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#### Abstract

Several new families have been introduced in the last two decades to extend well-known distributions, and at the same time provide great flexibility for modelling real data. We propose the Odd Pareto-G family with two extra shape parameters, and obtain some of its mathematical properties, which give important information about its structure and may be useful in future research. We construct a new regression model based on a special distribution of the new family. Maximum likelihood estimation and simulations are addressed, and these results show that the estimates are consistent for different percentages of censorship. Four applications to real data show the usefulness of the new models, reveal that they are suitable for the presented data, and can be considered interesting alternatives to model censored and uncensored lifetime data.
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## 1. Introduction

Although there were already hundreds of distributions before the 90 's decade, various families to generate new distributions by adding extra parameter(s) to a parent distribution have been published recently such as the Marshall-Olkin [1], exponentiated-G [2], beta-G [3], odd log-logistic-G [4], Kumaraswamy-G [5], McDonald-G [6], exponentiated generalized-G [7], transformer T-X [8], Weibull-G [9], Libby-Novick beta [10], exponentiated halflogistic [11], logistic-X [12], Lomax-G [13], Generalized Odd Log-Logistic (GOLL) [14], modified power [15], and modified alpha power [16], generalized family of exponentiated composite distributions [17], exponentiated Teissier distribution [18], among others. The process of generating new distributions aims to create a more adequate model for explaining the data under investigation than other models.

The most common technique for generating a new family is by composing the cumulative distribution function (cdf),

[^0]say $H(x)$, of a distribution with a function, $\Psi(\cdot)$, of a parent $\operatorname{cdf} G(x)$ to produce the cdf (or survival function) of the new distribution [8]. For example, if $\Psi_{1}[x]=G(x)$ and $\Psi_{2}[x]=-\ln G(x)$, then
$$
F(x)=H\left(\Psi_{1}(x)\right)=H(G(x))
$$
is a new cdf, and
$$
\bar{F}(x)=H\left(\Psi_{2}(x)\right)=H(-\ln G(x))
$$
is a new survival function. For more details, see [19]. The odd Lindley-G family has been constructed in [20] by composing the Lindely cdf with $\Psi(x)=G(x) / \bar{G}(x)$.

The cdf of the Pareto (PA) random variable is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=1-\left(\frac{c}{x+c}\right)^{a} \text { for } x \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0$ is the shape parameter, and $c>0$ is the scale parameter.
Consider a parent model with $\operatorname{cdf} G(x)$ and probability density function (pdf) $g(x)=d G(x) / d x$ having a parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{s}\right)^{\top}$. The cdf of the odd Pareto- $G$ (for short "OPA-G") family (with two extra shape parameters) follows by composing (1) with $\Psi(x)=G(x) / \bar{G}(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F(x ; a, c)=H[\Psi(x)]=1-\left[\frac{c \bar{G}(x)}{G(x)+c \bar{G}(x)}\right]^{a}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{G}(x)=1-G(x)$. For $a=c=1$, it becomes the parent G.
Note that the OPAG family is equal to the Lehmann type II alternative of the Marshall-Olkin class pioneered by [21], who did not work on its properties but addressed only the cases for the semiBurr and Burr baselines. Further, the OPAG family density is a special case of the Exponentiated Generalized Marshall-Olkin (EGMO) discussed by [22], but their linear combination depends on the exponentiated Marshall-Olkin density. The linear representation here, based on the exponentiated baseline density, is more simple and easier to develop some of its properties which are not addressed before.

The pdf corresponding to (2) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(x ; a, c)=\frac{a c^{a} g(x)}{\bar{G}(x)^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{G}(x)}{G(x)+c \bar{G}(x)}\right]^{a+1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The support of X in Equations (2) and (3) is the same of the baseline G distribution. From now on, let $X \sim \mathrm{OPAG}(a$, $b, \boldsymbol{\eta})$ be a random variable with $\operatorname{pdf}(3)$.

By inverting (2), the quantile function (qf) of $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=G^{-1}\left[\frac{c-c(1-u)^{1 / a}}{c+(1-c)(1-u)^{1 / a}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{-1}(\cdot)=Q_{G}(\cdot)$. So, we can easily generate OPAG variates from (4).
The sections follow as: Section 2 addressees two special models. Section 3 provides a linear representation in terms of exponentiated densities. The moments and qf are obtained in Section 4. Section 5 discusses inference based on
likelihood theory. Section 6 examines the adequacy of the parameter estimators. Section 7 introduces a new regression model for censored data. Four applications to real data sets prove the importance of our findings in Section 8. Section 9 ends with some conclusions.

## 2. Special models

For illustrations, simulations, estimation and applications, we consider two special models of (2) by taking the Weibull and gamma as baseline distributions.

### 2.1 Odd Pareto Weibull (OPAW) model

The Weibull cdf with shape $k>0$ and scale $b>0$ is $G(x)=1-\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}$ (for $x \geq 0$ ). The pdf, cdf and hazard rate function (hrf) of the OPAW ( $a, c, b, k$ ) random variable follow from (2) and (3) as

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=\frac{a b^{-k} k c^{a} x^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-a\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}}{\left[1-\bar{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}\right]^{a+1}}, \\
F(x)=1-\left[\frac{c \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}+c \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}}\right]^{a}, \\
h(x)=\frac{a b^{-k} k x^{k-1}}{1-\bar{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k}}, x \geq 0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{c}=1-c$.
The pdf and hrf of the OPAW model are displayed in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.


Figure 1. Plots of the OPAW density


Figure 2. Plots of the OPAW hrf

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate some possible shapes of the pdf and hrf of the OPAW distribution, respectively. Its density can be unimodal, bimodal and left-right asymmetric. The hrf can be increasing and unimodal, which offers great capacity for real datasets.


Figure 3. Plots for the OPAGA distribution

### 2.2 Odd Pareto Gamma (OPAGA) model

The gamma cdf with shape $b>0$ and rate $k>0$ is $G(x)=\gamma(b, k x) / \Gamma(b)(x \geq 0)$, where $\Gamma(b)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{b-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t} \mathrm{dt}$ and $\gamma(b, z)$ $=\int_{0}^{z} t^{b-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt}$ are the gamma and lower incomplete gamma functions, respectively.

The pdf, cdf and hrf of the $\operatorname{OPAGA}(a, c, b, k)$ random variable follow from (2) and (3) as

$$
f(x)=\frac{a c^{a} k^{b} \Gamma(b) x^{b-1} \mathrm{e}^{-k x}[\Gamma(b)-\gamma(b, k x)]^{a-1}}{[c \Gamma(b)+\bar{c} \gamma(b, k x)]^{a+1}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x)=1-c^{a}\left[\frac{\Gamma(b)-\gamma(b, k x)}{c \Gamma(b)+\bar{c} \gamma(b, k x)}\right]^{a}, \\
h(x)=\frac{a k^{b} \Gamma(b) x^{b-1} \mathrm{e}^{-k x}}{[c \Gamma(b)+\bar{c} \gamma(b, k x)][\Gamma(b)-\gamma(b, k x)]}, x \geq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 3 provides some shapes of the pdf and hrf of the OPAGA model, which can be unimodal in both cases. Further, the hrf has a large variety of shapes including increasing-decreasing-increasing shape.

We address the new OPAW and OPAGA models since they can be used in different areas, mainly in survival and reliability analysis.

## 3. Linear representation

A linear representation for the cdf (2) follows from two different manners. In the Marshall-Olkin way, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=1-\left[\frac{c \bar{G}(x)}{1-\bar{c} \bar{G}(x)}\right]^{a} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=1-\left[\frac{\bar{G}(x)}{1+d G(x)}\right]^{a}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\bar{c} c$.
The power series holds (for any real $a$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+z)^{a}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{a}{k} z^{k},|z|<1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\binom{a}{0}=1$, and (for $\left.k \geq 1\right)\binom{a}{k}=a(a-1) \ldots(a-k+1) / k!$.
First, consider Equation (5) for $c<2$ or, equivalently, $|-\bar{c}|<1$. Then, $|-\bar{c} \bar{G}(x)|<1$, and it follows from Equation (7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1-\bar{c} \bar{G}(x)]^{a}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j}(a, c) G(x)^{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{j}(a, c)=\sum_{k=j}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+k} \bar{c}^{k}\binom{a}{k}\binom{k}{j}$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{a} \bar{G}(x)^{a}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{j}(a, c) G(x)^{j}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}(a, c)=(-1)^{j} c^{a}\binom{a}{j}$.
Inserting (8) and (9) in Equation (5), and using the ratio of two power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=1-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(a, c) G(x)^{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{j}=U_{j}(a, c)($ for $j \geq 1)$ is determined recursively by

$$
U_{j}=\frac{1}{A_{0}}\left(B_{j}-\sum_{r=1}^{j} A_{r} U_{j-r}\right), U_{0}=\frac{B_{0}}{A_{0}} .
$$

Second, consider Equation (6) for $c>12$. Then, $0<c^{-1}<2,-1<c^{-1}-1<1$, and $d \in(0,1)$. Hence, $|d G(x)|<1$. In particular, for $c \geq 2$, the last inequality holds, and from (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1+d G(x)]^{a}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{j}(a, c) G(x)^{j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{j}(a, c)=(\bar{c} c)^{j}\binom{a}{j}$. Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}(x)^{a}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D_{j}(a) G(x)^{j}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{j}(a)=(-1)^{j}\binom{a}{j}$. Inserting (12) and (11) in Equation (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=1-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_{j}(a, c) G(x)^{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{j}=V_{j}(a, c)(j \geq 1)$ follows recursively

$$
V_{k}=\frac{1}{C_{0}}\left(D_{j}-\sum_{r=1}^{j} C_{r} V_{j-r}\right), V_{0}=\frac{D_{0}}{C_{0}}
$$

The pdf of the exponentiated- $G$ ("EXP-G") random variable $W_{\alpha} \sim \operatorname{EXP}-\mathrm{G}(\alpha)$ with power parameter $\alpha>0$ is defined by $\pi_{\alpha}(x)=\alpha g(x) G(x)^{\alpha-1}$. By differentiating (10) and (13), the pdf of $X$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{j} \pi_{j+1}(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s_{j}=s_{j}(a, c)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
-U_{j}(a, c), & c<2 \\
-V_{j}(a, c), & c \geq 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, some properties of $X$ can be found from Equation (14) and those EXP-G properties in several references listed in Tahir and Nadarajah's Table 1 [23].

## 4. Properties

### 4.1 Moments

Let $W_{j+1}$ denote the EXP- $\mathrm{G}(j+1)$ random variable. The $n$th moment of $X$ comes from (14) as

$$
\mu_{n}^{\prime}=E\left(X^{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_{j} E\left(W_{j+1}^{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(j+1) s_{j} \tau_{n, j}
$$

where $\tau_{n, j}==\int_{0}^{1} Q_{G}(u)^{n} u^{j} d u$.
In a similar manner, the $n$th incomplete moment of $X$ is

$$
m_{n}(y)=E\left(X^{n} \mid X<y\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(j+1) s_{j} \int_{0}^{G(y)} Q_{G}(u)^{n} u^{j} d u,
$$

where the integral can be calculated numerically.
Lorenz and Bonferroni curves, widely used as inequality measures ([24] and [25]), are given by $L(p)=m_{1}(q) /$ $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B(p)=m_{1}(q) /\left(p \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively, where $q=Q(p)$ follows from Equation (4). The Lorenz curve is a graphical representation of the distribution of wealth in an economy. It is used to measure the degree of inequality in a society by plotting the cumulative percentage of total income earned against the cumulative percentage of population. This curve can be interpreted as a measure of how evenly wealth is distributed among members of a society. A perfectly equal distribution would result in a straight line, while an unequal distribution would result in a curved line. The further away from the straight line, the greater the degree of inequality. The area between the Lorenz curve and the straight line represents the amount of wealth that is unequally distributed among members of society (unbalance degree). Figures 4 a and 4 b display the Lorenz curves for the OPAW and OPAGA distributions and indicate that the OPAW parameters have more influence on the inequality than those of the OPAGA model. The Bonferroni curve is a slight alteration of the Lorenz curve. Figures 5 a and 5 b display plots of the Bonferroni curve for the OPAW and OPAGA distributions, respectively.


Figure 4. Lorenz curves for the OPAW and OPAGA distributions


Figure 5. Bonferroni curves for the OPAW and OPAGA distributions


Figure 6. Bowley skewness for the OPAW and OPAGA models


Figure 7. Moors' kurtosis for the OPAW and OPAGA models

### 4.2 Quantile measures

The Bowley skewness [26] and Moors' kurtosis [27] of $X$ are functions of the octiles and they easily follow from (4). These quantile measures for the OPAW and OPAGA models are displayed in Figures 6 and 7.

The plots in Figure 6 reveal that the OPAW and OPAGA models could be asymmetric (positive or negative) or symmetric, whereas those in Figure 7 show moderate variations of these distributions.

## 5. Estimation

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be independent observations from the OPAG family, and $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(a, c_{1}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\top}\right)^{\top}$, where $\boldsymbol{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{s}\right)^{\top}$ and $c_{1}$ $=1 / c$. The total log-likelihood function for $\theta$ reduces to

$$
\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid x)=n\left(\log a+\log c_{1}\right)-(a+1)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log g\left(x_{i}\right)-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The score components can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a} & =\frac{n}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial c_{1}} & =\frac{n}{c_{1}}-(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}, \\
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}} & =(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1-c_{1}}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting the score components to zero, the Maximum Likelihood Estimates (MLEs) of $a, c_{1}, \eta_{k}(k=1, \ldots s)$ are the solution of a nonlinear system of equations, which has no explicit solution for these parameters. Otherwise, the MLEs of these parameters can be determined by maximizing (15) using the AdequacyModel library in R software [28]. We can also use the functions optim in R, MaxBFGS in Ox or PROC NLMIXED in SAS to find these estimates.

The inference on the parameters is based on standard likelihood theory. The observed information matrix is given in Appendix A.

## 6. Simulations

A simulation study is done for the OPAW distribution to assess the estimators performance. The Average Estimates (AEs), Absolute Biases (ABs), and Mean Square Errors (MSEs) of the MLEs are calculated from 1,000 samples for some scenarios reported in Tables 1, 2 and 3, respectively. These findings indicate that the AEs tend to the true values, and the ABs and MSEs decay when n increases, which ensures that the MLEs of the OPAW parameters are consistent.

## 7. OPAW regression model

Regression analysis of lifetime data involves specification of the lifetime distribution of $X$ given a vector of covariates $\mathbf{v}=\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{p}\right)^{\top}$. We introduce the OPAW regression model for censored data under two systematic components. The most important form of this model defines the parameters $b$ and $k$ depending on $\mathbf{v}$ by the logarithm link functions $(i=1, \ldots, n), b_{i}=\exp \left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right)$ and $k_{i}=\exp \left(\mathbf{v}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}\right)$, respectively, where $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}=\left(\beta_{11}, \ldots, \beta_{1 p}\right)^{\top}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}=\left(\beta_{21}, \ldots, \beta_{2 p}\right)^{\top}$ are the vectors of regression coefficients and $\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\top}=\left(v_{i 1}, \ldots, v_{i p}\right)$. The OPAW regression model, where both parameters $b$ and $k$ depend on $\mathbf{v}$, is very useful in many practical situations.

Table 1. Averages from simulations of the OPAW distribution

| Parameters |  |  |  | $n$ | AEs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $c_{1}$ | $b$ | $k$ |  | $\hat{a}$ | $\hat{c}_{1}$ | $\hat{b}$ | $\hat{k}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.5946 | 0.6012 | 0.6915 | 0.5916 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.4435 | 0.5828 | 0.6234 | 0.5567 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.4502 | 0.5686 | 0.5639 | 0.5410 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.5010 | 0.5023 | 0.5049 | 0.5387 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.3311 | 0.8719 | 1.6121 | 2.3676 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.6503 | 0.7241 | 1.7654 | 2.2237 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.5286 | 0.4626 | 1.8229 | 2.1369 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.4906 | 0.4912 | 1.9558 | 2.0979 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 2.1450 | 1.7785 | 1.6504 | 2.4716 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 2.0441 | 1.8830 | 1.8391 | 2.1087 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 2.0302 | 2.0211 | 1.9655 | 2.0875 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 2.0131 | 2.0065 | 1.9954 | 2.0118 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 20 | 1.7470 | 1.5797 | 0.2517 | 0.6164 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 1.8333 | 2.3947 | 0.2751 | 0.5924 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 2.0136 | 2.0858 | 0.3315 | 0.5901 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 2.0001 | 1.9524 | 0.4992 | 0.5334 |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 4.3268 | 3.2155 | 4.7421 | 3.0411 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 4.7567 | 3.5559 | 5.1241 | 2.8656 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 4.8925 | 3.8862 | 4.8896 | 2.4445 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 5.0071 | 4.0107 | 4.9798 | 2.1269 |
| 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 0.2531 | 2.5056 | 1.8872 | 2.0006 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.4507 | 3.3043 | 3.9200 | 3.1656 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.4877 | 2.9041 | 3.3585 | 3.0072 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.5083 | 2.9504 | 2.9511 | 3.0035 |
| 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 2.1823 | 0.6893 | 2.4840 | 3.4025 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 2.7182 | 0.6575 | 3.3712 | 3.2159 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 2.7470 | 0.5255 | 3.0645 | 2.9877 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 3.0058 | 0.5001 | 3.2140 | 2.9921 |

Table 2. ABs from simulations of the OPAW distribution

| Parameters |  |  |  | $n$ | AEs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $c_{1}$ | $b$ | $k$ |  | $\hat{a}$ | $\hat{c}_{1}$ | $\hat{b}$ | $\hat{k}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.0946 | 0.1012 | 0.1915 | 0.0916 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.0565 | 0.0828 | 0.1234 | 0.0567 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0498 | 0.0686 | 0.0639 | 0.0410 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0010 | 0.0023 | 0.0049 | 0.0387 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.1689 | 0.3719 | 0.3879 | 0.3676 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.1503 | 0.2241 | 0.2346 | 0.2237 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0286 | 0.0374 | 0.1771 | 0.1369 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0094 | 0.0088 | 0.0442 | 0.0979 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.1450 | 0.2215 | 0.3496 | 0.4716 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.0441 | 0.1170 | 0.1609 | 0.1087 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0302 | 0.0211 | 0.0345 | 0.0875 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0131 | 0.0065 | 0.0046 | 0.0118 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.2530 | 0.4203 | 0.2483 | 0.1164 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.1667 | 0.3947 | 0.2249 | 0.0924 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0136 | 0.0858 | 0.1685 | 0.0901 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0001 | 0.0476 | 0.0008 | 0.0334 |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 0.6732 | 0.7845 | 0.2579 | 1.0411 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.2433 | 0.4442 | 0.1241 | 0.8656 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.1075 | 0.1138 | 0.1104 | 0.4445 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0071 | 0.0107 | 0.0202 | 0.1269 |
| 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 0.2469 | 0.4944 | 1.1128 | 0.9994 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.0493 | 0.3043 | 0.9195 | 0.1656 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0123 | 0.0959 | 0.3585 | 0.0072 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0083 | 0.0496 | 0.0489 | 0.0035 |
| 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 0.8177 | 0.1893 | 0.5160 | 0.4025 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.2818 | 0.1575 | 0.3712 | 0.2159 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.2530 | 0.02547 | 0.0645 | 0.0123 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0058 | 0.0001 | 0.2140 | 0.0079 |

Table 3. MSEs from simulations of the OPAW distribution

| Parameters |  |  |  | $n$ | MSEs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $c_{1}$ | $b$ | $k$ |  | $\hat{a}$ | $\hat{c}_{1}$ | $\hat{b}$ | $\hat{k}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.2027 | 0.3851 | 0.3520 | 0.7408 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.1766 | 0.1707 | 0.2497 | 0.3183 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0283 | 0.0566 | 0.1304 | 0.0960 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0130 | 0.0331 | 0.0794 | 0.0540 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.4480 | 0.7213 | 0.6200 | 0.0612 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.1500 | 0.0955 | 0.2584 | 0.0349 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0759 | 0.0641 | 0.0453 | 0.0187 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0139 | 0.0490 | 0.0359 | 0.0115 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 2.0449 | 1.0364 | 0.1509 | 0.5079 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.2411 | 0.2614 | 0.1413 | 0.1844 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.0214 | 0.0619 | 0.1055 | 0.0924 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0115 | 0.0103 | 0.0315 | 0.0685 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.7333 | 1.2086 | 0.3205 | 1.8455 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.2029 | 0.1947 | 0.2551 | 0.6124 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.1796 | 0.1844 | 0.0347 | 0.0354 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.0668 | 0.1654 | 0.0043 | 0.0297 |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 1.2136 | 0.9086 | 1.6549 | 0.8187 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 1.1918 | 0.2946 | 1.2551 | 0.6124 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.9647 | 0.0863 | 0.456 | 0.0354 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.1654 | 0.0262 | 0.239 | 0.0264 |
| 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 2.0653 | 1.2446 | 1.2457 | 1.0989 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 1.1446 | 1.1246 | 1.1758 | 0.9997 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.7605 | 0.5460 | 1.1344 | 0.9200 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.064 | 0.0246 | 1.1015 | 0.8000 |
| 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 1.8322 | 1.5421 | 1.0257 | 1.1587 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 0.9370 | 0.3251 | 0.8015 | 1.0185 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0.2810 | 0.1254 | 0.1549 | 0.9254 |
|  |  |  |  | 200 | 0.1630 | 0.0542 | 0.12354 | 0.1175 |

The survival function of $X_{i}$ given $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ follows from (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\{\frac{c \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]}{1-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]+c \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]}\right\}^{a} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F$ and $C$ be the sets of individuals for which $x_{i}$ is the lifetime or censoring $c_{i}$, respectively. The total loglikelihood function for $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(a, c, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}^{\top}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}^{\top}\right)^{\top}$ from model (16) has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& l(\boldsymbol{\theta})=r \log \left(a c^{a}\right)-\sum_{i \in F} k_{i} \log \left(b_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in F} \log \left(k_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in F}\left(k_{i}-1\right) \log \left(x_{i}\right)-a \sum_{i \in F}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}} \\
& -(a+1) \sum_{i \in F} \log \left\{1-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]+c \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]\right\} \\
& \quad+a \sum_{i \in C} \log \left\{\frac{c \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]}{1-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]+c \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right]}\right\}, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r$ is the number of failures.
The MLE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ of the vector of unknown parameters can be found by maximizing Equation (17) via R software or NLMixed procedure in SAS. Equation (17) is twice differentiable with respect to the variable $x_{i}$ since it is a composite function built by two times differentiable functions. Then, the standard regularity conditions for maximum likelihood estimation are satisfied, and the existence of the MLEs with desirable properties follow.

For the OPAW regression model, we consider the quantile residuals (qrs), namely

$$
\widehat{r q}_{i}=\Phi^{-1}\left(1-\left\{\frac{\hat{c} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\hat{b}_{i}}\right)^{\hat{k}_{i}}\right]}{1-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\hat{b_{i}}}\right)^{\hat{k}_{i}}\right]+\hat{c} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\hat{b}_{i}}\right)^{\hat{k}_{i}}\right]}\right\} .\right.
$$

[36] suggested the construction of envelopes to enable better interpretation of the probability normal plot of the residuals. These envelopes are simulated confidence bands that contain the residuals such that if the model is well-fitted, the majority of points will be within these bands and randomly distributed.

## 8. Applications

We consider two sub-models of the new family to compare with the baseline distributions and six other extended models (with two extra parameters) by means of four real datasets. The models are: the Beta-G (BG) [3], Exponentiated Generalized-G (EGG) [7], Kumaraswamy-G (KG) [5], Log Gamma-G I and II (LGGI and LGGII) [30], and Weibull-G (WG) [8]. The maximum log-likelihood ( $l_{\max }$ ), four classical statistics denoted by their initials (AIC, CAIC, BIC, HQIC), and Anderson-Darling $\left(A^{*}\right)$ and Cramér-von Mises $\left(W^{*}\right)$ are adopted as measures of the adequacy of the fitted models.

Dataset I: 1,150 heights measurements of the surface roughness of rollers (available for download [31]).
Dataset II: 74 gauge lengths of 20 mm [32].
The descriptive statistics for both datasets are reported in Table 4

Table 4. Descriptive analysis

| Data | Mean | Median | Mode | Variance | Skewness | Kurtosis | Min | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 3.535 | 3.614 | 3.750 | 0.422 | -0.987 | 1.863 | 0.237 | 5.150 |
| II | 2.477 | 2.513 | 2.750 | 0.238 | -0.154 | -0.049 | 1.312 | 3.585 |

Table 5. Findings from the fitted distributions

| Dataset | Model | Parameter | MLE | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I OPAW | $a$ | 1.139 | 0.229 |  |
|  |  | $c_{1}$ | 0.031 | 0.019 |
|  |  | $b$ | 2.419 | 0.242 |
| II |  |  | 2.976 | 0.427 |
|  |  |  | 1.314 | 1.089 |
|  |  | $c_{1}$ | 0.149 | 0.173 |
|  |  | $b$ | 17.14 | 6.095 |
|  |  | $k$ | 8.361 | 2.835 |

We consider the Weibull and gamma baselines for fitting eight distributions to datasets I and II. The MLEs, their Standard Errors (SEs) for the OPAW and OPAGA models are reported in Table 5. The adequacy statistics of the eight fitted distributions are given in Tables 6 and 7. Figures 8 and 9 illustrate the closeness of the estimated pdfs and cdfs for both models to their empirical counterparts. Further, the Probabilityprobability (PP) and Quantile-Quantile (QQ) plots are close to the first bisector line.

Table 6. Adequacy measures for dataset I

| Model | AIC | CAIC | BIC | HQIC | $W^{*}$ | $A^{*}$ | $-\ell_{\text {max }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPAW | 2120.614 | 2120.649 | 2140.804 | 2128.236 | 0.074 | 0.463 | 1056.307 |
| Weibull | 2179.853 | 2179.863 | 2189.948 | 2183.663 | 0.651 | 4.004 | 1087.926 |
| BW | 2164.985 | 2165.020 | 2185.175 | 2172.606 | 0.645 | 3.618 | 1078.492 |
| EGW | 2166.374 | 2166.409 | 2186.564 | 2173.995 | 0.663 | 3.719 | 1079.187 |
| KW | 2152.446 | 2152.481 | 2172.636 | 2160.067 | 0.517 | 2.860 | 1072.223 |
| LGWI | 2164.924 | 2164.959 | 2185.114 | 2172.545 | 364.9 | 2284 | 1078.462 |
| LGWII | 2143.234 | 2143.269 | 2163.424 | 2150.855 | 0.425 | 2.333 | 1067.617 |
| WW | 2183.853 | 2183.888 | 2204.043 | 2191.474 | 0.833 | 4.817 | 1087.926 |

Empirical and fitted pdfs
Empirical and fitted cdfs


Data

P-P plot



Data

Q-Q plot


Figure 8. Results from the OPAW distribution fitted to dataset I

Table 7. Adequacy measures for dataset II

| Model | AIC | CAIC | BIC | HQIC | $W^{*}$ | $A^{*}$ | $-\ell_{\max }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPAW | 109.896 | 110.476 | 119.112 | 113.572 | 0.023 | 0.182 | 50.948 |
| Weibull | 110.330 | 110.499 | 114.938 | 112.168 | 0.086 | 0.564 | 53.165 |
| BW | 110.227 | 110.807 | 119.443 | 113.903 | 0.026 | 0.209 | 51.113 |
| EGW | 110.260 | 110.839 | 119.476 | 113.936 | 0.027 | 0.213 | 51.130 |
| KW | 110.314 | 110.894 | 119.530 | 113.990 | 0.029 | 0.219 | 51.157 |
| LGWI | 110.227 | 110.807 | 119.443 | 113.904 | 24.50 | 148.0 | 51.114 |
| LGWII | 112.406 | 112.986 | 121.623 | 116.083 | 0.061 | 0.414 | 52.203 |
| WW | 110.273 | 110.853 | 119.489 | 113.950 | 0.028 | 0.223 | 51.137 |

Empirical and fitted pdfs


Figure 9. Results from the OPAGA distribution fitted to dataset II

### 8.1 Datasets III and IV: Bimodal data and regression model

The third application refers to a bimodal dataset to show the flexibility of the OPAW distribution, and the fourth application considers a OPAW regression model for censored data. We compare the results of their fits with those of non-nested KW and BW models. The computations are performed with the gamlss script in R software [28].


Figure 10. QQ plots from fitted models to dataset III: (a) OPAW (b) KW (c) BW (d) Weibull

### 8.1.1 Dataset III

The data consist of $n=272$ waiting times (in minutes) between eruptions in Old Faithful geyser in Wyoming's Yellowstone National Park, USA (library datasets in the R software).

Table 8 reports the values of some statistics for the fitted models, which indicate that the OPAW distribution is the best model. Figure 10 displays the QQ plots of the qrs for the fitted models, thus supporting previous conclusion. Table 9 gives the MLEs and their SEs. The histogram and the estimated densities in Figure 11a, and the empirical and estimated cdfs in Figure 11b reveal that the OPAW distribution explains the current data.

Table 8. Measures for some models fitted to dataset III

| Model | AIC | CAIC | BIC | HQIC | $W^{*}$ | $A^{*}$ | $-\ell_{\max }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPAW | 2127.87 | 2128.02 | 2142.30 | 2133.66 | 0.4828 | 3.4287 | 1059.94 |
| KW | 2157.62 | 2157.77 | 2172.04 | 2163.408 | 0.5796 | 3.5759 | 1074.81 |
| BW | 2155.96 | 2180.33 | 2170.38 | 2185.971 | 0.5153 | 3.4972 | 1073.98 |
| Weibull | 2174.02 | 2174.06 | 2181.23 | 2176.911 | 1.1559 | 6.4249 | 1085.01 |



Figure 11. Dataset III. (a) Estimated densities. (b) Empirical and estimated cdfs

Table 9. Findings for the OPAW model fitted to dataset III

|  | $b$ | $k$ | $a$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLEs | 72.6028 | 12.4117 | 0.1614 | 0.0140 |
| SEs | $(0.0244)$ | $(0.0931)$ | $(0.3298)$ | $(0.7348)$ |

### 8.1.2 Dataset IV

The data refer to head cancer $(n=96)$, where 51 patients received radiotherapy (Arm A) and 45 patients received radiotherapy and chemotherapy (Arm B). In this study, we have approximately $24 \%$ of censored data. For more details, see [33-35].

Just one covariate $v_{1}$ is used: two- $\operatorname{Arm}(\operatorname{Arm} A=0$ and $\operatorname{Arm} B=1)$, and the systematic components for $b$ and $k$ are

$$
b=\exp \left(\beta_{10}+\beta_{11} v_{i 1}\right) \text { and } k=\exp \left(\beta_{20}+\beta_{21} v_{i 1}\right), i=1 \ldots 96,
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}=\left(\beta_{10}, \beta_{11}\right)^{\top}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}=\left(\beta_{20}, \beta_{21}\right)^{\top}$.


Figure 12. QQ plots from the fitted regression models to dataset IV: (a) OPAW (b) KW (c) BW (d) Weibull

Table 10 lists three statistics, thus showing that the OPAW regression model is the best for the current data. The QQ plots of the qrs for both models in Figure 12, and the plots of the empirical and estimated survival functions in Figure 13 support this conclusion. Table 11 provides the estimates, their SEs and p-values. We note that the covariate two-Arm is significant for both parameters. The residual index plot (Figure 14a), and the normal probability plot of the residuals with simulated envelope [36] (Figure 14b) show no evidence against the regression assumptions. So, the OPAW model is adequate to Efron's data.


Figure 13. Dataset IV: Estimated survival and empirical functions


Figure 14. (a) Index plot of the qrs. (b) QQ plot for the qrs with envelope

Table 10. Adequacy measures for some regression models fitted to dataset IV

| Model | AIC | CAIC | BIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPAW | 1071.31 | 1081.57 | 1086.70 |
| KW | 1080.86 | 1096.68 | 1096.24 |
| BW | 1085.14 | 1100.96 | 1100.53 |
| Weibull | 1096.71 | 1116.53 | 1106.96 |

Table 11. Findings from the OPAW regression fitted to dataset IV

|  | MLEs | SEs | p-values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{10}$ | 7.7440 | 0.2229 | 0.0000 |
| $\beta_{11}$ | -0.7642 | 0.2665 | 0.0051 |
| $\beta_{20}$ | 0.9386 | 0.0737 | 0.0000 |
| $\beta_{21}$ | 0.1812 | 0.0865 | 0.0388 |
| $\log (a)$ | -1.4866 | 0.1570 |  |
| $\log (c)$ | -7.7486 | 0.3614 |  |

## 9. Conclusions

Significant progress has been made in the last years towards constructing flexible lifetime regression models among applied statisticians. We provide a mathematical treatment of the distribution including the density of the quantile measures and give infinite expansions for the $r$ th moment which hold in generality for any parameter values. We proposed the Odd Pareto-G (OPAG) family of distributions, and developed a regression model based on it. The inference was conducted based on likelihood theory. Four applications to real data proved empirically the utility of the new models. The main advantage of the OPAG family is that it can model bimodal data instead of using mixtures of distributions. Another advantage is the associated regression model presented in applications III and IV. For future researches, other regression models can be developed, such as random effects, semiparametric, bivariate regression models based on the new family.
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## Appendix A

For the $\operatorname{OPAW}\left(a, c_{1}, b, k\right)$ and $\operatorname{OPAGA}\left(a, c_{1}, b, k\right)$ models, the observed information matrix is given by

$$
J(\theta)=J\left(a, c_{1}, b, k\right)=-\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{a a} & L_{a c_{1}} & L_{a b} & L_{a k} \\
L_{a c_{1}} & L_{c_{1} c_{1}} & L_{c_{1} b} & L_{c_{1} k} \\
L_{a b} & L_{c_{1} b} & L_{b b} & L_{b k} \\
L_{a k} & L_{c_{1} k} & L_{b k} & L_{k k}
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
$$

whose elements are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{a a}=-\frac{n}{a^{2}}, \\
& L_{a c_{1}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}, \\
& L_{a b}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1-c_{1}}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b}, \\
& L_{a k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1-c_{1}}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k}, \\
& L_{c_{1} c_{1}}=-\frac{n}{c_{1}^{2}}+(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}\right]^{2}, \\
& L_{c_{1} b}=-(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b}, \\
& L_{\mathrm{c}_{1} k}=-(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k}, \\
& L_{b b}=(a+1)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1-c_{1}}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(1-c_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b^{2}}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b^{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b}\right]^{2}\right\}, \\
& L_{b k}=(a+1)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1-c_{1}}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k \partial b}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(1-c_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k \partial b}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b} \\
& +2\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k \partial b}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial b}\right\}, \\
& L_{k k}=(a+1)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1-c_{1}}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(1-c_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)+c_{1} G\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}}-\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k^{2}}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k^{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial g\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k}\right]^{2} \\
& +\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial k}\right]^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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