n \geq 2$ and an odd prime p ,

$$v_p(S(n, n-2)) = \begin{cases} v_p(n) + v_p(n-1) + v_p(n-2) + v_p(3n-5), & \text{if } p > 3, \\ v_3(n) + v_3(n-1) + v_3(n-2) - 1, & \text{if } p = 3. \end{cases}$$

These results can be proved in the similar manner.

Corollary 3.2 For any positive integer n and an odd prime p ,

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 2)) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } p > 5, \\ n+1, & \text{if } p = 5 \text{ and } n > 1, \\ n-1, & \text{if } p = 3, \end{cases}$$

if c is a positive integer not divisible by p .

Proposition 3.3 Let p be an odd prime. For any positive integer $n \geq 6$,

$$v_p(S(n, n-3)) = \begin{cases} v_p(n) + v_p(n-1) + 2v_p(n-2) + 2v_p(n-3), & \text{if } p \geq 5, \\ v_p(n) + v_p(n-1) + 2v_p(n-2) + 2v_p(n-3) - 1, & \text{if } p = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using the identity (5), we have

$$S(n, n-3) = \binom{n}{4} + 10 \binom{n}{5} + 15 \binom{n}{6}, \quad \text{if } n \geq 6.$$

It can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S(n, n-3) &= \binom{n}{4} \left[\frac{n^2 - 5n + 6}{2} \right] \\ &= \binom{n}{4} \left[\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)^2(n-3)^2}{2^4 \cdot 3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The multiplicative property of $v_p(-)$ implies that

$$v_p(S(n, n-3)) = v_p(n) + v_p(n-1) + 2v_p(n-2) + 2v_p(n-3) - v_p(3)$$

as $v_p(2) = 0$ and p being odd.

Using Kummer's theorem [20] to $\binom{n}{4}$, we get the following result,

$$v_p(S(n, n-3)) = \frac{s_p(n-4) - s_p(n) + s_p(4)}{p-1} + v_p(n-2) + v_p(n-3). \quad (7)$$

where $s_p(n)$ denotes the sum of the p -adic digits of n . This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.3 For any positive integer n and odd prime p , the following result holds

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 3)) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } p > 3, \\ n+1, & \text{if } p = 3, \end{cases}$$

if p does not divide c (provided $cp^n \neq 3$ if $p = 3$).

Proof. By the proposition, we have

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 3)) = v_p(cp^n) + v_p(cp^n - 1) + v_p(cp^n - 2) + 2v_p(cp^n - 3) - v_p(3).$$

Since $v_p(cp^n - 1) = v_p(cp^n - 2) = v_p(cp^n - 3) = v_p(3) = 0$ if $p \geq 5$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 3)) &= v_p(cp^n) \\ &= n + v_p(c). \end{aligned}$$

As $\gcd(p, c) = 1$, it is clear that $v_p(c) = 0$.

For the case $p = 3$, $2v_3(c3^n - 3) - v_3(3) = 1$ and $v_3(c3^n - 1) = v_3(c3^n - 2) = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} v_3(S(c3^n, c3^n - 3)) &= v_p(c3^n) + 1 \\ &= n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Now, we give an alternate proof of the primality of integer n by divisibility of $S(n, k)$ given by Deamio and Touset [21]. The proof of corollary 2 in their paper is not correct if we take $n = 4$ and $p = 2$, then $S(4, 3) = 6 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $2 \mid S(4, 3)$. We tackled this problem, in this paper, more simpler manner. This problem with an alternate solution also appears in Pólya et al. [22].

Theorem 3.1 If p is an odd prime, then $p \mid S(n, k)$ if $s_p(k) > s_p(n)$.

The above theorem is an immediate consequence of ([18], Lemma 2.1) which states that

$$v_p(S(n, k)) \geq \frac{s_p(k) - s_p(n)}{p-1}. \quad (8)$$

Replacing n by an odd prime p in the above theorem, we get the following results.

Corollary 3.4 If p is an odd prime, then $p \mid S(p, k)$ if $2 \leq k \leq p-1$.

The problem in the above Corollary 3.4 appears in Graham et al. [4] and proof was given by Demaio and Touset [21].

Theorem 3.2 A positive integer n is a prime if and only if $n \mid S(n, k)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. The generating function of $S(n, k)$ in terms of falling powers is given by

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) \{x\}_k \quad (9)$$

for any non-negative integer n .

If n is a positive integer such that $n \mid S(n, k)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, put $x = n$ in Equation (9)

$$\begin{aligned} n^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) \{n\}_k \\ &= \{n\}_n + \{n\}_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} S(n, k) \{n\}_k \\ &= n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + n + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} n(n-1) \cdots (n-(k-1)) S(n, k). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$n^{n-1} = (n-1)(n-2) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-(k-1)) S(n, k)$$

Since $n \mid S(n, k)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we get

$$0 \equiv (n-1)! + 1 \pmod{n}$$

or

$$(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}.$$

Hence, n is prime.

The converse follows from Corollary 3.4.

Lemma 3.1 If p is a prime, then

$$v_p \left(\binom{p-1}{i} - (-1)^i \right) \geq 1 \text{ or } v_p \left(\binom{p-1}{i} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. For $i = 0$, the case is trivial.

We assume that $i > 0$. The binomial coefficient $\binom{p-1}{i}$ is given by

$$\binom{p-1}{i} = \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-1-i)!i!}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} i! \binom{p-1}{i} &= (p-1)(p-2) \cdots (p-i+2)(p-i+1)(p-i) \\ &\equiv (-1)(-2) \cdots (-i) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (-1)^i i! \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < i < p$, $\gcd(p, i) = 1$. Then,

$$\binom{p-1}{i} \equiv (-1)^i \pmod{p}.$$

Theorem 3.3 Let p be an odd prime. For any positive integer $n \geq p$,

$$v_p(S(n, p)) = 0$$

if and only if $(p-1) \mid (n-1)$.

Proof. Using the above Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p!S(n, p) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \binom{p}{i} (-1)^{p-i} i^n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^p \binom{p}{i} (-1)^{p-i} i^n \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\binom{p}{i} = \binom{p-1}{i-1} \frac{p}{i}$, we get

$$(p-1)!S(n, p) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{p-i} i^{n-1}.$$

Using Wilson's theorem, the preceding congruence reduces to

$$S(n, p) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i^{n-1} \pmod{p},$$

as p is odd.

Now, we use the following well known results

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i^{n-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } (p-1) \nmid (n-1) \\ -1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } (p-1) \mid (n-1). \end{cases}$$

Hence, the theorem follows.

Theorem 3.4 Let p be an odd prime and c be a positive integer such that $1 \leq c \leq p-1$. Then, for positive integers n and k such that $k \leq n$,

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^k)) = 0.$$

Proof. The theorem is a special case of ([18], Th. 2.2).

We have

$$cp^n - cp^k = c(p^n - p^k) = c(p-1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} p^{j+k}$$

which implies that $cp^n - cp^k$ is divisible by $p-1$. We also have $1 \leq c \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq cp^k \leq cp^n$.

It follows that $S(cp^n, cp^k)$ is a minimum zero case and hence we have

$$v_p(S(cp^n, cp^k)) = \frac{s_p(cp^k) - s_p(cp^n)}{p-1} = 0, \quad (10)$$

since $s_p(cp^n) = s_p(cp^k) = s_p(c) = c$.

Theorem 3.5 Let p be an odd prime, then

$$v_p(S(p^n, 2p)) \geq n$$

for every integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Using identity (1)

$$(2p)!S(p^n, 2p) = \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{i} (-1)^{2p-i} i^{p^n}$$

which can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (2p)!S(p^n, 2p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{2p-i} (-1)^i (2p-i)^{p^n} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{i} (-1)^{2p-i} (2p-i)^{p^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\binom{m}{i} = \binom{m}{m-i}$ for every integers $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $2p-i \equiv i \pmod{2}$, we have

$$2(2p)!S(p^n, 2p) = \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \binom{2p}{i} (-1)^{2p-i} (i^{p^n} + (2p-i)^{p^n}). \quad (11)$$

If $p \nmid i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2p$, then

$$2p-i \equiv -i \pmod{p},$$

which also yields the congruence

$$(2p-i)^{p^n} \equiv -(i)^{p^n} \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\binom{2p}{i} (-1)^{2p-i} ((2p-i)^{p^n} + (i)^{p^n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+2}}, \text{ since } p \mid \binom{2p}{i}. \quad (12)$$

Thus, each terms of the right hand side of (11) is divisible by p^{n+2} and hence

$$(2p)!S(p^2, 2p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+2}}$$

Therefore

$$v_p(2(2p)!S(p^2, 2p)) \geq n+2$$

$$v_p(S(p^2, 2p)) \geq n$$

Hence, the theorem follows.

Theorem 3.6 Let p be a prime and n and k be two positive integers with $k \leq p - 1$, then there exists a positive integer m in $1 \leq m < p - 1$ such that

$$S(n, k) \equiv \begin{cases} S(m, k) \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}, \\ (p-1-k)! \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By division algorithm, we have

$$n = (p-1)q + m$$

where q is the quotient and m is the remainder such that $0 \leq m < p - 1$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} k!S(n, k) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} i^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} i^{(p-1)q+m} \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} i^m \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

since $i^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k \leq p - 1$ by Fermat's little theorem.

If $m \neq 0$, we have

$$k!S(n, k) \equiv k!S(m, k) \pmod{p}.$$

Since k is less than p , it follows that $p \nmid k!$ which results

$$S(n, k) \equiv S(m, k) \pmod{p}.$$

for every n such that $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$.

Next, if $m = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k!S(n, k) &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} - (-1)^k \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{k+1} \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

We also know that

$$\binom{p-1}{k} \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{p} \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{(p-1)!}{(p-1-k)!k!} \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{p} \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{1}{k!} \equiv (-1)^{k+1}(p-1-k)! \pmod{p}$$

which implies that

$$S(n, k) \equiv (p-1-k)! \pmod{p},$$

which completes the proof.

From the above theorem, we see that if $1 \leq m < k$

$$S(n, k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ since } S(m, k) = 0.$$

However, the case for $m = k$ results

$$S(n, k) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

We can write the following results

Corollary 3.5 Let p be an odd prime and k be a positive integer less than p , then

$$S(n, k) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv k \pmod{p-1}, \\ 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv i \pmod{p-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \end{cases}$$

If we applied the above theorem and corollary to the special cases for $k = p-1$, $p-2$ and $p-3$, we get

$$S(n, p-1) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}, \\ 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$S(n, p-2) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0, p-2 \pmod{p-1}, \\ 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$S(n, p-3) \equiv \begin{cases} 2 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}, \\ 3 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv p-2 \pmod{p-1}, \\ 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } n \equiv p-3 \pmod{p-1}, \\ 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

assuming $p \neq 3$ for the last two cases.

4. Discussions

We have computed $v_p(S(p^2, kp))$ for primes $3 \leq p \leq 100$ and $2 \leq k \leq p-1$ using PARI/GP software.

Table 1. (p, k) such that $v_p(S(p, k)) = 2$ for $3 \leq p \leq 1000$ and $2 \leq k \leq p - 1$

(p, k)	(p, k)	(p, k)	(p, k)	(p, k)	(p, k)	(p, k)
(5, 3)	(167, 7)	(307, 12)	(463, 340)	(653, 429)	(857, 592)	(947, 204)
(13, 5)	(167, 103)	(307, 146)	(467, 278)	(659, 457)	(859, 300)	(947, 478)
(19, 14)	(173, 52)	(317, 188)	(499, 63)	(661, 417)	(859, 357)	(953, 391)
(29, 14)	(181, 166)	(331, 20)	(499, 320)	(677, 367)	(859, 558)	(977, 476)
(31, 16)	(193, 23)	(337, 261)	(509, 324)	(683, 271)	(863, 712)	(991, 953)
(41, 13)	(193, 45)	(353, 162)	(521, 169)	(683, 401)	(877, 77)	(997, 786)
(42, 12)	(197, 85)	(359, 96)	(521, 180)	(691, 468)	(877, 204)	
(47, 12)	(211, 62)	(359, 316)	(521, 479)	(709, 330)	(877, 542)	
(53, 5)	(211, 159)	(373, 230)	(523, 343)	(709, 371)	(881, 63)	
(53, 41)	(223, 61)	(379, 253)	(523, 483)	(709, 669)	(881, 72)	
(53, 45)	(227, 187)	(383, 323)	(569, 123)	(733, 47)	(881, 408)	
(59, 35)	(229, 25)	(397, 27)	(569, 348)	(743, 23)	(881, 625)	
(73, 8)	(233, 7)	(397, 78)	(569, 363)	(751, 744)	(887, 149)	
(79, 14)	(239, 134)	(401, 198)	(577, 119)	(761, 54)	(887, 208)	
(89, 32)	(239, 219)	(409, 45)	(577, 434)	(773, 143)	(887, 443)	
(89, 34)	(241, 15)	(409, 80)	(593, 498)	(773, 262)	(907, 611)	
(107, 16)	(251, 233)	(419, 133)	(601, 303)	(787, 228)	(911, 560)	
(127, 8)	(251, 247)	(419, 256)	(601, 515)	(797, 290)	(919, 163)	
(139, 28)	(257, 131)	(419, 310)	(607, 173)	(809, 119)	(929, 347)	
(149, 5)	(269, 98)	(431, 25)	(607, 242)	(811, 733)	(929, 469)	
(151, 50)	(271, 211)	(431, 112)	(607, 518)	(821, 533)	(929, 801)	
(151, 58)	(283, 91)	(431, 116)	(617, 209)	(827, 257)	(937, 528)	
(157, 45)	(283, 201)	(433, 91)	(647, 117)	(827, 765)	(941, 342)	
(163, 101)	(293, 76)	(439, 308)	(647, 309)	(839, 50)	(947, 85)	
(163, 127)	(293, 162)	(461, 341)	(653, 369)	(839, 744)	(947, 116)	

The obtained values of $v_p(S(p^2, kp))$ for different values of (p, k) are

$$v_p(S(p^2, kp)) = \begin{cases} 7, & \text{if } (p, k) = (7, 4) \\ 6, & \text{if } (p, k) = (37, 4), (59, 14), (67, 8) \\ 3, & \text{if } k = p - 1 \text{ and } (p, k) = (37, 5), (59, 15), (67, 9) \\ 5, & \text{if } k \text{ is even and } (p, k) \neq (7, 4), (37, 4), (59, 14), (67, 8) \\ 2, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } (p, k) \neq (37, 5), (59, 15), (67, 9). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We also provide in Table 1, the pairs of p and k where $v_p(S(p, k)) = 2$ for $3 \leq p \leq 1000$ and $2 \leq k \leq p - 1$. It should be noted that $v_p(S(p, k)) = 1$ for all the remaining pairs (p, k) .

After a closed examinations of the output, we have observed that the arrays of $v_p(S(p^2, kp))$ follow certain patterns which interpret as conjectures.

1. Let $p > 7$ be an odd prime and k be an even integer such that $0 < k < p - 1$. Then

$$v_p(S(p^2, kp)) - v_p(S(p^2, p(k+1))) = 3.$$

2. If k be an integer such that $1 < k < p - 1$, then the p -adic valuations satisfy

$$v_p(S(p^2, kp)) = \begin{cases} 5 \text{ or } 6, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 2 \text{ or } 3, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for any prime $p > 7$.

3. For any odd prime p and a positive integer k such that $2 \leq k \leq p - 1$,

$$v_p(S(p, k)) \leq 2.$$

5. Conclusions

This paper deals with some results of p -adic valuations of Stirling number of the second kind, $S(n, k)$ for odd prime p . We have derived the formulas for $v_p(S(n, n - 1))$, $v_p(S(cp^n, cp^n - 1))$, $v_p(S(n, n - 2))$, $v_p(S(p^n, p^n - 2))$, $v_p(S(n, n - 3))$ and $v_p(S(p^n, p^n - 3))$. It has been shown the primality test of n using divisibility of n to $S(n, k)$, $1 < k < n$. We have obtained the results that $v_p(S(n, p))$ depends on the divisibility of $n - 1$ by $p - 1$ and $v_p(S(cp^n, cp^k)) = 0$ for every integer $n \geq k \geq 1$ and $p - 1 \geq c \geq 1$. We also posed three conjectures after analyzing Table 1 and computational results of (13).

References

- [1] Stirling J. *Methodus Differentialis*. London; 1730. English translation. *The Differential Method*. 1749.
- [2] Davis DM. Divisibility by 2 and 3 of certain Stirling numbers. *Integers*. 2008; 8: A56. Available from: arxiv.org/abs/0807.2629.
- [3] Comtet L. *Advanced Combinatorics*. Dordrecht/Boston: D. Reidel Publishing Company; 1974.
- [4] Graham RL, Knuth DE, Patashnik O. *Concrete Mathematics. A Foundation for Computer Science*. (2nd ed.). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company; 1994.
- [5] Wannemacker SD. On 2-adic orders of Stirling numbers of the second kind. *Integers*. 2005; 5: A21.
- [6] Lengyel T. On the divisibility by 2 of the Stirling numbers of the second kind. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*. 1994; 32: 194-201.
- [7] Lengyel T. On the 2-adic order of Stirling numbers of the second kind and their differences. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*. 2009; AK: 561-572.
- [8] Hong S, Zhao J, Zhao W. The 2-adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind. *International Journal of Number Theory*. 2012; 8: 1057-1066. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042112500625>.
- [9] Amdeberhan T, Manna D, Moll VH. The 2-adic valuation of Stirling numbers. *Experimental Mathematics*. 2008; 17: 69-82. Available from: <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.em/1227031898>.
- [10] Zhao J, Hong S, Zhao W. Divisibility by 2 of Stirling numbers of the second kind and their differences. *Journal of Number Theory*. 2014; 140: 324-348. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.01.005>.
- [11] Gessel I, Lengyel T. On the order of Stirling Numbers and alternating binomial coefficient sums. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*. 2001; 39: 444-454.
- [12] Strauss N, Shallit J, Zagier D. Some strange 3-adic identities. *American Mathematical Monthly*. 1992; 99(1): 66-69. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2324560>.
- [13] Pan H, Sun ZW. On 2-adic orders of some binomial sums. *Journal of Number Theory*. 2010; 130: 2701-2706. Available from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4945>.15.
- [14] Sun ZW. p -adic valuations of some sums of multinomial coefficients. *Acta Arithmetica*. 2011; 148: 63-76. Available from: DOI: 10.4064/aa148-1-5.
- [15] Friedland S, Krattenthaler C. 2-adic valuations of certain ratios of products of factorials and applications. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. 2007; 426: 159-189. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2007.04.008>.
- [16] Gouvea FQ. *p -adic Numbers*. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1993.
- [17] Koblitz N. *p -adic Numbers, p -adic Analysis and Zeta-function*. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1977.
- [18] Adelberg A. The p -adic analysis of Stirling numbers via higher order Bernoulli numbers. *International Journal of Number Theory*. 2018; 14(10): 2767-2779. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042118501671>.

- [19] Quaintance J, Gould HW. *Combinatorial Identities for Stirling Numbers*. The unpublished notes of H. W. Gould, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore; 2016.
- [20] Mihet D. Legendre's and Kummer's theorems again. *Resonance*. 2010; 15: 1111-1121. Available from: <https://www.ias.ac.in/article/fulltext/reso/015/12/1111-1121>.
- [21] Demaio J, Touset S. Stirling numbers of the second kind and primality. *Proceedings of the 2008 International Conference on Foundations of Computer Science*. Las Vegas, Nevada, USA. CSREA Press. 2008.
- [22] Pólya G, Takács L, Broline D. S1. *The American Mathematical Monthly*. 1980; 87(2): 133-134. Available from: DOI: 10.2307/2321996.