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Abstract: This paper elaborates on the approach towards reliability analysis for the active redundant system with a dual nature of repair strategy according to the kind of failure. The reliability characteristics using regenerative techniques are analyzed stochastically for the system, which includes two parallel units acting in an active redundancy form. A transition model has been designed for the working mechanism discussed in the paper and evaluated with the help of a geometric distribution possessing distinct repair. Regenerative techniques and geometric distribution were used to derive the numerical equations for reliability parameters such as availability, mean time to system failure, and repair mechanisms following down period of the system. A graphical analysis of the repair/failure rate with respect to the profit function has also been presented.

Keywords: active redundancy, geometric distribution, redundancy techniques, stochastic modelling, steady-state probability distribution

MSC: 60K10, 60K20, 62N05, 90B25

1. Introduction

Reliability engineering is a branch of engineering that applies scientific knowledge to a component, product, or plant as a technique to make sure that it will operate as per the requirements for a defined period of time in a particular environment without malfunctioning. A product's lifetime is necessary to maintain, and in order to achieve this, the maximum focus is laid upon dependability, which is the ability of a system or component to function as per the requirement for an established period of time under well-defined conditions. To make the system’s performance better, researchers have proposed a variety of reliability-enhancing strategies, that includes redundancy, preventative maintenance, and prioritization. It requires a lot of work and preparation over many years to analyze the effectiveness of industrial models. There is a continuous advancement of new technologies for production and maintenance methods, due to which the working processes in the industrial sector change annually. Any industry’s success depends on two crucial factors: when new designs are incorporated, and good or cutting-edge maintenance techniques are offered to its clients as necessary variables.

A considerable effort has been put in for many years in order to make a visible change in the dependability assessment of industrial models. In 2016, Hua et al. [14,15] pioneered the unit degradation paths approach in reliability analysis. They raised an important research issue in his analysis of systems with connected unit degradation mechanisms. They developed a method for listing all successful occurrences, which are arranged sequences of failures
represented by system state transition routes, and obtained closed-form equations for determining the odds of successful
events. Fang et al. [12] investigated the dependability of balanced engine series systems with ‘m’ sectors, each of
which has a cold standby system with ‘n’ engines. Two models for the new balanced system were developed, and some
performance indicators, such as formulas for system dependability, lifespan distributions, and means and variances of
system lifetimes, are provided. Dong et al. [10,11-13] examined the wiener processes-based reliability with degradation
systems. In order to describe the dynamic behaviors of a generic k-out-of-n:G warm standby system while taking into
consideration the inspection features and maintenance impacts on the behaviors of the system, in 2020, Wu et al. [21]
devised an analytical technique. The nonlinear program is optimized using the Markov process to reduce the estimated
total cost. Chillar et al. [7,8,16] used the principles of deterioration and maintenance due to random shocks to examine
the system’s dependability. To perform maintenance and repairs on the unit, a single server instantly visits the system.
If the unit is damaged by shocks, maintenance is required. The semi-Markov process [18] and the regenerating point
approach [2] are used to get a variety of reliability characteristics, such as mean time to system failure (MTSF),
availability [19], and profit function [7]. J. Bhatti et al. [4-6] proposed a dependability model for the car repair industry.
Moreover, the inspection approach was created as a tool to assess failure and choose a repair plan that is focused on
efficiency in terms of both time and money. The adaptive surrogate-based network reliability study was given by
Dehghani et al. [9] using the merging of Bayesian additive regression trees and Monte Carlo simulation. Kumar et al.
[17] examined a single-unit system model by including Weibull distribution for failure, preventative maintenance, and
various other parameters. A two-stage degradation model is put forth, in which the system degradation levels in the
first and second stages are represented by correlated bivariate Wiener processes and univariate Wiener processes [20].
Adlakha et al. [1] explored a two-unit cold standby communication system in which both units are originally packed and
re-joined when needed. The system continues to function until a need arises or it fails after some time has passed after
the operational unit fails. In 2019, Bhardwaj et al. [3] developed a mathematical model of how long a diesel locomotive
can run with regard to a decreasing trend using neural networks. For the time series data of the parameter used as the
train data for the neural network, interpolation is performed.

The current paper focuses on analyzing the food packaging system, which consists of two similar kinds of units
that are well structured in active redundancy mode. This transition model (Figure 1) can be fairly applied to the food
packaging industry, where the two machines described through A0 are both in full operation in stage S0 and are used to
pack various types of foods or vegetables. As we know, during the operative mode of any machines there is always a
fair chance of failure. Hence, in the current discussed machines, the same possibility of distinct nature of failures, i.e.,
minor and major failure, is very well introduced. The distinct repairman mechanism with different costs and times of
repair has been introduced to resolve the above failures. S1 to S4 in the transition model clearly signifies the situations
when one machine is in repair mode after facing either a minor or major type of failure and the other unit is satisfying
the need to package the food items by operating with extra working time. The dual nature of a repairman with a repair
rate r1 is involved in inspecting the nature of failures. The benefit of including the dual nature of repairman 1 is that it
reduces the cost of different inspections and minor repairs. In case the repairman finds that the machines needs repair for
regular service or minor repairs like incoming power issues, main disconnect off, web brakes due to damaged transfer
roll surface, poor web tracking, poor seals, or poor package cutoff. But in case the first repairman finds that the issue is
bigger and needs a more efficient repairman and time he passes out the failed unit to the second repairman with repair
rate r2. The major issues like end and fin seal problem, back stand problems, unwind problems, etc. As we know, there
is always the possibility of a system shutdown due to a complete failure of all units. The possibilities of these situations
have also been discussed in the current paper through stages S5 to S8. In these situation, the working hours of the
repairman increased with the increased cost of getting the system into operative mode by repairing at least one failed
machine.
Table 1. Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_0$</td>
<td>Units that fall under category A are operating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{r1}$</td>
<td>Upon failure, unit A will need inspection and minor repairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{r2}$</td>
<td>Repair the unit A if it is not fixed at the initial inspection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>Repair rate of major failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{r1w}$</td>
<td>Unit A is now awaiting a turn to enter the r1 stage of inspection and repair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_1,p_2$</td>
<td>Probability that unit’s A and B will fail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1/s_1$</td>
<td>Successful/Unsuccessful probability rate for minor failure inspection and its repair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2/s_2$</td>
<td>Successful/Unsuccessful probability rate for major repair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a/b$</td>
<td>Probability of inspecting and repairing the minor/major failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\circ$</td>
<td>Convolution of the two functions of non-negative variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_i$</td>
<td>Steady state transition probability from state $S_i$ to $S_j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_i/Q_i$</td>
<td>Probability and cumulative density function of the first transition from regenerating state “i” to “j”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operative States:
$S_0 = (A_0, A_0), S_1 = (A_{r1}, A_0), S_2 = (A_0, A_{r1}), S_3 = (A_{r2}, A_0), S_4 = (A_0, A_{r2})$

Failed States:
$S_5 = (A_{r1}, A_{r1w}), S_6 = (A_{r2}, A_{r1}), S_7 = (A_{r1}, A_{r2}), S_8 = (A_{r2}, A_{r2w})$

Figure 1. Transition Model
2. Transition probabilities and Sojourn times

2.1. Transition Probabilities

As the system experiences discrete failures like less numbers of failures in small scale industries, the distribution of failures before a success is geometric. According to the following definition, the cumulative density function $Q_{ij}$ of the initial passage time from regeneration state “$i$” to “$j$” is:

$$Q_{05}(t) = \frac{p_1 q_1 (1 - (q_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_i q_j}, \quad Q_{02}(t) = \frac{q_1 p_1 (1 - (q_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_i q_j}, \quad Q_{06}(t) = \frac{p_1 p_1 (1 - (q_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_i q_j},$$

$$Q_{10}(t) = \frac{a_r q_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{12}(t) = \frac{a_r p_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{13}(t) = \frac{b_r q_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$Q_{15}(t) = \frac{s_i p_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{16}(t) = \frac{b_r p_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{20}(t) = \frac{a_r q_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$Q_{21}(t) = \frac{a_r p_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{24}(t) = \frac{b_r q_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{25}(t) = \frac{s_i p_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$Q_{27}(t) = \frac{b_r p_1 (1 - (s_i q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{31}(t) = \frac{r_2 q_1 (1 - (q_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{33}(t) = \frac{p_1 r_1 (1 - (q_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$Q_{36}(t) = \frac{p_1 s_2 (1 - (q_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{42}(t) = \frac{q_1 r_2 (1 - (q_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad Q_{45}(t) = \frac{p_1 r_2 (1 - (q_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$Q_{47}(t) = \frac{p_1 s_2 (1 - (q_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_j q_j}, \quad Q_{52}(t) = \frac{a_r (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad Q_{56}(t) = \frac{b_r (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j},$$

$$Q_{61}(t) = \frac{a_r r_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad Q_{63}(t) = \frac{a_r s_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad Q_{65}(t) = \frac{s_i r_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j},$$

$$Q_{67}(t) = \frac{b_r r_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_j q_j}, \quad Q_{69}(t) = \frac{b_r s_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_j q_j}, \quad Q_{72}(t) = \frac{a_r r_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - q_j q_j},$$

$$Q_{78}(t) = \frac{b_r s_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad Q_{87}(t) = \frac{r_2 (1 - (s_j q_j)^{\gamma_{ij}})}{1 - s_j q_j},$$

Following are the solutions for the probability steady-state transition from $S_i$ to $S_j$:

$$P_i = \lim_{i \to \infty} Q_{ij}$$

(1)

where $Q_i$ represents the “cumulative density function” from state $i$ to j. And,

$$P_{01}(t) = \frac{p_1 q_1}{1 - q_i q_j}, \quad P_{02}(t) = \frac{q_1 p_1}{1 - q_i q_j}, \quad P_{06}(t) = \frac{p_1 p_1}{1 - q_i q_j},$$

$$P_{10}(t) = \frac{a_r q_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{12}(t) = \frac{a_r p_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{13}(t) = \frac{b_r q_1}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$P_{15}(t) = \frac{s_i p_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{16}(t) = \frac{b_r p_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{20}(t) = \frac{a_r q_1}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$P_{21}(t) = \frac{a_r p_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{24}(t) = \frac{b_r q_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{25}(t) = \frac{s_i p_1}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$P_{27}(t) = \frac{b_r p_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{31}(t) = \frac{r_2 q_1}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{33}(t) = \frac{p_1 r_1}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$P_{36}(t) = \frac{p_1 s_2}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{42}(t) = \frac{q_1 r_2}{1 - s_i q_j}, \quad P_{45}(t) = \frac{p_1 r_2}{1 - s_i q_j},$$

$$P_{47}(t) = \frac{p_1 s_2}{1 - q_j q_j}, \quad P_{52}(t) = \frac{a_r (1 - s_j q_j)}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad P_{56}(t) = \frac{b_r (1 - s_j q_j)}{1 - s_j q_j},$$

$$P_{61}(t) = \frac{a_r r_2}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad P_{63}(t) = \frac{a_r s_2}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad P_{65}(t) = \frac{s_i r_2}{1 - s_j q_j},$$

$$P_{67}(t) = \frac{b_r r_2}{1 - q_j q_j}, \quad P_{69}(t) = \frac{b_r s_2}{1 - q_j q_j}, \quad P_{72}(t) = \frac{a_r r_2}{1 - q_j q_j},$$

$$P_{78}(t) = \frac{b_r s_2}{1 - s_j q_j}, \quad P_{87}(t) = \frac{r_2 (1 - s_j q_j)}{1 - s_j q_j}.$$
\[ P_{21}(t) = \frac{a_1 r_1 p_1}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \quad P_{24}(t) = \frac{b_2 r_2 p_2}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \quad P_{25}(t) = \frac{p_2 r_{10}}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \]
\[ P_{27}(t) = \frac{b r_3 p_3}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \quad P_{31}(t) = \frac{r_2 q_3}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \quad P_{35}(t) = \frac{p_2 r_{10}}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \]
\[ P_{36}(t) = \frac{p_3 s_2}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \quad P_{42}(t) = \frac{q r_5}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \quad P_{46}(t) = \frac{p_6 r_{10}}{1 - s_2 q_1}, \]
\[ P_{47}(t) = \frac{p_4 s_2}{1 - q_2 s_2}, \quad P_{52}(t) = \frac{a r_5}{1 - s_1}, \quad P_{56}(t) = \frac{b r_4}{1 - s_1}, \]
\[ P_{57}(t) = \frac{a r r_5}{1 - s_1}, \quad P_{63}(t) = \frac{a r s_2}{1 - s_2}, \quad P_{65}(t) = \frac{s r_5}{1 - s_2}, \]
\[ P_{67}(t) = \frac{b r r_5}{1 - s_2}, \quad P_{68}(t) = \frac{b r s_2}{1 - s_2}, \quad P_{72}(t) = \frac{a r r_5}{1 - s_2}, \]
\[ P_{75}(t) = \frac{s r s_2}{1 - s_2}, \quad P_{76}(t) = \frac{a r r_5}{1 - s_2}, \quad P_{78}(t) = \frac{b r s_2}{1 - s_2}. \]

And,
\[ P_{01} + P_{02} + P_{05} = 1, \quad P_{10} + P_{12} + P_{13} + P_{15} + P_{16} = 1, \quad P_{20} + P_{21} + P_{24} + P_{25} + P_{27} = 1 \]
\[ P_{31} + P_{35} + P_{36} = 1, \quad P_{42} + P_{43} + P_{47} = 1, \quad P_{52} + P_{56} = 1, \quad P_{61} + P_{63} + P_{65} + P_{67} + P_{68} = 1 \]
\[ P_{72} + P_{74} + P_{76} + P_{78} = 1, \quad P_{87} = 1. \]

### 2.2. Mean Sojourn Times

Let \( T_i \) be the sojourn time in state \( S_i \) (i = 0 to 8) and the symbol "\( \mu \)" the mean sojourn time for state \( S_i \)

\[ \mu_i = E(T_i) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(T_j > t) \]

Therefore,
\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_0 &= \frac{1}{1 - q_1 s_1} \\
\mu_1 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_1 q_1} \\
\mu_2 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_2 q_1} \\
\mu_3 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_1 s_2} \\
\mu_4 &= \frac{1}{1 - q_2 s_1} \\
\mu_5 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_1 s_2} \\
\mu_6 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_1 s_2} \\
\mu_7 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_2} \\
\mu_8 &= \frac{1}{1 - s_2} \\
\end{align*}
\]

When a system is about to transition into state \( S \), its mean sojourn time (\( m_y \)) in state \( S \) is calculated as follows:

\[ m_y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t q_y(t) \]
m_{00}+m_{02}+m_{05} = q_{1}q_{2}μ_0
m_{30}+m_{32}+m_{35}+m_{37} = s_{1}q_{1}μ_2
m_{40}+m_{43}+m_{46} = q_{2}s_{2}μ_4
m_{60}+m_{63}+m_{65}+m_{67} = s_{1}s_{2}μ_6
m_{80} = s_{2}μ_8
m_{10}+m_{12}+m_{13}+m_{15}+m_{16} = s_{1}q_{1}μ_1
m_{31}+m_{35}+m_{36} = s_{2}q_{1}μ_3
m_{52}+m_{56} = s_{1}μ_5
m_{72}+m_{74}+m_{75}+m_{76}+m_{78} = s_{1}s_{2}μ_7

3. Mean time to system failure (MTSF)

MTSF is the average length of time a nonrepairable component or system lasts before failing which is measured by the maintenance statistic. The reliability analysis Λ_i at time 't' is therefore achieved by solving the equation shown below:

Λ_0 = Z_0 + q_{01} A_1 + q_{05} A_5
Λ_1 = Z_1 + q_{10} A_0 + q_{13} A_3
Λ_2 = Z_2 + q_{20} A_0 + q_{24} A_4
Λ_3 = Z_3 + q_{31} A_1
Λ_4 = Z_4 + q_{42} A_2

By taking Geometric transformation and solving the above equations, we obtain

Λ_i(h) = \frac{N_i(h)}{D_i(h)}

MTSF = μ_i = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{N_i(h)}{D_i(h)} - 1 = \frac{N_i}{D_i}

where

N_i = μ_i[(1-P_{01}P_{13})(1-P_{02}P_{23})+(1-P_{13}P_{31})] + μ_2[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})] + μ_3[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})] + μ_4[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})] + μ_5[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})] + μ_6[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})] + μ_7[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})] + μ_8[(1-P_{01}P_{12}P_{23})+(1-P_{02}P_{21}P_{23})]

D_i = P_{02}P_{24}(1-P_{01}P_{13}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{13}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}P_{23}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}P_{23}P_{24}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}P_{23}P_{24}P_{25}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}P_{23}P_{24}P_{25}P_{27}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}P_{23}P_{24}P_{25}P_{27}P_{28}) -(P_{01}P_{13} P_{12}P_{23}P_{24}P_{25}P_{27}P_{28}P_{29})

4. System availability

The availability of a particular system is defined as the likelihood that a repairable system or system component is operating at a specific time and under a specific set of environmental conditions. If Δ_i is the system's availability period at time t, then the following probabilistic relations will be deduced:

Δ_0 = Z_0 + q_{06} A_6 + q_{05} A_5 + q_{03} A_3
Δ_1 = Z_1 + q_{16} A_6 + q_{15} A_5 + q_{13} A_3
Δ_2 = Z_2 + q_{26} A_6 + q_{25} A_5 + q_{23} A_3
Δ_3 = Z_3 + q_{36} A_6 + q_{35} A_5 + q_{33} A_3
Δ_4 = Z_4 + q_{46} A_6 + q_{45} A_5 + q_{43} A_3
Δ_5 = Z_5 + q_{56} A_6 + q_{55} A_5 + q_{53} A_3
Δ_6 = Z_6 + q_{66} A_6 + q_{65} A_5 + q_{63} A_3
Δ_7 = Z_7 + q_{76} A_6 + q_{75} A_5 + q_{73} A_3
Δ_8 = Z_8 + q_{86} A_6 + q_{85} A_5 + q_{83} A_3
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So, by taking geometric transformation and solving the above equations, we obtain

\[ U_0(h) = \frac{N_z(h)}{D_2(h)} \]

and

\[ Z_i(h) = \mu_i \]

The steady state availability of system is:

\[ U_0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} U_0(t) \] (19)

By ‘L’ Hospital rule, we obtained

\[ U_0 = -\frac{N_z(1)}{D_2(1)}, \]

where

\[ D_2(1) = (P_{15}P_{13}P_{35}P_{87}+P_{13}P_{23})[(P_{15}P_{13}+P_{15}P_{a0})+(P_{15}+P_{a0})+P_{a0}(P_{15}P_{16}+P_{15}P_{13})]
+P_{16}(P_{15}P_{13}P_{35}P_{87}-P_{13}P_{23})[(-P_{15}P_{a0}P_{35}P_{87})+P_{a0}(P_{15}P_{16}P_{15}P_{16}P_{13})]
-P_{16}[P_{15}(I-P_{13}P_{35}P_{87})+P_{13}(P_{15}+P_{a0}P_{35}P_{87})] \] (20)

\[ N_z(1) = \mu_i(P_{15}P_{13}P_{35}P_{87}+P_{13}P_{23}) \]
\[ +\mu_i(-P_{15}P_{13}P_{35}P_{87}P_{15}P_{13}P_{35}P_{87})[(-P_{15}P_{a0}P_{35}P_{87})+P_{a0}(P_{15}P_{16}P_{15}P_{16}P_{13})]
+\mu_i(P_{15}I-P_{13}P_{35}P_{87}+P_{13}(P_{15}+P_{a0}P_{35}P_{87})) \] (21)

5. Repairman \((r_i)\) and inspection period of System

In order to boost system dependability and ensure customer satisfaction, it is crucial to have the finest repair procedures for all of its goods. However, as we are all aware, there are several potential causes for any mechanically sound system to fail. To reduce time waste and provide accurate information to the client regarding the time and cost of repair, it is increasingly vital to have the failed unit evaluated in order to determine the cause of the failure and proceed with the appropriate repair procedure.

Therefore, the repair process has been divided into two stages: a) inspection of failure or repair of a small failure or regular service by the repairman \((r_i)\), and b) repair of a serious failure indicated by repairman \((r_i)\). If \(\Omega_i\) denotes the repairman \((r_i)\) period of the system at time ‘t,’ then the resulting relations will be designed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_0 &= q_{23} \oplus \Omega_1 + q_{13} \oplus \Omega_2 + q_{31} \oplus \Omega_3 \\
\Omega_1 &= Z_{1} + q_{16} \oplus \Omega_2 + q_{14} \oplus \Omega_3 + q_{15} \oplus \Omega_4 + q_{16} \oplus \Omega_6 \\
\Omega_2 &= Z_{2} + q_{25} \oplus \Omega_3 + q_{24} \oplus \Omega_4 + q_{23} \oplus \Omega_5 + q_{25} \oplus \Omega_7 \\
\Omega_3 &= q_{31} \oplus \Omega_1 + q_{32} \oplus \Omega_2 + q_{34} \oplus \Omega_6 \\
\Omega_4 &= q_{43} \oplus \Omega_2 + q_{41} \oplus \Omega_3 + q_{42} \oplus \Omega_5 \\
\Omega_5 &= Z_{3} + q_{54} \oplus \Omega_4 + q_{56} \oplus \Omega_6 \\
\Omega_6 &= Z_{6} + q_{64} \oplus \Omega_5 + q_{63} \oplus \Omega_7 + q_{60} \oplus \Omega_8 + q_{67} \oplus \Omega_9 + q_{68} \oplus \Omega_6 \\
\Omega_7 &= Z_{7} + q_{75} \oplus \Omega_5 + q_{77} \oplus \Omega_4 + q_{72} \oplus \Omega_5 + q_{74} \oplus \Omega_6 \\
\Omega_8 &= q_{86} \oplus \Omega_7 \\
\end{align*}
\]
By geometric transformation and solving the above equations, we obtain

$$V_0(h) = \frac{N_s(h)}{D_s(h)},$$

and

$$V_0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} V_0(t).$$

Thus,

$$V_0 = \frac{N_s(1)}{D_s(2)}$$

(31)

$$N_s(1) = \mu_s((-P_{00}P_{10}P_{20}P_{30}P_{40} - P_{00}P_{20}P_{30}P_{40})((-P_{00}P_{10}P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40})) + \mu_s(P_{00}(1-P_{00}P_{10}P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40})) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40}) + P_{00}(P_{20}P_{30}P_{40}P_{30}P_{40})$$

(32)

6. Repairman $(r_2)$ Period of the System

If $T_i$ denotes the repairman $(r_2)$ period of the system at time ‘$t$,’ then the resulting relations will be designed as follows:

$$T_0 = q_{10}T_1 + q_{01}T_2 + q_{00}T_0$$
$$T_1 = q_{10}T_0 + q_{11}T_1 + q_{01}T_2 + q_{00}T_0$$
$$T_1 = Z_1 + q_{10}T_1 + q_{01}T_2 + q_{00}T_0$$
$$T_2 = Z_1 + q_{10}T_1 + q_{01}T_2 + q_{00}T_0$$
$$T_3 = Z_1 + q_{10}T_1 + q_{01}T_2 + q_{00}T_0$$
$$T_4 = Z_1 + q_{10}T_1 + q_{01}T_2 + q_{00}T_0$$

(33-41)

By taking geometric transformation and solving the above equations, we obtain

$$W_0(h) = \frac{N_s(h)}{D_s(h)},$$

and

$$W_0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} W_0(t).$$

After applying ‘L’ Hospital rule:

$$W_0 = \frac{N_s(1)}{D_s(2)}$$

(42)

$$N_s(1) = \mu_s(P_{01}P_{12}P_{23}P_{34}P_{45} + P_{01}P_{23}P_{34}P_{45} + P_{01}P_{23}P_{34}P_{45}) + P_{01}(P_{23}P_{34}P_{45}P_{34}P_{45}) + P_{01}(P_{23}P_{34}P_{45}P_{34}P_{45}) + P_{01}(P_{23}P_{34}P_{45}P_{34}P_{45})$$

(43)
7. Result and Discussions

The system profit function \( P \) at steady-state has been evaluated using:

\[
P = E_1 U_0 - E_2 V_0 - E_3 W_0,
\]

where

\( E_1 \): System per unit up time revenue.

\( E_2 \) and \( E_3 \): System per unit down time expenditure.

Results and behavior for system profit have been analyzed by fixing a few specific parameters \( E_1, E_2, E_3, p_2, \) and 'a' as follows:

\( E_1 = 10000, E_2 = 1000, E_3 = 500, p_2 = 0.6, \) and \( a = 0.6 \)

Table 2, 3 and Figure 2, 3 show how reliability metrics, such as the profit function, change when the failure rate \( p_1 \) and repair rate \( r_1 \) rise from 0.1 to 0.8.

![Figure 2. Profit P vs Failure rate \( p_1 \)](image)

Table 2. Reliability parameter values corresponding to repair rate \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repair Rate</th>
<th>MTSF</th>
<th>( U_0 )</th>
<th>( V_0 )</th>
<th>( W_0 )</th>
<th>Profit(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.1 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.65 )</td>
<td>18.41938401</td>
<td>0.117340919</td>
<td>0.644495213</td>
<td>0.238163869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.2 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.55 )</td>
<td>8.958971095</td>
<td>0.094017952</td>
<td>0.686816446</td>
<td>0.219165602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.3 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.5 )</td>
<td>5.835730697</td>
<td>0.080325562</td>
<td>0.717604534</td>
<td>0.202069904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.4 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.4 )</td>
<td>4.248222733</td>
<td>0.070758139</td>
<td>0.741488180</td>
<td>0.187753681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.5 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.3 )</td>
<td>3.267932718</td>
<td>0.063586183</td>
<td>0.760861151</td>
<td>0.175526666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.6 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.2 )</td>
<td>2.587934919</td>
<td>0.057994816</td>
<td>0.777190721</td>
<td>0.164814462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.7 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.1 )</td>
<td>2.076455454</td>
<td>0.053528966</td>
<td>0.791465501</td>
<td>0.155005333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=0.8 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.0 )</td>
<td>1.664798994</td>
<td>0.049911449</td>
<td>0.804413965</td>
<td>0.145674586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_1=1.0 )</td>
<td>( r_2=0.0 )</td>
<td>20.20870039</td>
<td>0.121164354</td>
<td>0.601372459</td>
<td>0.277463187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Reliability parameter values corresponding to repair rate \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \)
Table 3. Reliability parameters values corresponding to Failure Rate $p_i$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure Rate $p_i$</th>
<th>MTSF</th>
<th>$U_0$</th>
<th>$V_0$</th>
<th>$W_0$</th>
<th>Profit($P$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_i = 0.2$</td>
<td>8.853743852</td>
<td>0.089692833</td>
<td>0.681417923</td>
<td>0.228889244</td>
<td>101.0658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.853743852</td>
<td>0.111269628</td>
<td>0.626548767</td>
<td>0.262168195</td>
<td>355.2403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.6097673</td>
<td>0.124910338</td>
<td>0.60073284</td>
<td>0.274306378</td>
<td>511.1669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_i = 0.5$</td>
<td>10.37151298</td>
<td>0.136206318</td>
<td>0.584070242</td>
<td>0.279723439</td>
<td>638.1312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.15405131</td>
<td>0.146721164</td>
<td>0.57133951</td>
<td>0.281892885</td>
<td>755.4293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.96796821</td>
<td>0.157302456</td>
<td>0.560725567</td>
<td>0.281971977</td>
<td>871.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.82193851</td>
<td>0.16824144</td>
<td>0.551408516</td>
<td>0.28030044</td>
<td>990.8309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.72391927</td>
<td>0.179994459</td>
<td>0.542961365</td>
<td>0.277044176</td>
<td>1118.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.248144538</td>
<td>0.06203077</td>
<td>0.753800646</td>
<td>0.184168584</td>
<td>-225.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.361709817</td>
<td>0.08787833</td>
<td>0.67237733</td>
<td>0.23974434</td>
<td>86.5338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_i = 0.3$</td>
<td>3.483292221</td>
<td>0.105473389</td>
<td>0.629407046</td>
<td>0.265111565</td>
<td>292.7671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.61275125</td>
<td>0.120020244</td>
<td>0.601400155</td>
<td>0.278579602</td>
<td>459.5125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75007056</td>
<td>0.133235004</td>
<td>0.580749313</td>
<td>0.286015683</td>
<td>608.5929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.895277906</td>
<td>0.145893407</td>
<td>0.564232931</td>
<td>0.289873662</td>
<td>749.7643</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Profit $P$ vs Repair rate $r_i$. 
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Table 3. Reliability parameters values corresponding to Failure Rate $p_i$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure Rate</th>
<th>MTSF</th>
<th>$U_0$</th>
<th>$V_0$</th>
<th>$W_0$</th>
<th>Profit($P$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 0.2$</td>
<td>4.37270967</td>
<td>0.090681496</td>
<td>0.65361963</td>
<td>0.255698874</td>
<td>125.3458928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 0.55$</td>
<td>3.340850453</td>
<td>0.08543415</td>
<td>0.666169357</td>
<td>0.24369493</td>
<td>63.97390063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 0.3$</td>
<td>4.528970677</td>
<td>0.104130033</td>
<td>0.612377</td>
<td>0.283492967</td>
<td>287.1768459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 0.5$</td>
<td>3.437566727</td>
<td>0.100320408</td>
<td>0.619651252</td>
<td>0.28004634</td>
<td>243.349656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 0.7$</td>
<td>2.695505901</td>
<td>0.097248666</td>
<td>0.62638185</td>
<td>0.27646949</td>
<td>207.709662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 0.9$</td>
<td>2.144849426</td>
<td>0.094785834</td>
<td>0.63257295</td>
<td>0.272641216</td>
<td>178.964718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i = 1.0$</td>
<td>1.706600487</td>
<td>0.092948684</td>
<td>0.638649504</td>
<td>0.268401812</td>
<td>156.6364351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Conclusions

The focus of the current paper is on analyzing the active redundancy system for the food packaging machine and explaining the different possibilities of repair for distinct failures. The working structure of the discussed problem is well designed through the transition model. The calculated numerical results for MTSF, availability period of the system, maintenance period in Sections 5 and 6 help to calculate the profit function of the system. The increasing and decreasing behavior of the profit function with increasing repair rate and a decreasing failure rate support the reliability parameters results. The numerical and graphical analysis of the reliability parameters proved that the concepts introduced in the paper proved beneficial, concluding with satisfying the focus of the research. Hence, the study report will demonstrate that its goals of advancing industries through the development of new procedures employing recommended repair methods for various failures.
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