[Research](http://ojs.wiserpub.com/index.php/CM/) Article



# **A Certain** *q***-Sălăgean Differential Operator and Its Applications to Subclasses of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions Involving (***p, q***)- Chebyshev Polynomial**

# **Musthafa Ibrahim<sup>1</sup> , Bilal Khan2,3\* , A. Manickam<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>College of Engineering, University of Buraimi, Al Buraimi, Sultanate of Oman

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

<sup>3</sup> [Sc](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3622-0358)hool of Mathematical Sciences and Shangh[ai](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2427-2003) Key Laboratory of P[MM](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1791-3933)P, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Advanced Sciences and Languages, VITBhopal University, MadhyaPradesh, India E-mail: bilalmaths789@gmail.com

**Received:** 1 November 2023; **Revised:** 26 December 2023; **Accepted:** 28 December 2023

**Abstract:** In the present investigation, we make use of the *q*-analogue of the Sălăgean differential operator and introduce a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions  $S_{\Sigma}^{\eta, \mu}$  involving the  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})$ -Chebyshev polynomials. Furthermore, we derive coefficient inequalities and obtain the Fekete-Szegö problem for this new function class  $f \in S^{\eta, \mu}_L$  of functions.

*Keywords***:** bi-univalent functions, (*P,Q*)-chebyshev polynomials, sălăgean differential operator

**MSC:** 30C45, 30C50, 30C80, 11B65, 47B38

## **1. Introduction and motivation**

Let  $\mathscr A$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,
$$
 (1)

analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathcal U$ . Also we let  $\mathcal S$  denote the class of all functions in  $\mathcal A$  which are univalent in  $\mathcal U$ . The well known example in this class is the Koebe function  $k(z)$ , defined by

$$
k(z) = \frac{z}{(z-1)^2} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nz^n.
$$

The Bieberbach conjecture about the coefficient of the univalent functions in the unit disk was formulated by Bieberbach [1] in the year 1916. The conjecture states that for every function  $f \in S$  given by (1), we have  $|a_n| \leq n$ ,

Copyright ©2024 Bilal Khan, et al.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.5220243857

This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Com[mon](#page-9-0)s Attribution 4.0 International License)

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

for every *n*. Strictly inequality holds for all *n* unless *f* is the Koebe function or one of its rotation. For many years, this conjecture remained as a challenge to mathematicians. After the proof of  $|a_3| \leq 3$  by Lowner in 1923, Fekete-Szegö [2] surprised the mathematicians with the complicated inequality

$$
|a_3-\mu a_2^2|\leq 1+2\exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right),
$$

which holds good for all values  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ . Note that this inequality region was thoroughly investigated by Schaefer and Spencer [3].

For a class functions in  $\mathscr A$  and a real (or more generally complex) number  $\mu$ , the Fekete-Szegö problem is all about finding the best possible constant  $C(\mu)$  so that  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le C(\mu)$  for every function in  $\mathscr{A}$ .

It is [w](#page-9-1)ell known that every function  $f \in S$  has a function  $f^{-1}$ , defined by

$$
f^{-1}[f(z)] = z; (z \in \mathcal{U}),
$$

and

$$
f[f^{-1}(w)] = w; \ \ (|w| < r_0(f); \ r_0 f \ge \frac{1}{4}).
$$

In fact, the inverse function *f −*1 is given by

$$
f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \cdots
$$
 (2)

Several authors worked on Chebyshev polynomial expansion to find coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk. Still it attracts more attention on researches in this field. Most recent studies of Altınkaya and S. Yalçın [4] motivated us to define the new class of Sakaguchi type function subordinate to  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})$ -Chebyshev polynomials.

Let *f* and *g* be analytic in the open unit desk *U*. The function *f* is subordinate to *g* written as  $f \prec g$  in *U*, if there exist a function w analytic in W with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ ;  $(z \in \mathcal{U})$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ,  $(z \in \mathcal{U})$ .

For any in[te](#page-9-2)ger  $n \ge 2$  and  $0 < \mathcal{Q} < \mathcal{P} \le 1$ , the  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ −Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind is defined by the following recurrence relations:

$$
U_n(x, s, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q}) = (\mathscr{P}^n + \mathscr{Q}^n)xU_{n-1}(x, s, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q}) + (\mathscr{P}\mathscr{Q})^{n-1}sU_{n-2}(x, s, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q}),
$$

with the initial values  $U_0(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = 1$  and  $U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q})x$  and s is a variable. In slight view of this recurrence relation, A list of some special cases of the (*P, Q*)-Chebyshev polynomials of second kind can be defined see [4]. These polynomials defined recursively over the integers share numerous interesting properties and have been extensively studied. They have been also found to be topics of interest in many different areas of pure and applied science. The generating function of the  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})$ -Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind is as follows:

$$
G_{\mathscr{P},\ \mathscr{Q}}(z)=\frac{1}{1-x\mathscr{P}z\eta-x\mathscr{Q}z\eta_{\mathscr{Q}}-s\mathscr{P}\mathscr{Q}z^2\eta_{\mathscr{P},\ \mathscr{Q}}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}U_n(x,\,s,\,\mathscr{P},\,\mathscr{Q})z^n,
$$

**Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 2125** *Contemporary Mathematics*

where  $\eta_{\mathscr{Q}}f(z) = f(\mathscr{Q}z)$  are known as Fibonacci operator introduced and studied by [5]. Similarly, the operator  $\eta_{\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q}} f(z) = f(\mathscr{P} \mathscr{Q} z)$  was defined in [6].

Now let's review some basic definitions and concepts of the *q* -calculus, that are helpful in our research. Throughout the paper, we asume that  $0 < q < 1$  and

$$
\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\} = \mathbb{N}_0 \backslash \{0\}, \ \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}.
$$

**Definition** (See [7, 8]) Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and define the *q*-number  $[\lambda]_q$  by

$$
\left[\lambda\right]_q=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \frac{1-q^\lambda}{1-q}, & \lambda\in\mathbb{C},\\ \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^k=1+q+q^2+\ldots+q^{n-1}, & \lambda=n\in\mathbb{N}. \end{array}\right.
$$

**Definition** (See [7, 8]) Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and define the *q*-factorial  $[n]_q$ ! by

$$
[n]_q!=\begin{cases} 1, & n=0,\\ \\ \prod\limits_{k=1}^{n-1}[k]_q, & n\in\mathbb{N}. \end{cases}
$$

**Definition** (See [7, 8]) In terms of *q*-numbers, the Jackson *q*-exponential function  $e_q^{\omega}$  is defined by

$$
e_q^{\omega} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{[n]_q!}.
$$

**Definition** (See [7, 8]) The *q*-difference operator denoted as  $D_q f(z)$  is defined by

$$
D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)}, \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}, \ z \in \mathcal{U} - \{0\}),
$$

and  $D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ , where  $q \in (0, 1)$ . It can be easily seen that  $D_q f(z) \to f'(z)$  as  $q \to 1^-$ . If  $f(z)$  is of the form (1), a simple computation yields

$$
D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).
$$
 (3)

**Definition** The *q*-analogue of Sălăgean differential operator (see [9])  $R_q^m f(z)$  :  $\mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}$  for  $m \in N$ , is formed as follows.

*Contemporary Mathematics* **2126 | Bilal Khan,** *et al***.**

$$
IR_q^0 f(z) = f(z)
$$
  
\n
$$
R_q^1 f(z) = z(D_q f(z))
$$
  
\n:  
\n:  
\n
$$
R_q^m f(z) = R_q^1 (R_q^{m-1} f(z))
$$

The so-called *q*-polynomials are a significant and fascinating group of special functions, specifically orthogonal polynomials. They can be found in various disciplines of the natural sciences, such as coding theory, discrete mathematics (graph theory and combinatorics), Eulerian series, elliptic functions, theta functions, continuous fractions, and so on (see [10, 11]), and algebras and quantum groups (see [12–14]). In Srivastava's recently-published survey-cum-expository review article [15], one can find an introductory overview of some important and potential useful developments concerning the Bessel polynomials and the *q*-Bessel polynomials, as well as a number of other orthogonal polynomials, orthogonal *q*[-p](#page-9-3)o[lyn](#page-9-4)omials, hypergeometric polynomials, the *q*-[hyp](#page-9-5)[erg](#page-9-6)eometric polynomials, and so on.

# **2. Coefficient bounds and fekete-szegö inequality**

In this section, we define the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\nabla}^{\eta}$ ,  $\mu$  $\sum_{\Sigma}^{\eta, \mu}$  associated with the  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})$ -Chebyshev polynomials. Then we will derive the (*P, Q*)-Chebyshev polynomial bounds for the initial coefficients and determine Fekete-Szegö functional for  $f \in S_{\nabla}^{\eta, \mu}$  $\sum_{}^{\eta,~\mu}$ .

**Definition** A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $S_{\Sigma}^{\eta, \mu}$  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies the differential inequality if and only if

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m f(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} f(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m f(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} f(z)}\right] \prec G_{\mathscr{P},\mathscr{Q}}(z),\tag{4}
$$

and

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^mg(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k}g(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m g(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} g(z)}\right] \prec G_{\mathscr{P},\mathscr{Q}}(w),\tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
(z \in \mathscr{U}; m, k \in M_0; \lambda \geq 0)
$$

where  $(q)$  denotes the *q*-derivative of *f* as defined in (3) and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

**Theorem** Let the function *f* given by (1) be in the class  $S_{\nabla}^{\eta}$ ,  $\mu$  $\sum_{\mu}^{\eta}$ , then

**Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 2127** *Contemporary Mathematics*

$$
|a_2| \leq \frac{(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x\sqrt{((\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x)}}{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})^2x^2 - 2q\mathcal{C}((\mathcal{P}^2 + \mathcal{Q}^2)(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})^2x^2 + \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}s)},
$$
\n(6)

$$
|a_3| \leq \frac{(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x}{B} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}} \right) (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x \right),\tag{7}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B} = q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)^m(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)^k),
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{C} = q(1+q)^{2m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2,
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{D} = (1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)).
$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f \in S_{\nabla}^{\eta, \mu}$  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}$  Thus from (4) and (5), we can write

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m f(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} f(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m f(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} f(z)}\right] = G_{\mathscr{P},\mathscr{Q}}(z),\tag{8}
$$

and for the inverse map

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m g(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} g(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m g(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} g(z)}\right] = G_{\mathscr{P},\mathscr{Q}}(w),\tag{9}
$$

for some analytic functions  $\phi$ ,  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(0) = \phi(0) = 0$  and  $|\phi(z)| < 1$ ,  $|\phi(w)| < 1$  for all z,  $w \in \mathcal{U}$ . It is fairly well known that if

$$
|\phi(z)| = |c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots | < 1, (z \in \mathcal{U}),
$$
  

$$
|\phi(z)| = |d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + d_3 w^3 + \dots | < 1, (w \in \mathcal{U}),
$$

and it is well known that

$$
|c_n| \le 1, |d_n| \le 1, n \in \mathcal{N}.
$$
 (10)

From the equalities (8) and (9), we obtain that

#### *Contemporary Mathematics* **2128 | Bilal Khan,** *et al***.**

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m f(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} f(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m f(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} f(z)}\right] = U_0(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) + U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})\phi(z)
$$
\n
$$
+ U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})\phi^2(z) + \cdots,
$$
\n(11)

and for the inverse map

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m g(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} g(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m g(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} g(z)}\right] = U_0(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) + U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})\phi(w) + U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})\phi^2(w) + \cdots
$$
\n(12)

Thus we write,

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m f(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} f(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m f(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} f(z)}\right] = 1 + U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})c_1 z
$$
\n
$$
+ [U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})c_2 + U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})c_1^2]z^2 + \cdots,
$$
\n(13)

and for the inverse map

$$
\left[\frac{(1-\lambda)z(R_q^m g(z))^{(q)} + \lambda z(R_q^{m+k} g(z))^{(q)}}{(1-\lambda)R_q^m g(z) + \lambda R_q^{m+k} g(z)}\right] = 1 + U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})d_1w
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left[U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})d_2 + U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})d_1^2\right]w^2 + \cdots
$$
 (14)

Now, equating the coefficients in (13) and (14), we obtain

$$
q(1+q)^{m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^{k})a_2 = U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})c_1,
$$
\n(15)

$$
(1+q)(1+q+q^2)^m(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)^k)a_3 - q(1+q)^{2m}
$$
  

$$
(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2a_2^2 = U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})c_2 + U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})c_1^2,
$$
 (16)

and

$$
-q(1+q)^{m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^{k})a_2 = U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})d_1,
$$
\n(17)

### **Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 2129** *Contemporary Mathematics*

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

$$
2(1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2))a_2^2 - q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)^m
$$
  

$$
(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)^k)a_3 + q(1+q)^{2m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2a_2^2
$$
  

$$
=U_1(x, s, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})d_2 + U_2(x, s, \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})d_1^2.
$$
 (18)

From (15) and (17), it is clear that

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
c_1 = -d_1. \tag{19}
$$

Also squaringa[nd](#page-5-0) adding of (15) and (17),

$$
\frac{2q^2(1+q)^{2m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2}{U_1^2(x,s,\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})}a_2^2 = c_1^2 + d_1^2.
$$
 (20)

Now, by adding (16) and (18), we get

$$
2(1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2))a_2^2 = U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_2+d_2)+
$$
  

$$
U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_1^2+d_1^2).
$$
 (21)

Making use of (20) in (21)

$$
a_2^2 = \frac{U_1^3(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_2 + d_2)}{A_{q,1}},
$$
\n(22)

where

$$
A_{q,1} = 2(1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2))U_1^2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})
$$

$$
-2q^2(1+q)^{2m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}).
$$

From (19) and (21) together with (10), we obtained that

$$
|a_2| \le \frac{(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x\sqrt{((\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x)}}{A_{q, 2}} \tag{23}
$$

*Contemporary Mathematics* **2130 | Bilal Khan,** *et al***.**

where

$$
A_{q, 2} = 2(1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1 - \lambda + \lambda(1+q+q^2))(\mathscr{P} + \mathscr{Q})^2 x^2
$$
  

$$
-2q^2(1+q)^{2m}(1 - \lambda + \lambda(1+q)^k)^2 ((\mathscr{P}^2 + \mathscr{Q}^2)(\mathscr{P} + \mathscr{Q})^2 x^2 + \mathscr{P} \mathscr{Q} s)
$$

In order to estimates the bound on  $|a_3|$ , we subtract (18) from (16) and we get

$$
2q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)^m(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)^k)a_3
$$
  
 
$$
-\left(2q(1+q)^{2m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2+2(1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2))\right)a_2^2
$$
 (24)  
= $U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_2-d_2)+U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_1^2-d_1^2).$ 

Then Eqs. (19), (20) and (22), become

$$
a_3 = \frac{U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_2 - d_2)}{2\mathcal{B}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}}{2\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}\right)(c_1^2 + d_1^2)U_1^2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}),\tag{25}
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{B} = q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)^m(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)^k),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathscr{C} = q(1+q)^{2m}(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q)^k)^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathscr{D} = (1+q)^{2m}q(1+q)(1-\lambda+\lambda(1+q+q^2)).
$$
\n(26)

Therefore, from (10), we find that

$$
|a_3| \leq \frac{(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x}{B} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{C}} \right) (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x \right). \tag{27}
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

In the next theorem, we present the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the family  $S_{\nabla}^{\eta,\mu}$  $^{\eta,\mu}_{\Sigma}$ . **Theorem** For  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  and  $x, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be in the family  $S_{\nabla}^{\eta, \mu}$  $\sum_{\mu}$ . Then

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{(\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x}{\mathcal{B}}, & 0 \le |y(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{B} \\ \\ (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q})x|y(\mu)|, & |y(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}}. \end{cases}
$$

#### **Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 2131** *Contemporary Mathematics*

 $\Box$ 

**Proof.** For any real  $\mu$ ,

$$
a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = (1 - \mu)a_2^2 + a_3 - a_2^2
$$
  
=  $(1 - \mu) \frac{U_1^3(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_2 + d_2)}{A_{q,1}} + \frac{U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(c_2 - d_2)}{2\mathcal{B}}$  (28)  
=  $\frac{U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})}{2} \left[ \left( y(\mu) + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{B}} \right) c_2 + \left( y(\mu) - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{B}} \right) d_2 \right],$ 

where

$$
y(\mu) = \frac{U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})^2 (1 - \mu)}{\mathcal{D}U_1(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})^2 + q\mathcal{C}U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})},
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{B} = q(1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)^m (1 - \lambda + \lambda (1 + q + q^2)^k),
$$
  
\n
$$
A_{q,1} = 2(1 + q)^{2m} q(1 + q)(1 - \lambda + \lambda (1 + q + q^2)) U_1^2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})
$$
  
\n
$$
-2q^2 (1 + q)^{2m} (1 - \lambda + \lambda (1 + q)^k)^2 U_2(x, s, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}).
$$
  
\n(29)

Hence, we have reached the desired assertion of the Theorem (6),

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} c \frac{(\mathscr{P} + \mathscr{Q})x}{\mathscr{B}}, & 0 \le |y(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{B} \\ \\ (\mathscr{P} + \mathscr{Q})x|y(\mu)|, & |y(\mu)| \le \frac{1}{\mathscr{B}}. \end{cases}
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

**3. Conclusion**

In this paper, we introduced and analysed the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\nabla}^{\eta,\mu}$  $\sum_{\alpha}^{\eta,\mu}$  associated with the  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q})$ -Chebyshev polynomials using Sălăgean differential operator. As a result, we obtained the second and third Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of this class of functions. These results improve the previous estimates, according to the recent studies.

# **Conflict of interest**

Authors declare there is no conflict of interest at any point with reference to research findings.

 $\Box$ 

# **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] Bieberbach L. *Uber Die Koeffinzienten Derjenigen Potenzreihen, Welche Cine Schlichte Abbildung Des Einheitskreises Wermitteln*. S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Reimer; 1916. p.940-955.
- [2] Fekete M, Szego G. Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte funktionen. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*. 1933; S1-8(2): 85-89.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[3] Schaeffer AC, Spencer DC. *Coefficient Regions for Schlicht Functions, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. New York: Amer Mathematical Society; 1950.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[4] Altınkaya Ş, Yalçın S. The (*p,q*)-chebyshev polynomial bounds of a general bi-univalent function class. *Boletin De La Sociedad Matematica Mexicana*. 2020; 26(2): 341-348.
- [5] Mason JC, Handscomb DC. *Chebyshev Polynomials*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2003.
- [6] Karthikeyan KR, Ibrahim M, Srinivasan S. Fractional class of analytic functions defined using q-differential operator. *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2018; 15(1): 1-15.
- [7] Jackson FH. On *q*-definite integrals. *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 1910; 41(15): 193- 203.
- [8] Jackson FH. On *q*-definite integrals on *q*-functions and a certain difference operator. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*. 1908; 46: 253-281.
- [9] Sălăgean GŞ. Subclasses of univalent functions. In *Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar Part 1*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1981. p.362-372.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[10] Andrews GE. *q-Series*: *Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra*. Pennsylvania State: AMS and CBMS; 1986.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[11] Fine NJ. *Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs)*. Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society; 1988.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[12] Koornwinder TH. Orthogonal polynomials in connection with quantum groups. In: Nevai P. (ed). *Orthogonal Polynomials, Theory and Practice*. Dordrecht, Boston and London: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1990. p.257-292.
- [13] Koornwinder TH. Compact quantum groups and *q*-special functions. In: Baldoni V, Picardello MA. (eds). *Representations of Lie Groups and Quantum Groups*. New York:Longman Scienti1c & Technical; 1994. p.46-128.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[14] Vilenkin NJ, Klimyk AU. *Representations of Lie Groups and Special Functions*. Dordrecht, Boston and London: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1992.
- [15] Srivastava HM. An introductory overview of the Bessel polynomials, the generalized Bessel polynomials and the *q*-Bessel polynomials. *Symmetry*. 2023; 15(4): 822.