**Research Article** 



# The Relationship between Model Spaces and Invariant Subspaces of the Unilateral Shift Operator

Xiaoyuan Yang<sup>D</sup>

School of Science, Jiangsu Ocean University, Lianyungang 222005, Jiangsu, China E-mail: yangxyxy@163.com

Received: 28 October 2023; Revised: 19 December 2023; Accepted: 26 January 2024

Abstract: Let *u* be an inner function and  $K_u^2$  be the model space. For inner function *v*, the space  $vH^2$  is the invariant subspace of the unilateral shift operator on  $H^2$ . In this article, the relationship between model spaces  $K_u^2$  and invariant subspaces  $vH^2$  of the unilateral shift operator is discussed from perspectives of the Toeplitz kernels ker $T_{\overline{u}v}$  ( $v \neq u$ ), the spectrum of *u* and *v*, the left invertible property of  $T_{\overline{u}v}$ , the minimal isometric dilation and the completeness problem. We obtain that the Toeplitz operator  $T_u$  on  $H^2$  is a minimal isometric dilation of  $A_u^v$  defined on the model space  $K_v^2$  if and only if  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$  when  $(\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T})$ .

Keywords: model spaces, invariant subspaces, Toeplitz kernels, minimal isometric dilations

MSC: 30J05, 47B35, 47A15

## **1. Introduction**

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$  denote the open unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the unit circle. Ues  $L^2$  to denote the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$||h||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |h(t)|^2 dm(t), h \in L^2,$$

and it is finite, where dm is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The Banach space of all functions in  $L^2$  essentially bounded on  $\mathbb{T}$  is denoted  $L^{\infty}$ . Hardy spaces  $H^2$  consist of all analytic functions f on  $\mathbb{D}$  having square-summable Taylor coefficients at 0. The space  $H^{\infty}$  consists of all bounded holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  with the norm

$$\|h\|_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |h(z)|.$$

Copyright ©2024 Xiaoyuan Yang DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.5220243835

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3/256/cm.5220243835 This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license

(Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

An analytic function *u* is called an inner function if |u| = 1 a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Hilbert spaces of the form  $K_u^2 = H^2 \ominus uH^2$  called mode spaces, which are proper nontrivial invariant subspaces of the backward shift  $S^*$ , given by

$$(S^*g)(z) = \frac{g(z) - g(0)}{z},$$

on  $H^2$ .

To eplitz operators are compressions to  $H^2$  of multiplication operators on  $L^2$ . For  $f \in L^{\infty}$ , a Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  is defined on  $H^2$  by

$$T_f h = P(fh), h \in H^2,$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  onto  $H^2$ . The function f called the symbol of  $T_f$ .

Truncated Toeplitz operators  $A_f^u$  are compressions of Toeplitz operators  $T_f$  to  $K_u^2$ . For  $f \in L^{\infty}$ ,  $A_f^u$  induced by f and u is defined by

$$A_f^u \phi = P_u(f\phi), \ \phi \in K_u^2$$

where  $P_u$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  onto  $K_u^2$ . Clearly,  $(A_f^u)^* = A_{\overline{f}}^u$ . More additional detail of truncated Toeplitz operators can be found in the paper initiated by Sarason [1].

Based on the view of operator theory, truncated Toeplitz operators represent the scalar version of the Sz.-Nagy and Foias general theory of contractions in a Hilbert space [2]. In paper [3], authors proved that  $I - (A_{\varphi}^{u})^* A_{\varphi}^{u}$  has a finite rank *n* if and only if the symbol  $\varphi$  is a finite Blaschke product  $B_n$  of degree *n*, where *u* is a nontrivial inner function and  $K_u^2$  is an infinite dimension model space, and  $\varphi \in K_u^2 \cap L^{\infty}$  with  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  such that  $I - (A_{\varphi}^{u})^* A_{\varphi}^{u} \neq 0$  and the symbol of  $A_{\varphi}^{u}$  is unique. From the proof of this conclusion, we find that if  $I - A_{\varphi}^{u} (A_{\varphi}^{u})^*$  has a finite rank on  $K_u^2$ , then

$$K_{\varphi}^2 \cap uK_{\varphi}^2 = \{0\}.$$

Since

$$H^2 = K_{\varphi}^2 \oplus \varphi H^2$$
 and  $K_{\varphi}^2 \perp u \varphi H^2$ ,

we get that

$$K_{\varphi}^2 \cap uH^2 = K_{\varphi}^2 \cap u(K_{\varphi}^2 \oplus \varphi H^2) = K_{\varphi}^2 \cap (uK_{\varphi}^2 \oplus u\varphi H^2) = \{0\}$$

It follows that  $K_{\varphi}^2 \cap uH^2 = \{0\}$  when  $I - A_{\varphi}^u (A_{\varphi}^u)^*$  has a finite rank on  $K_u^2$ . Therefore, we find that the relationship between model spaces  $K_u^2$  and invariant subspaces  $vH^2$  of the unilateral shift operator is a very interesting problem.

Although  $K_u^2 \perp uH^2$ , but the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  ( $v \neq u$ ) is complicated. The relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  is related to invariant subspaces of truncated Toeplitz operators (see Remark 1 in the Subsection 2.1), kernels spaces of Toeplitz operators on Hardy space (see Lemma 1 in the Subsection 2.1), the minimum isometric dilation of

truncated Toeplitz operators (see Theorem 2 in the Subsection 2.4) and the completeness problem which means that a sequence  $\{k_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \wedge}$  of kernel functions for Hardy spaces forms a Riesz basis for  $K_u^2$  (see Lemma 7 in the Subsection 2.5). From the above related perspectives, we are devoted to using properties and the structure of inner functions to describe the intersection of  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$ , and discuss which properties of inner functions affect the intersection of  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$ .

For inner functions u, v with  $v \neq u$ , in our paper, we discuss the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  by kernels spaces of Toeplitz operators, the minimum isometric dilation of Toeplitz operators and the completeness problem. That is, use the following equivalence relations to discuss the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$ .

1.  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}.$ 

2. ker $T_{\bar{u}v} = \{0\}$ .

3.  $K_{\wedge} = K_u^2$ , where  $\wedge \subset \mathbb{D}$  means a Blaschke sequence and a infinite Blaschke product *v* with the zero set  $\wedge$ . Use  $K_{\wedge}$  to denote the space spanned by

$$\left\{k_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{1-\overline{\lambda}z},\,\lambda\in\wedge\right\}.$$

4.  $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T_{\overline{v}u}} = H^2$ . In fact,  $(\ker T_{\overline{u}v})^{\perp} = \overline{\operatorname{ran} T_{\overline{v}u}}$ .

5. The minimal isometric dilation of  $A_u^v$  defined on  $K_v^2$  is a Toeplitz operator  $T_u$  defined on  $H^2$ .

Combining the above research ideas, this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  and consider the intersection of  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  in particular. In Subsection 2.1, we obtain that  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = v \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$ ; In Subsection 2.2, we know that  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$  when  $(\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T})$ ; In Subsection 2.3, use the left invertible property of  $T_{\overline{u}v}$  to discuss the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$ ; In Subsection 2.4, the Toeplitz operator  $T_u$  on  $H^2$  is a minimal isometric dilation of  $A_u^v$  defined on  $K_v^2$  if and only if  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$ ; In Subsection 2.5, use the completeness problem to study the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$ .

## 2. The relationship between $K_{\mu}^2$ and $vH^2$

For kernel spaces of Toeplitz operators, Coburn's Theorem (see Proposition 7.24 in [4]) claimed that either ker $T_g = \{0\}$ or ker $T_g^* = \{0\}$  for  $g \in L^{\infty}$ . Whenever ker $T_g \neq \{0\}$ , it will switch to some description about kernel spaces of Toeplitz operators. For the structure of Toeplitz kernels, consider a special case of Toeplitz operators  $T_f$  with symbols of the form  $f = \overline{\theta}$ , where  $\theta$  is some inner function. Then clearly, ker $T_{\overline{\theta}} = K_{\theta}^2$ . Does there exist some analogous characterization for the kernels of Toeplitz operators with general symbols? Hayashi's results [5] play a crucial role. Whenever ker $T_g \neq \{0\}$ , then ker $T_g = \varphi K_{\eta}^2$ , where  $\varphi$  is an outer function and  $\eta$  is an inner function with  $\eta(0) = 0$ , and further, multiplication by  $\varphi$ acts isometrically on ker $T_g$ . Not hard to get that the Toeplitz kernel is nearly  $S^*$ -invariant by  $S^*T_gS = T_g$ . Hitt [6] showed some description about nearly  $S^*$ -invariant subspaces. Later, Sarason [7] gave some new proof of Hitt's theorem by the de Branges-Rovnyak spaces. More research details of Toeplitz kernels can refer to [8].

As we have seen, the class of kernel spaces of Toeplitz operators, which includes the class of model spaces, can itself be described in terms of model spaces. Moreover, model spaces and Toeplitz kernels have a number of important connections. Some classical results about the connection between Toeplitz kernels and model spaces are given in [8].

Above introductions, we can discuss the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  with the help of the Toeplitz kernel ker $T_{uv}$ , and what kind of information on the relationship between  $K_u^2$  and  $vH^2$  can be deduced from the kernels of Toeplitz operators.

### 2.1 In terms of the Toeplitz kernel ker $T_{uv}$

As is known to all that  $K_{\mu}^2$  has a natural conjugation C, antiunitary, involution operator, defined by

$$Cg = \overline{zg}u, g \in K_u^2.$$

Using the conjugation, model spaces have a very important property and it is frequently used in subsequent proofs, that is,

$$K_u^2 = H^2 \cap u\overline{zH^2}.$$

For the sake of completeness of the article, we give the following proof of possible well-known conclusion. Lemma 1 Let u, v be inner functions, then

$$\ker T_{\overline{u}v} = \{g \in K_{\mu}^2: vg \in K_{\mu}^2\}.$$
(1)

Moreover, ker $T_{\overline{u}\nu} \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $\nu H^2 \cap K_u^2 \neq \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** Setting  $\mathscr{G} = \{g \in K_u^2: vg \in K_u^2\}$ . For a nonzero function  $\varphi \in \mathscr{G} \subset K_u^2$ , because  $K_u^2$  has a conjugation *C*, there is  $\psi \in K_u^2$  such that

$$v\varphi = u\overline{z\psi}.$$

Then

$$T_{\overline{u}v}\varphi = P(\overline{u}v\varphi) = P(\overline{u}u\overline{z}\overline{\psi}) = P(\overline{z}\overline{\psi}) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\varphi \in \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$$
 and  $\mathscr{G} \subseteq \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$ .

For a nonzero function  $g \in \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$ , we have that  $T_{\overline{u}v}g = P(\overline{u}vg) = 0$ . There is  $h \in H^2$  such that

$$\overline{u}vg = \overline{zh}.$$
(2)

From this, we can obtain that  $vg = u\overline{zh} \in H^2$ . In terms of

$$K_{\mu}^{2} = u\overline{zH^{2}} \cap H^{2}, \tag{3}$$

we know that  $vg \in K_u^2$ . By the equality (2), we get that  $g = u\overline{zhv} \in H^2$ . Then, by the equality (3),  $g \in K_u^2$  and  $g \in \mathscr{G}$ . Thus  $\ker T_{\overline{u}v} \subseteq \mathscr{G}$ .

Suppose that  $vH^2 \cap K_u^2 \neq \{0\}$ . There is  $0 \neq \phi \in H^2$  such that

 $v\phi \in K_u^2$ .

### **Contemporary Mathematics**

1276 | Xiaoyuan Yang

Because  $K_u^2$  carries a conjugation, we can find a nonzero function  $f \in K_u^2$  such that

$$v\phi = u\overline{zf},$$

and

$$T_{\overline{u}v}\phi = P(\overline{u}v\phi) = P(\overline{u}u\overline{zf}) = 0$$

Therefore,

 $\phi \in \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$  and  $\ker T_{\overline{u}v} \neq \{0\}$ .

By the equatity (1), we can easy to get that  $vH^2 \cap K_u^2 \neq \{0\}$  when ker $T_{\overline{u}v} \neq \{0\}$ . The proof is completed. **Proposition 1** Let *u*, *v* be inner functions satisfied ker $T_{\overline{u}v} \neq 0$ , then

$$K_{\mu}^2 \cap vH^2 = v \operatorname{ker} T_{\overline{u}v}.$$

**Proof.** For any nonzero  $f \in K_u^2 \cap vH^2$ , there exists a function  $g \in H^2$  such that f = vg. This implies that  $\overline{v}f \in H^2$ . Since  $K_u^2 = CK_u^2 = u\overline{z}K_u^2$  and  $f \in K_u^2$ , we can find a function  $\eta \in K_u^2$  such that  $f = u\overline{z}\overline{\eta}$ . Then

$$T_{\overline{u}v}(\overline{v}f) = P(\overline{u}v\overline{v}f) = P(\overline{u}f) = P(\overline{u}u\overline{z}\overline{\eta}) = 0.$$

Thus

$$K_u^2 \cap vH^2 \subseteq v \operatorname{ker} T_{\overline{u}v}.$$

For any nonzero  $h \in \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$ , by the Lemma 1, we know that  $vh = \psi \in K_u^2$ . It follows that

$$\psi \in K^2_{\mu} \cap vH^2$$
 and  $h = \overline{v}\psi \in \overline{v}(K^2_{\mu} \cap vH^2)$ .

Thus

$$v \operatorname{ker} T_{\overline{u}v} \subseteq K_u^2 \cap v H^2.$$

The proof is completed.

The greatest common divisor of inner functions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  is denoted by  $GCD(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , it's unique if it's different by a constant multiple.

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1277

#### **Contemporary Mathematics**

**Lemma 2** [Lemma 3.6 in [9]] Let u,  $\theta$  be non-constant inner functions having a nontrivial greatest common divisor, denoted by  $GCD(u, \theta) = v$  and  $u = vu_1$ , then

$$K_u^2 \cap \theta H^2 \subseteq v K_{u_1}^2,$$

where v,  $u_1$  are inner functions.

**Remark 1** When  $GCD(u, \theta) = \theta$ , it is not difficult to find that  $u = \theta u_1$  and

$$K_u^2 \cap \theta H^2 = \theta K_{u_1}^2.$$

It is an invariant subspace of the truncated Toeplitz operator  $A_z^u$  defined on  $K_u^2$ .

**Corollary 1** If u,  $\theta$  are nontrivial inner functions with  $GCD(u, \theta) = \eta$  and  $u = \eta u_1$ , where  $\eta$  is a nontrivial inner function, then

$$\ker T_{\overline{u}\theta} \subseteq \overline{\theta}\eta K_{u_1}^2. \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 1 and Lemma 2, we know that  $\ker T_{\overline{u}\theta} \neq 0$  and

$$\ker T_{\overline{u}\theta} = \overline{\theta}(K_u^2 \cap \theta H^2) \subseteq \overline{\theta}\eta K_{u_1}^2.$$

**Remark 2** When  $GCD(u, \theta) = \theta$ , the equal sign of formula (4) holds. In fact, by  $GCD(u, \theta) = \theta$  and  $u = u_1\theta$ , we get that  $\ker T_{u_1} = \ker T_{u\theta}$ . Since  $\ker T_{u_1} = K_{u_1}^2$ , we have that

$$\ker T_{\overline{u}_1} = \ker T_{u\theta} = K_{u_1}^2 = \overline{\theta} \, \theta \, K_{u_1}^2.$$

## 2.2 In terms of the spectrum of inner functions u and v

For a inner function  $u = B_{\wedge}S_{\mu}$ , where  $B_{\wedge}$  is a Blaschke product having the zero set  $\wedge$  and  $S_{\mu}$  is a singular inner function with corresponding singular measure  $\mu$ , then the spectrum of u is the set

$$\sigma(u) = \wedge^- \cup \operatorname{supp} \mu$$
.

Use  $\wedge^-$  to denote the closure of the zero set of *u* and supp  $\mu$  to denote the support set of singular measure  $\mu$  about  $S_{\mu}$ . More details reference section 6.2 in [10].

**Lemma 3** [Proposition 6.9 in [10]] Each  $\phi$  in  $K_u^2$  has an analytic continuation across  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\frac{1}{w} : w \in \sigma(u)\}$ , where  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

**Lemma 4** [Theorem 6.1 and 6.2 in [11]] The inner function *u* can be analytically continued to  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ .

**Proposition 2** Let v and u be inner functions with  $v \neq u$ . If  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 \neq 0$ , then

## $(\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T}).$

**Proof.** Since  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 \neq 0$ , by Lemma 1, we know that  $\ker T_{\overline{u}v} \neq 0$ . There is  $0 \neq h \in \ker T_{\overline{u}v}$  such that

$$T_{\overline{u}v}h=0.$$

Then  $P(\overline{u}vh) = 0$ . There is a nonzero function  $g \in H^2$  such that  $\overline{u}vh = \overline{zg}$  and

$$vh = u\overline{zg}$$

Since  $K_u^2 = H^2 \cap u\overline{zH^2}$ , we obtain that  $vh \in K_u^2$ . By Lemma 3, we have that vh has an analytic continuation across  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\frac{1}{\overline{z}} : z \in \sigma(u)\}$ . The Lemma 4 implies that v can be analytically continued to  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(v)$ . Thus,  $(\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T})$ .

**Corollary 2** Let *v* and *u* be inner functions with  $v \neq u$ . If  $(\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T})$ , then  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$ . **Proof.** Suppose that  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 \neq \{0\}$ . By Lemma 1,we know that  $\ker T_{\overline{u}v} \neq 0$ , and

$$\ker T_{\overline{u}v} = \{ f \in K_u^2 \colon vf \in K_u^2 \}.$$

Then *vf* has the same analytic continuation across  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ . Since *v* has the analytic continuation across  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(v)$ , we get that  $(\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T})$ . It is the contradiction. Thus we prove that  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$  if  $(\sigma(u) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset (\sigma(v) \cap \mathbb{T})$ .

## **2.3** In terms of the left invertible property of $T_{\overline{u}v}$

We use dist( $\varphi$ ,  $H^{\infty}$ ) to denote the distance between the function  $\varphi$  and the set  $H^{\infty}$ . That is,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi, H^{\infty}) = \inf_{\phi \in H^{\infty}} \|\varphi - \phi\|_{\infty}.$$

**Lemma 5** [Theorem 7.30 in [4]] If  $\varphi$  is a unimodular in  $L^{\infty}$ , then the operator  $T_{\varphi}$  is left invertible if and only if  $dist(\varphi, H^{\infty}) < 1$ .

**Theorem 1** Let v, u be inner functions with  $v \neq u$ . If  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 \neq \{0\}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\mathrm{Im}u(z)| < 1, \ \frac{1}{2} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\mathrm{Re}u(z)| < 1 \ \text{and} \ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\mathrm{Re}u(z) - 1| \leq 2,$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}u(z)$  denotes the real part of u(z) and  $\operatorname{Im}u(z)$  denotes the imaginary part of u(z).

**Proof.** Since  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 \neq 0$ , by Lemma 1, we get that ker $T_{\overline{u}v} \neq 0$ , and  $T_{\overline{u}v}$  must be not left invertible. Then, by Lemma 5,

 $\operatorname{dist}(\overline{u}v, H^{\infty}) \geq 1.$ 

That is,

$$\inf_{h\in H^{\infty}} \|\overline{u}v - h\|_{\infty} \ge 1.$$

It follows that

$$\|\overline{u}v - h\|_{\infty} \ge 1 \text{ for any } h \in H^{\infty}.$$
 (5)

So  $\|\overline{u}v - uv\|_{\infty} \ge 1$ . That is,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |(\overline{u}v)(z) - (uv)(z)| \ge 1.$$
(6)

Since *B* is an inner function and |v(z)| < 1, by (6), we have that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\overline{u}(z) - u(z)| \ge 1.$$
(7)

Setting  $u(z) = \operatorname{Re}u(z) + iImu(z)$ . The inequality (7) follows that  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |Imu(z)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . Since |Imu(z)| < 1, we prove that  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |Imu(z)| < 1.$ By (5), we also obtain that

$$1 \le \|\overline{u}v + uv\|_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |(\overline{u}v)(z) + (uv)(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |v(z)| |\overline{u}(z) + u(z)|$$

Since v is an inner function and |v(z)| < 1, we get that  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\overline{u}(z) + u(z)| \ge 1$ . Then

$$1 \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\overline{u}(z) + u(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\operatorname{Re}u(z) - iImu(z) + \operatorname{Re}u(z) + iImu(z)|.$$

Thus  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\operatorname{Re} u(z)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $|\operatorname{Re} u(z)| < 1$ , we prove that  $\frac{1}{2} \le \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\operatorname{Re} u(z)| < 1$ . By (5), we know that

$$1 \le \|\overline{u}v - v\|_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |(\overline{u}v)(z) - v(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |v(z)| |\overline{u}(z) - 1|.$$

Since v is an inner function and |v(z)| < 1, we have that  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\overline{u}(z) - 1| \ge 1$ . Then

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\overline{u}(z)-1|^2\geq 1.$$

That is,

$$1 \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\overline{u}(z) - 1|^2 = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\operatorname{Re}u(z) - iImu(z) - 1|^2$$
$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} [(\operatorname{Re}u(z) - 1)^2 + (Imu(z))^2]$$
$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (\operatorname{Re}u(z) - 1)^2 + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (Imu(z))^2.$$

By  $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|Imu(z)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$ , we know that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(\operatorname{Re}u(z)-1)^2\geq\frac{3}{4}.$$

Thus  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\operatorname{Re} u(z) - 1| \leq 2$ . The proof is completed.

## 2.4 In terms of the minimal isometric dilation

Let  $\mathscr{H}$  be a Hilbert space. The set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathscr{H}$  is denoted  $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ . Use  $P_{\mathscr{H}}$  to represent the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathscr{H}$ . For an operator  $A \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ , an isometric dilation of A is an isometric operator  $T \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ , with  $\mathscr{H} \supset \mathscr{H}$ , such that

$$P_{\mathscr{H}}T^n|_{\mathscr{H}}=A^n$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If

$$A = P_{\mathscr{H}}T|_{\mathscr{H}}$$
 and  $T\mathscr{H}^{\perp} \subset \mathscr{H}^{\perp}$ ,

then T is a dilation. A minimal isometric dilation  $T \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{K})$  means that

$$\mathscr{K} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathscr{H}.$$

It's uniquely defined that modulo a unitary isomorphism commuting with the dilations. More details can refer to the book [2].

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1281

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

**Lemma 6** [Proposition 3.18 in [10]] When  $\{u_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  may be a finite sequence of inner function such that  $u = \prod_{k\geq 1} u_k$ exists, then

$$K_u^2 = K_{u_1}^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \ge 2} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k \right) K_{u_n}^2$$

Moreover, if u,  $\theta$  are inner functions, then

$$K_{u\theta}^2 = K_u^2 \oplus u K_{\theta}^2.$$

1. The operator  $T_u$  on  $H^2$  is a minimal isometric dilation of  $A_u^v$  defined on the model space  $K_v^2$ . 2.  $K_u^2 \cap vH^2 = \{0\}$ .

3. ker $T_{\overline{u}v} = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) See Lemma 1.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Suppose that ker  $T_{\overline{u}\nu} \neq \{0\}$ . There exists  $0 \neq f \in H^2$  such that  $T_{\overline{u}\nu}f = 0$ . Then we can find  $0 \neq \eta \in H^2$ such that

$$vf = u\overline{z\eta}$$

By 
$$K_{\mu}^2 = H^2 \cap u\overline{zH^2}$$
, we know that  $vf = u\overline{z\eta} \in K_{\mu}^2$ . Then

$$vf = u\overline{z\eta} \in K_u^2 \cap vH^2.$$

It follows that

$$\langle vf, u^k g \rangle = 0$$
, for  $g \in K_v^2$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ ,

which implies

$$H^2 \neq \bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{u^k} K_v^2$$

and hence 
$$T_u$$
 on  $H^2$  is not a minimal isometric dilation of  $A_u^v$ . It is the contradiction. Thus ker $T_{\bar{u}v} = \{0\}$ .  
(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) (see [12] [Theorem 4.1]). For the sake of completeness, we provide a proof.  
Claim: For each positive integer *n*,

$$K_{\nu}^{2} + uK_{\nu}^{2} + \dots + u^{n}K_{\nu}^{2} = K_{u^{n}\nu}^{2}.$$
(8)

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

1282 | Xiaoyuan Yang

For n = 0, equality (8) is right. Suppose that it is right up to n - 1, it is left then to prove that

$$K_{u^{n-1}v}^2 + u^n K_v^2 = K_{u^n v}^2. (9)$$

For any  $g + u^n h \in K^2_{u^{n-1}v} + u^n K^2_v$ , where  $g \in K^2_{u^{n-1}v}$  and  $h \in K^2_v$ , for any  $\phi \in H^2$ , we get that

$$\langle g + u^n h, u^n v \phi \rangle = \langle g, u^n v \phi \rangle + \langle h, v \phi \rangle = 0$$

Thus

$$K_{u^{n-1}v}^2 + u^n K_v^2 \subseteq K_{u^n v}^2$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6, we have

$$K_{u^nv}^2 = K_{u^{n-1}v}^2 \oplus u^{n-1}vK_u^2 = u^n K_v^2 \oplus K_{u^n}^2.$$

Suppose that  $f \in K^2_{u^nv}$  orthogonal with  $K^2_{u^{n-1}v}$  as well as to  $u^n K^2_v$ . It obtains that

$$f \in (vu^{n-1}K_u^2) \cap K_{u^n}^2.$$

Thus, there is  $g \in K_u^2$  such that  $f = vu^{n-1}g$ , and also  $f \perp u^n H^2$ , which means

$$vg \perp uH^2$$
 or  $vg \in K_u^2$ .

It follows that

$$0=T_{\overline{u}}(vg)=T_{v\overline{u}}g.$$

By ker $T_{\overline{u}v} = \{0\}$ , we know that g = 0. Thus f = 0 and we prove the equality (9). Since

$$\left(\bigvee_{n} K_{u^{n_{v}}}^{2}\right)^{\perp} = \bigcap_{n} u^{n_{v}} H^{2} = \{0\},$$

it follows that

### Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1283

$$H^2 = \bigvee_n T_u^n K_v^2$$

Therefore  $T_u$  is a minimal isometric dilation of  $A_u^v$  defined on  $K_v^2$ .

## 2.5 In terms of the completeness problem

Let  $\{z_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence made up of elements of  $\mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}$ , repeated based on multiplicity, and satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-|z_n|) < \infty,$$

then we call it a Blaschke sequence. If  $\wedge \subset \mathbb{D}$  is a sequence, use  $K_{\wedge}$  to denote the space spanned by  $\{k_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda z}, \lambda \in \wedge\}$ . Lemma 7 [Proposition 9.1 in [10]] Let  $\wedge \subset \mathbb{D}$  be a Blaschke sequence and *B* be a Blaschke product with the zero set

 $\wedge$ , then, for any inner function  $u, K_{\wedge} \neq K_u^2$  if and only if

$$\ker T_{\overline{u}B} \neq 0.$$

**Proposition 3** For inner function *u* and Blaschke sequence  $\land \subset \mathbb{D}$ , if *B* is a Blaschke product with the zero set  $\land$  having a cluster point in  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ , then

$$K_u^2 \cap BH^2 = \{0\}.$$

#### Proof.

When  $\wedge$  has a cluster point in  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ , we claim that  $K_{\wedge} = K_u^2$ . Suppose that  $K_{\wedge} \neq K_u^2$ . There exists  $0 \neq \psi \in K_u^2$  such that  $\psi \perp K_{\wedge}$ . Then

$$\langle \psi, k_{\lambda} \rangle = \psi(\lambda) = 0$$
 for any  $\lambda \in \wedge$ .

That is, the function  $\psi$  vanishes on  $\wedge$ . Since  $\psi \in K_u^2$ , we can obtain that  $\psi$  can be analytically continued to  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ . Since  $\psi$  vanishes on  $\wedge$  which has a cluster point in  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ , the analytic continuation of the nonzero function  $\psi$  vanishes on  $\wedge$  having a cluster point in  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(u)$ . It is a contradiction. Thus  $K_{\wedge} = K_u^2$ . By Lemmas 1 and 7, we prove that  $K_u^2 \cap BH^2 = \{0\}$ .

**Remark 3** If  $K_u^2$  does not contain nonzero functions that vanish on a zero set of the Blaschke product *B*, then  $K_u^2 \cap BH^2$  must be trivial.

## Acknowledgement

The author expresses his/her thanks to acknowledges the valuable suggestions from the peer reviewers. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12301151) and Jiangsu Basic Research Program (Natural Science Fund) (No. SBK2023042610).

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

## **Confilict of interest**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## References

- [1] Sarason D. Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators. Operator and Matrices. 2007; 1(4): 491-526.
- [2] Szökefalvi-Nagy B, Foias C, Bercovici H, Kérchy L. *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. New York, NY, USA: Springer; 2010.
- [3] Yang X, Li R, Yang Y, Lu Y. Finite-rank and compact defect operators of truncated Toeplitz operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2022; 510(2): 26.
- [4] Douglas RG. *Banach algebra techniques in the theory of Toeplitz operators*. New York, NY, USA: American Mathematical Society; 1973.
- [5] Hayashi E. The kernel of a Toeplitz operator. Integral Equations and Operator Theory. 1986; 9(4): 588-591.
- [6] Hitt D. Invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  of an annulusr. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. 1988; 134(1): 101-120.
- [7] Sarason, D. Nearly invariant subspaces of the backward shift. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. 1988; 35: 481-493.
- [8] Câmara MC, Partington JR. Toeplitz kernels and model spaces. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. 2018; 268: 139-153.
- [9] Xiaoyuan Y, Ran L, Yufeng L. The kernel spaces and Fredholmness of truncated Toeplitz operators. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*. 2021; 45(5): 2180-2198.
- [10] Garcia SR, Ross WT. Model spaces: A survey. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 2015; 638: 197-245.
- [11] Garnett JB. Bounded analytic functions. New York, NY, USA: Springer; 2007.
- [12] Benhida C, Fricain E, Timotin D. Reducing subspaces of  $C_{00}$  contractions. *New York Journal of Mathematics*. 2021; 27: 1597-1612.