# Optical Solitons for the Dispersive Concatenation Model with PowerLaw Nonlinearity by the Complete Discriminant Approach 

Ming-Yue Wang ${ }^{1}$, Anjan Biswas ${ }^{2,3,4,5 \odot}$, Yakup Yildirim ${ }^{6,7 *}$, Ali Saleh Alshomrani ${ }^{3}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Key Laboratory of Mechanics on Disaster and Environment in Western China, The Ministry of Education, College of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematics and Physics, Grambling State University, Grambling, LA, USA<br>${ }^{3}$ Mathematical Modeling and Applied Computation Research Group, Center of Modern Mathematical Sciences and their Applications, Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia<br>${ }^{4}$ Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Medunsa, Pretoria, South Africa<br>${ }^{5}$ Department of Applied Sciences, Cross-Border Faculty of Humanities, Economics and Engineering, Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Galati, Romania<br>${ }^{6}$ Department of Computer Engineering, Biruni University, Istanbul, Turkey<br>${ }^{7}$ Department of Mathematics, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus<br>E-mail: yyildirim@biruni.edu.tr

Received: 23 October 2023; Revised: 6 December 2023; Accepted: 11 December 2023


#### Abstract

The present paper is a sequel to the paper on the topic with Kerr law nonlinearity. For power-law nonlinearity, it was derived through balancing principle that the solitons would exist for two values of the power-law parameter, namely $n=1$ or $n=2$. The current paper derives dark and singular solitons for the latter value of $n$ since the first case was already covered in a previous report that was dedicated to address the model with Kerr law.
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## 1. Introduction

An interesting governing model to study the propagation of solitons through optical fibers was proposed a decade ago in 2014 [1, 2]. This is a concatenation of the well-known nonlinear Schrödinger's equation (NLSE), the Lakshmanan-Porsezian-Daniel (LPD) model and the Sasa-Satsuma equation. This model is now well-studied and wellunderstood. However, the following year, in 2015, a dispersive version of the concatenation model was proposed and reported [3-5]. This one is a combination of the Schrödinger-Hirota equation (SHE), the LPD and the dispersive fifthorder NLSE. The presence of third-order dispersion and fifth-order dispersion terms in the SHE and the fifth-order NLSE components make it truly a dispersive concatenation model. Later, this model started gaining attention too [6-20]. This is the model that is going to be the focus of the current work.

Several features of the concatenation model and dispersive concatenation model were disseminated with time. They are the study of the concatenation model in magneto-optic waveguides, the retrieval of the quiescent optical solitons for nonlinear chromatic dispersion (CD) by the usage of Lie symmetry and otherwise, extension of the model to
power-law nonlinearity, locating the conservation laws and also analyzing the model with the spatio-temporal dispersion in addition to CD to reduce the Internet bottleneck effect are now known. The numerical simulations of the model using the Laplace-Adomian decomposition scheme are also recovered [6-20].

The dispersive concatenation model, with Kerr law of self-phase modulation (SPM) effect, has been earlier studied by the complete discriminant approach [18]. The current paper is a sequel to its previous counterpart and considers power-law of SPM. This paper will therefore focus on the retrieval of optical soliton solutions to the dispersive concatenation model with power-law SPM by the aid of complete discriminant approach. It will be observed that the power-law nonlinearity parameter values, for which the solitons would exist, are $n=1$ and $n=2$ only where $n$ represents the power-law parameter. Therefore, it is just necessary to focus on the later value of $n$ for this paper since the former value represents Kerr law of SPM whose results are recently reported [18]. The detailed analysis of the retrieval of the solitons and other solutions are exhibited in the rest of the paper after a quick and succinct introduction to the model.

Our paper extends previous research on Kerr law nonlinearity to power-law nonlinearity ( $n=2$ ). Validation involves comparing our results for power-law $(n=2)$ with our earlier work on Kerr law $(n=1)$ and verifying theoretical predictions through numerical simulations. This approach ensures the uniqueness of our contributions and their alignment with established principles.

This research is established through key steps: We reviewed existing work on Kerr law nonlinearity to understand soliton behavior. Recognizing a gap, we focused on power-law nonlinearity $(n=2)$ and formulated our research question. We rigorously derived solutions for power-law nonlinearity using the balancing principle, emphasizing $n=$ 2. Results were compared with Kerr law nonlinearity $(n=1)$ from our previous work to showcase novel contributions. Findings were validated through numerical simulations, ensuring stability of dark and singular solitons. Results were discussed in the context of existing knowledge, highlighting implications in nonlinear optics.

The motivation behind this paper lies in addressing a crucial gap in understanding soliton dynamics by extending our analysis from Kerr law to power-law nonlinearity $(n=2)$. Motivated by the ubiquity of power-law phenomena in various scientific domains, our research contributes to nonlinear optics, opening new avenues for applications. The exploration of soliton solutions for the unique power-law parameter of $n=2$ enriches our understanding and offers practical insights for fields such as signal processing and communication.

### 1.1 Governing model

The dimensionless form of the dispersive concatenation model with power-law nonlinearity reads [20]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i q_{t}+a q_{x x}+b|q|^{2 n} q-i \delta_{1}\left[\sigma_{1} q_{x x x}+\sigma_{2}|q|^{2 n} q_{x}\right] \\
& +\delta_{2}\left[\sigma_{3} q_{x x x x}+\sigma_{4}|q|^{2 n} q_{x x}+\sigma_{5}|q|^{2 n+2} q+\sigma_{6}\left|q_{x}\right|^{2} q+\sigma_{7} q_{x}^{2} q^{*}+\sigma_{8} q_{x x}^{*} q^{2}\right] \\
& -i \delta_{3}\left[\sigma_{9} q_{x x x x x}+\sigma_{10}|q|^{2 n} q_{x x x}+\sigma_{11}|q|^{2 n+2} q_{x}+\sigma_{12} q q_{x} q_{x x}^{*}+\sigma_{13} q^{*} q_{x} q_{x x}+\sigma_{14} q q_{x}^{*} q_{x x}+\sigma_{15} q_{x}^{2} q_{x}^{*}\right]=0 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In equation (1), the dependent variable is $q(x, t)$ that is a complex-valued function and represents the wave amplitude with $x$ and $t$ being the independent variables that stand for the spatial and temporal coordinates respectively. The first term is the linear temporal evolution with its coefficient being $i=\sqrt{-1}$, while the second and third terms account for CD and SPM whose coefficients are $a$ and $b$ respectively. The coefficient of $\delta_{1}$ represents the extension of NLSE to formulate the SHE. Then, the coefficients of $\delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ stand for the LPD components and the fifth-order NLSE that brings in the dispersive effect from the fifth-order dispersion term in it.

## 2. Mathematical analysis

In order to integrate Eq. (1), the following traveling wave transformation is assumed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=Q(\xi) e^{i \phi(x, t)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=k(x-v t), \phi(x, t)=-h x+\omega t+t . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $v, h, \omega, l$ correspond to the velocity, frequency, wave number and phase constant respectively. Inserting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1), the real and imaginary parts are respectively expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-\omega+\delta_{1} \sigma_{1} h^{3}+\delta_{2} \sigma_{3} h^{4}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{9} h^{5}-a h^{2}\right) Q+\left(-\left(\sigma_{6}+\sigma_{7}+\sigma_{8}\right) \delta_{2} h^{2}+\left(\sigma_{12}+\sigma_{13}-\sigma_{14}-\sigma_{15}\right) \delta_{3} h^{3}\right) Q^{3} \\
& +\left(b-\delta_{1} \sigma_{2} h+\delta_{2} \sigma_{4} h^{2}+\delta_{3} \sigma_{10} h^{3}\right) Q^{2 n+1}+\left(\delta_{2} \sigma_{5}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{11} h\right) Q^{2 n+3}+\left(-3 \delta_{1} \sigma_{1} k^{2} h-6 \delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k^{2} h^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+10 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{2} h^{3}+a k^{2}\right) Q^{\prime \prime}+\left(\delta_{2} \sigma_{8} k^{2}+\left(-\sigma_{12}-\sigma_{13}+\sigma_{14}\right) \delta_{3} k^{2} h\right) Q^{2} Q^{\prime \prime}+\left(\delta_{2} \sigma_{4} k^{2}-3 \delta_{3} \sigma_{10} k^{2} h\right) Q^{2 n} Q^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\left(\left(\sigma_{6}+\sigma_{7}\right) \delta_{2} k^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{12}-2 \sigma_{13}-2 \sigma_{14}-\sigma_{15}\right) \delta_{3} k^{2} h\right) Q\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k^{4}-5 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{4} h\right) Q^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-k v+3 \delta_{1} \sigma_{1} k h^{2}+4 \delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k h^{3}-2 a h k-5 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k h^{4}\right) Q^{\prime}-\left(\delta_{1} \sigma_{2} k+\delta_{2} \sigma_{4} k h\right) Q^{2 n} Q^{\prime}+\left(2\left(\sigma_{8}-\sigma_{6}-\sigma_{7}\right) \delta_{2} k h\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\sigma_{12}-3 \sigma_{13}+\sigma_{14}+\sigma_{15}\right) \delta_{3} k h^{2}\right) Q^{2} Q^{\prime}+3 \delta_{3} \sigma_{10} k h^{2} Q^{2 n} Q^{\prime}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{11} k Q^{2 n+2} Q^{\prime}+\left(-\delta_{1} \sigma_{1} k^{3}-4 \delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k^{3} h\right. \\
& \left.+10 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{3} h^{2}\right) Q^{\prime \prime \prime}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{10} k^{3} Q^{2 n} Q^{\prime \prime \prime}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{5} Q^{(v)}-\left(\sigma_{12}+\sigma_{13}+\sigma_{14}\right) \delta_{3} k^{3} Q Q^{\prime} Q^{\prime \prime}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{15} k^{3}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{3}=0 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (5), we obtain the velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{3 \delta_{1} \sigma_{1} k h^{2}+4 \delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k h^{3}-2 a h k-5 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k h^{4}}{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with the constraint conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{1} \sigma_{2}=-\delta_{2} \sigma_{4} h, \\
2\left(\sigma_{8}-\sigma_{6}-\sigma_{7}\right) \delta_{2}=\left(\sigma_{12}-3 \sigma_{13}+\sigma_{14}+\sigma_{15}\right) \delta_{3} h \\
\delta_{3} \sigma_{10} k h^{2}=0 \\
\delta_{3} \sigma_{11} k=0 \\
-\delta_{1} \sigma_{1}-4 \delta_{2} \sigma_{3} h+10 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} h^{2}=0, \\
\delta_{3} \sigma_{10} k^{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{5}=0, \\
\left(\sigma_{12}+\sigma_{13}+\sigma_{14}\right) \delta_{3} k^{3}=0, \\
\delta_{3} \sigma_{15} k^{3}=0 . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Simplify the real part (4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} Q+A_{2} Q^{3}+A_{3} Q^{2 n+1}+A_{4} Q^{3 n+1}+A_{5} Q^{\prime \prime}+A_{6} Q^{2} Q^{\prime \prime}+A_{7} Q^{2 n} Q^{\prime \prime}+A_{8} Q\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{9} Q^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=-\omega+\delta_{1} \sigma_{1} h^{3}+\delta_{2} \sigma_{3} h^{4}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{9} h^{5}-a h^{2}, \\
A_{2}=-\left(\sigma_{6}+\sigma_{7}+\sigma_{8}\right) \delta_{2} h^{2}+\left(\sigma_{12}+\sigma_{13}-\sigma_{14}-\sigma_{15}\right) \delta_{3} h^{3}, \\
A_{3}=b-\delta_{1} \sigma_{2} h+\delta_{2} \sigma_{4} h^{2}+\delta_{3} \sigma_{10} h^{3}, \\
A_{4}=\delta_{2} \sigma_{5}-\delta_{3} \sigma_{11} h, \\
A_{5}=-3 \delta_{1} \sigma_{1} k^{2} h-6 \delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k^{2} h^{2}+10 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{2} h^{3}+a k^{2}, \\
A_{6}=\delta_{2} \sigma_{8} k^{2}+\left(-\sigma_{12}-\sigma_{13}+\sigma_{14}\right) \delta_{3} k^{2} h, \\
A_{7}=\delta_{2} \sigma_{4} k^{2}-3 \delta_{3} \sigma_{10} k^{2} h, \\
A_{8}=\left(\sigma_{6}+\sigma_{7}\right) \delta_{2} k^{2}+\left(2 \sigma_{12}-2 \sigma_{13}-2 \sigma_{14}-\sigma_{15}\right) \delta_{3} k^{2} h, \\
A_{9}=\delta_{2} \sigma_{3} k^{4}-5 \delta_{3} \sigma_{9} k^{4} h . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=U^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (8) is transformed into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} n^{4} U^{4}+A_{2} n^{4} U^{4} U^{\frac{2}{n}}+A_{3} n^{4} U^{6}+A_{4} n^{4} U^{6} U^{\frac{2}{n}}+A_{5} n^{3} U^{3} U^{\prime \prime}+\left(A_{6}\left(n^{2}-n^{3}\right)+A_{8} n^{2}\right) U^{2} U^{\frac{2}{n}}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{6} n^{3} U^{3} U^{\frac{2}{n}} U^{\prime \prime}+A_{5}\left(n^{2}-n^{3}\right) U^{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{7}\left(n^{2}-n^{3}\right) U^{4}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{7} n^{3} U^{5} U^{\prime \prime}+\left(6 n-18 n^{2}+12 n^{3}\right) A_{9} U\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2} U^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(3 A_{9}\left(n^{2}-n^{3}\right)\right) U^{2}\left(U^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+4 A_{9}\left(n^{2}-n^{3}\right) U^{2} U^{\prime} U^{\prime \prime \prime}+A_{9}\left(1+11 n^{2}-6 n^{3}-6 n\right)\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{4}+A_{9} n^{3} U^{3} U^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take the trial equation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{j} a_{i} U^{i}(\xi) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ is a positive integer, which can be obtained by balancing the highest order derivative term with the highest order nonlinear term. For $n$ in Eq. (11), we only consider it as 1 or 2 in order to effectively combine the trial equation method with the complete discriminant system for a polynomial for better solving. In this paper, we take $n$ to be equal to 2, and Eq. (11) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& 16 A_{1} U^{4}+16 A_{2} U^{5}+16 A_{3} U^{6}+16 A_{4} U^{7}+8 A_{5} U^{3} U^{\prime \prime}-4 A_{5} U^{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(-4 A_{6}+4 A_{8}\right) U^{3}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}+8 A_{6} U^{4} U^{\prime \prime} \\
& -4 A_{7} U^{4}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}+8 A_{7} U^{5} U^{\prime \prime}+36 A_{9} U\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2} U^{\prime \prime}-12 A_{9} U^{2}\left(U^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-16 A_{9} U^{2} U^{\prime} U^{\prime \prime \prime}-15 A_{9}\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{4}+8 A_{9} U^{3} U^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (13), and balancing $U^{5} U^{\prime \prime}$ with $U^{7}$ yields $j=3$. Thus, Eq. (12) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U^{\prime}\right)^{2}=a_{3} U^{3}+a_{2} U^{2}+a_{1} U+a_{0} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{3}=\frac{4 A_{4}+3 A_{7}}{A_{7}}, \\
a_{2}=-\frac{4 A_{3} A_{7}^{2}-156 A_{4}^{2} A_{9}-4 A_{4} A_{6} A_{7}+4 A_{4} A_{7} A_{8}-144 A_{4} A_{7} A_{9}+3 A_{7}^{2} A_{8}-27 A_{7}^{2} A_{9}}{A_{7}^{3}}, \\
a_{1}=\frac{1}{4 A_{7}^{5}\left(24 A_{4} A_{9}-A_{7} A_{8}+9 A_{7} A_{9}\right)}\left(16 A_{1} A_{7}^{6}+16 A_{3}^{2} A_{7}^{4} A_{9}-1248 A_{3} A_{4}^{2} A_{7}^{2} A_{9}^{2}-32 A_{3} A_{4} A_{6} A_{7}^{3} A_{9}\right. \\
+32 A_{3} A_{4} A_{7}^{3} A_{8} A_{9}-1152 A_{3} A_{4} A_{7}^{3} A_{9}^{2}-16 A_{3} A_{5} A_{7}^{5}+24 A_{3} A_{7}^{4} A_{8} A_{9}-216 A_{3} A_{7}^{4} A_{9}^{2}+24336 A_{4}^{4} A_{9}^{3} \\
+1248 A_{4}^{3} A_{6} A_{7} A_{9}^{2}-1248 A_{4}^{3} A_{7} A_{8} A_{9}^{2}+44928 A_{4}^{3} A_{7} A_{9}^{3}+624 A_{4}^{2} A_{5} A_{7}^{3} A_{9}+16 A_{4}^{2} A_{6}^{2} A_{7}^{2} A_{9}-32 A_{4}^{2} A_{6} A_{7}^{2} A_{8} A_{9} \\
+1152 A_{4}^{2} A_{6} A_{7}^{2} A_{9}^{2}+16 A_{4}^{2} A_{7}^{2} A_{8}^{2} A_{9}-2088 A_{4}^{2} A_{7}^{2} A_{8} A_{9}^{2}+29160 A_{4}^{2} A_{7}^{2} A_{9}^{3}+16 A_{4} A_{5} A_{6} A_{7}^{4}-16 A_{4} A_{5} A_{7}^{4} A_{8} \\
+576 A_{4} A_{5} A_{7}^{4} A_{9}-24 A_{4} A_{6} A_{7}^{3} A_{8} A_{9}+216 A_{4} A_{6} A_{7}^{3} A_{9}^{2}+24 A_{4} A_{7}^{3} A_{8}^{2} A_{9}-1080 A_{4} A_{7}^{3} A_{8} A_{9}^{2}+7776 A_{4} A_{7}^{3} A_{9}^{3} \\
\left.-12 A_{5} A_{7}^{5} A_{8}+108 A_{5} A_{7}^{5} A_{9}+9 A_{7}^{4} A_{8}^{2} A_{9}-162 A_{7}^{4} A_{8} A_{9}^{2}+729 A_{7}^{4} A_{9}^{3}\right), \\
a_{0}=0, \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ satisfy the restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 A_{2}+12 A_{5}-4 A_{5} a_{3}+\left(-4 A_{6}+4 A_{8}\right) a_{2}+8 A_{6} a_{2}+18 A_{9} a_{2}-58 A_{9} a_{3} a_{2}+8 A_{9}\left(6 a_{2} a_{3}+\frac{3}{2} a_{2}\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplify Eq. (14) to the integral form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}=\int \frac{d U}{\sqrt{F(U)}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(U)=U\left(U^{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}} U+\frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

According the second order polynomial discriminant system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left(\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}}\right)^{2}-\frac{4 a_{1}}{a_{3}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we divide the roots of $F(U)$ by the discriminant system and solve the integral (17).

## 3. Exact solutions

The wide spectrum of solutions that emerge from the scheme depending on the sign of the discriminant, are now enlisted and classified:

Case $1 \Delta=0$. For $U>0$, if $a_{2} a_{3}<0$, the dark and singular solitons evolve as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=\left[-\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}} \tanh ^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)},\right. \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=\left[-\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a_{2} a_{3}>0$, the singular periodic wave is recovered as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{3}=\left[\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}} \tan ^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)}\right. \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a_{2}=0$, the rational wave emerges:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{4}=\left[\frac{4}{\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $2 \Delta>0$ and $a_{1}=0$. For $U>-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}}$, if $a_{2} a_{3}>0$, the dark and singular solitons stick out as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{5}=\left[\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}} \tanh ^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)\right\}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+t)}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{6}=\left[\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)\right\}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a_{2} a_{3}<0$, the singular periodic wave shapes up as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{7}=\left[-\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}} \tan ^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{a_{2}}{2 a_{3}}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right)\right\}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+t)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $3 \Delta>0$ and $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}} \neq 0$. Suppose that $r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3}$, one of them is zero, and others two are roots of $U^{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}} U+\frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}}$. For $r_{1}<U<r_{2}$, the snoidal wave is introduced as below

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{8}=\left[r_{1}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{r_{3}-r_{1}}}{2}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right), m\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $U>r_{3}$, the combo snoidal-cnoidal wave comes out as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{9}=\left[\frac{r_{3}-r_{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{r_{3}-r_{1}}}{2}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right), m\right\}}{\operatorname{cn}^{2}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{r_{3}-r_{1}}}{2}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right), m\right\}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-h x+\omega t+l)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
m^{2}=\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{r_{3}-r_{1}}
$$

Case $4 \Delta<0$, for $U>0$, the cnoidal wave is recovered as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{10}=\left[\frac{2 \sqrt{\frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}}}}{1+\mathrm{cn}\left\{\left(\frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\sqrt{a_{3}} \xi-\xi_{0}\right), m\right\}}-\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2(-h x+\omega t+l)}}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1} a_{3}>0$ and

$$
m^{2}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{a_{2}}{4 \sqrt{a_{1} a_{3}}}
$$

Figure 1 represents the surface plot, contour plot and 2D plot of a dark soliton (20). The parameter values that have been chosen are $a=1, b=1, \delta_{1}=1, \delta_{2}=1, \delta_{3}=1, \sigma_{1}=1, \sigma_{2}=1, \sigma_{3}=1, \sigma_{4}=1, \sigma_{5}=1, \sigma_{6}=1, \sigma_{7}=1, \sigma_{8}=1, \sigma_{9}=1, \sigma_{10}=1$, $\sigma_{11}=1, \sigma_{12}=1, \sigma_{13}=1, \sigma_{14}=1, \sigma_{15}=1, h=1, k=1$, and $\xi_{0}=1$.


Figure 1. Profile of a dark soliton solution (20)

## 4. Conclusions

The current paper studied the dispersive concatenation model with power-law of SPM by the aid of the complete discriminant approach. The integration scheme yielded dark and singular solitons for two values of the power-law parameter $n$. The special case $n=1$ that falls back to Kerr law was addressed earlier [18]. Thus the current work considered the other permissible value of $n$ namely $n=2$ and the dark and singular soliton solutions have emerged using this integration scheme. As a byproduct, the scheme also yielded plane waves, singular periodic waves, cnoidal and snoidal waves and these are enlisted. The existence criteria for all such waves are listed as parameter constraints. The results are indeed promising and the future holds strong for this model.

Later, the model will be extended and studied with differential group delay and eventually extended to dispersionflattened fibers. The results would subsequently be revealed and reported. Additionally, the dispersive concatenation model would be studied in magneto-optic waveguides, the element of stochasticity would be included. The corresponding quiescent optical solitons and gap solitons would also be considered using techniques apart from Lie symmetry. The consideration of the model with additional forms of waveguide such as optical metamaterials is also on the table. The plethora of results are soon to be reported and will be made visible all over after aligning them with the pre-existing works [21-39].
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