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Abbreviation

CFPD Caputo fractional proportional derivative
FDs fractional derivatives
\(C^\alpha_{\frac{D}{r}}\) the Caputo fractional derivative
GPF Generalized proportional fractional

1. Introduction

In various fields of engineering and research, including chemistry, physics, electrodynamics, aerodynamics of complex media, polymer rheology, control of dynamical systems, etc., fractional calculus [1, 2] is used more frequently. Recently, new fractional derivatives (FDs) have been discovered that interpolate the Riemann Liouville, Caputo, Hilfer, and Hadamard as well as their generalization. For further information, see [3–6].

Jarad et al. introduced a novel generalized fractional calculus in their work [7], delineating a special case of proportional derivatives characterized by a fractional operator kernel involving exponential functions. This novel approach establishes
a distinct class of fractional operators derived from modified conformable derivatives, encompassing Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives and integrals as specific instances.

These generalized fractional derivatives offer a powerful framework for characterizing the memory and hereditary properties exhibited by diverse materials and processes [8–10]. Numerous researchers have directed their focus towards establishing existence results for solutions in both initial value and boundary value problems involving generalized fractional differential equations. Noteworthy contributions include studies by Ahmad et al. [11–16]. Specifically, Ahmad et al. [17] delved into the existence and uniqueness of solutions concerning fractional differential equations accompanied by nonlocal multi-point and integral boundary conditions:

\[ C^r \mathcal{D}^\alpha (C^\gamma \mathcal{D}^\beta \mathcal{A} x(t) + h(t, x(t))) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], 0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1, \]

\[ \mathcal{A} x(0) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \mathcal{A} x(\sigma_j), \]

\[ b \mathcal{A} x(1) = a \int_0^1 \mathcal{A} x(s) d\mathcal{H}(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \int_{\xi_i}^{\eta_i} \mathcal{A} x(s) ds, \]

The notation \( C^r \mathcal{D} \) represents the Caputo fractional derivative of order \( r = p, q \). Functions \( h \) and \( f \) are given as continuous functions. Additionally, consider the conditions where \( 0 < \sigma_j < \xi_j < \eta_j < 1, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \xi_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). The function \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot) \) is characterized as a function of bounded variation.

In [18], the authors researched the existence and uniqueness results for a Langevin differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions:

\[\begin{align*}
C^\rho \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{D}^\beta \mathcal{A} x(t) + \lambda \mathcal{E}(t) &= f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [c, d], \\
n(0) &= 0, n(b) = \xi(\eta),
\end{align*}\]

where \( f : [c, d] \times \mathbb{R} \) is given nonlinear term, \( \gamma, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1, \) and \( \rho \in (0, 1) \). Note that \( n(t) \) considers a strictly increasing continuous function on \([c, d]\). The notation \( C^r \mathcal{D}^\rho, \gamma \) indicates for the Caputo fractional proportional derivative (CFPD) with respect to the function \( n(t) \) of order \( r = \alpha, \beta \). Inspired by the aforementioned research, the focus of this paper is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a specific nonlinear differential equation. This equation features two distinct nonlinear terms and is accompanied by Caputo fractional proportional type slit-strips and Riemann-Stieltjes boundary conditions.

\[
C^\alpha_1 \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{D}^\alpha_2 \mathcal{D}^\beta x(t) + h(t, x(t)) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b], \tag{1}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A} x(a) &= A_{1} \mathcal{A} x, \\
\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_1 \mathcal{A} x(\xi_j) &= \frac{1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \left[ a_1 \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)}} (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} x(\tau) \vartheta(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + a_2 \int_{\eta_2}^{b} e^{\frac{-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)}} (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} x(\tau) \vartheta(\tau) d\tau \right],
\end{align*}
\tag{2}
\]
where \( cD^{\alpha_1,\rho,\vartheta} \) and \( cD^{\alpha_2,\rho,\vartheta} \) denote the Caputo fractional proportional derivative (CPFD) with respect to the function \( \vartheta \) of order \( 0 < \alpha_i \leq 1 \) \((i = 1, 2)\), where \( \gamma > 0 \). Additionally, \( \vartheta(t) \) is a strictly increasing function defined on the interval \([a, b]\).

Functions \( h : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) are continuous. Furthermore, \( \rho \in (0, 1], \alpha_1 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_m < \eta_2 < b \), and \( \delta_i \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) represent real constants. \( A \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \theta |x| = \int_a^b x(s)dE(s) \) represents the Riemann-Stieljes integral with respect to the function \( E : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \), where \( x(t) \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \).

The boundary conditions in the aforementioned problem involve two types of integral conditions. Firstly, slit-strips conditions refer to contributions from finite strips of arbitrary lengths positioned at \((a, \eta_1)\) and \((\eta_2, b)\) on the interval \([a, b]\). These conditions are linked to the values of the unknown functions at nonlocal points \( \xi_i \) \((\eta_1 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_m < \eta_2)\), located anywhere within the apertures (slits), which form the boundaries of the strips. Examples of these boundary conditions include scattering by slits \([19–21]\), and silicon strip detectors used in scanned multi-slit X-ray imaging \([22]\). Secondly, integral conditions of Riemann-Stieljes type play a fundamental role in blood flow problems by providing a flexible mechanism to handle changes in the geometry of blood vessels \([23]\). These conditions are also useful in regularizing ill-posed problems \([24]\).

Additionally, our study extends to the multivalued analogue of the boundary value problem \((1)–(2)\). In particular, we delve into the existence of solutions concerning the following inclusion problem:

\[
C D^{\alpha_1,\rho,\vartheta} (cD^{\alpha_2,\rho,\vartheta} x(t) + h(t, x(t))) \in F(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b],
\]

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
x(a) = A\theta |x|,

\sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i x(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{\rho^\gamma t(\gamma)} \left[ a_1 \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} x(\tau) d\tau 

+ a_2 \int_{\eta_2}^b e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\eta_2) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(\eta_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} x(\tau) d\tau \right],
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
(3)
\]

\[
(4)
\]

the function \( F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to P(\mathbb{R}) \) represents a multivalued map, where \( P(\mathbb{R}) \) denotes the collection of all nonempty subsets of \( \mathbb{R} \). The remaining variables maintain the same definitions as outlined in the boundary value problem \((1)–(2)\).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and preliminary results related to our work. Section 3 contains the existence and uniqueness results for the boundary value problem \((1)–(2)\). The technique for solving the given problem is converted to a fixed point problem. Then, by applying fixed point theorems, the main results are obtained. The existence results for multivalued problem \((3)–(4)\) are presented in Section 4. Moreover, we give examples for the main results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we demonstrate the basic notions and related preliminaries concerning fractional calculus \([9, 10]\), and some fixed points results which are used throughout the paper \([25, 26]\).

**Definition 1.** For \( p \in (0, 1), \ z \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \Re(z) > 0 \), \( \Pi \in \mathbb{C}'([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( \Pi'(t) > 0 \), we define the Riemann Liouville fractional proportional integral of \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) with respect to \( \Pi \) as

\[
\left( a^{\frac{\rho}{\rho+1}} \Pi \right) (t) = \frac{1}{\rho^\gamma t(\gamma)} \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Pi(t) - \Pi(s))} (\vartheta \Pi(t) - \Pi(s))^{\gamma-1} f(s) d\Pi(s) ds.
\]
Definition 2. For \( p > 0, z \in \mathbb{C} \) with Re\( (z) \geq 0 \), and \( \Pi \in \mathbb{C}([a,b], \mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( \Pi'(t) > 0 \), we define the left fractional derivative of \( f : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) with respect to \( \psi \) as
\[
(aD^{p,\Pi}_a f)(t) = D^{\Pi}_a f(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{D^{\Pi}_a}{p^{a-z}\Gamma(n-z)} \int_a^t e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(t') - \Pi(s)}}(\Pi(t) - \Pi(s))^{n-z-1} f(s) \Pi'(s) ds,
\]
where \( n = \text{Re}(z) + 1 \), and
\[
D^{\Pi}_a = D^{\Pi}_a D^{\Pi}_a \ldots D^{\Pi}_a \quad \text{n-times}
\]

Definition 3. For \( p \in (0,1], z \in \mathbb{C} \) with Re\( (z) \geq 0 \), \( \Pi \in \mathbb{C}([a,b], \mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( \Pi'(t) > 0 \), we define the left derivative of Caputo type starting at \( a \) by
\[
(aD^{x,\Pi}_a f)(t) = a^{n-z,\Pi}(D^{p,\Pi}_a f)(t)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{p^{a-z}\Gamma(n-z)} \int_a^t e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(t') - \Pi(s)}}(\Pi(t) - \Pi(s))^{n-z-1} (D^{p,\Pi}_a f)(s) \Pi'(s) ds.
\]
where \( n = \text{Re}(z) + 1 \).

Proposition 1. Let \( z, b \in \mathbb{C} \) satisfy Re\( (z) \geq 0 \) and Re\( (b) > 0 \). Considering any \( p > 0 \) and setting \( n = \lfloor \text{Re}(z) \rfloor + 1 \), the following assertions hold:

1.
\[
(aD^{x,\Pi}_a e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(s)}(\Pi(x) - \Pi(a))^{b-1})(t) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b+z)} e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(t)}(\Pi(t) - \Pi(a))^{x+b-1}.
\]

2. If Re\( (b) > n \), then
\[
(C_aD^{x,\Pi}_a e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(s)}(\Pi(x) - \Pi(a))^{b-1})(t) = \frac{p^{x+b-1}}{\Gamma(b-z)} e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(t)}(\Pi(t) - \Pi(a))^{b-1-z}.
\]

3. For \( k = 0,1,\ldots, n-1 \), we have
\[
(C_aD^{x,\Pi}_a e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(s)}(\Pi(x) - \Pi(a)^k)})(t) = 0.
\]

In particular, \( (C_aD^{x,\Pi}_a e^{\frac{p-1}{p} \Pi(s)}) (t) = 0 \).

4.
\[
(C_aD^{x,\Pi}_a (aD^{x,\Pi}_a \psi)} (t) = \psi(t);
\]
\[ \frac{d}{dt} D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} (a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} \psi) (t) = \psi(t). \]

**Theorem 1.** If \( p \in (0, 1], \) \( \text{Re}(z) > 0, \) and \( \text{Re}(\beta) > 0. \) Then, for \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous functions and defined for \( t \geq a, \) we have

\[ a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} (a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f) (t) = a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} (a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f) (t) \]

\[ = (a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f) (t). \]

**Theorem 2.** Let \( 0 \leq m < | \text{Re}(z) | + 1 \) and \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be integrable function in each interval \([a, t], t > a,\) then

\[ D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} (a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f) (t) = (a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f) (t). \]

**Corollary 1.** Let \( 0 < \text{Re}(b) < \text{Re}(z), \) \( m - 1 < \text{Re}(b) \leq m \) and \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \) Then we have

\[ a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f(t) = a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f(t). \]

**Theorem 3.** For \( p > 0, n = | \text{Re}(z) | + 1, \) and \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \) we have

\[ a D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} (\frac{d}{dt} D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f) (t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{\alpha,\beta}_{\alpha,\beta} f(a)}{p^k k!} (\Pi(t) - \Pi(a)) k + 1. \]

In the next, the following fixed point theorems play a crucial role in deriving our main results.

**Theorem 4** (Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative [25]). Let \( E \) be a Banach space, \( \mathcal{C} \) a closed, convex subset of \( E, \) \( U \) an open subset of \( \mathcal{C}, \) and \( 0 \in U. \) Suppose that \( F : \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) is a continuous, compact map (meaning \( F(\overline{U}) \) is relatively compact in \( \mathcal{C} \)). Then either:

(i) \( F \) has a fixed point in \( \overline{U}, \) or

(ii) There exists a \( u \in \partial U \) (the boundary of \( U \) in \( \mathcal{C} \)) and \( \kappa \in (0, 1) \) with \( u = \kappa F(u). \)

**Theorem 5** (Krasnoselskii’s Fixed Point Theorem [27]). Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a nonempty subset of a Banach space \( \mathcal{Y} \) that is bounded, closed, and convex. Suppose \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are operators mapping \( \mathcal{X} \) into \( \mathcal{Y} \) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) \( \text{For any } x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}, \) \( \varphi_1 x_1 + \varphi_2 x_2 \in \mathcal{X}; \)

(ii) \( \varphi_1 \) is both compact and continuous;

(iii) \( \varphi_2 \) is a contraction mapping.

Then, there exists \( x_3 \in \mathcal{X} \) such that \( x_3 = \varphi_1 x_3 + \varphi_2 x_3. \)

The following Remark explains that using GPF operators allows to unify the different fractional integrals and, consequently, to solve some initial and boundary value problems with different types of fractional integrals and derivatives in an unified way.

**Remark 1.** Some of the special cases from equation (1) are listed below:
1. Let $h(t, x(t)) = \lambda x(t)$, where $\lambda$ is a constant.

\[ C^{\alpha}D^{b}D^{\beta} \left( C^{\alpha}D^{b} + \lambda \right) x(t) = f(t, x(t)). \]  \hfill (5)

(i) If $\Pi(t) = t$, equation (5) reduces to a Langevin equation with two Caputo fractional proportional derivatives

\[ C^{\alpha}D^{b} x(t) = f(t, x(t)). \]  \hfill (6)

(ii) For $p = 1$, equation (5) reduces to a Langevin equation with $\vartheta$-Hilfer fractional derivatives.

\[ C^{\alpha}D^{b} \left( C^{\alpha}D^{b} + \lambda \right) x(t) = f(t, x(t)). \]  \hfill (7)

(iii) For $p = 1$, $\Pi(t) = t$, equation (5) reduces to a Langevin equation with two Caputo fractional derivatives.

\[ C^{\alpha} \left( C^{\alpha} + \lambda \right) x(t) = f(t, x(t)). \]  \hfill (8)

(iv) For $p = 1$, $\Pi(t) = \ln t$, equation (5) reduces to a LE with two Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivatives.

\[ CH^{\alpha} \left( CH^{\alpha} + \lambda \right) x(t) = f(t, x(t)). \]  \hfill (9)

(v) For $p = 1$, $\Pi(t) = t$, $z \to 1$, $b \to 1$, then equation (5) reduces to the equation of motion with non-linear damping.

\[ D^{\alpha} \left( D^{\alpha} + \lambda \right) x(t) = f(t, x(t)). \]  \hfill (10)

2. (i) For $p = 1$, $\Pi = t$, the boundary conditions mentioned in equation (2) transform into the Riemann-Liouville type of slit-strips boundary conditions.

(ii) If $\Pi = \gamma = 1$, $\Pi = t$, the boundary conditions stated in equation (2) simplify to the conventional slit-strips boundary conditions.

The following lemma plays a pivotal role in converting the problem (1) into a fixed point problem.

**Lemma 1.** Let $\rho \in (0, 1]$, and $f, h \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. The unique solution of the linear fractional differential equation

\[ C^{\alpha_{1}, \rho}D^{\alpha_{2}, \rho} \left( C^{\alpha_{1}, \rho}D^{\alpha_{2}, \rho} x + y \right)(t) = g(t), \quad 0 < \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \leq 1, \quad t \in [a, b]. \]  \hfill (11)

supplemented with the boundary conditions (2) yields
where

\[
x(t) = I^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \sigma} g(t) - I^{a_2, \rho, \sigma} y(t) + e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} \mu_1(t)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{a}^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} \left( \theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau) \right)^{\gamma-1} \left( I^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \sigma} g(\tau) - I^{a_2, \rho, \sigma} y(\tau) \right) \theta'(\tau) d\tau \\
&+ \frac{a_2}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\eta_2}^{b} e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} \left( \theta(b) - \theta(\tau) \right)^{\gamma-1} \left( I^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \sigma} g(\tau) - I^{a_2, \rho, \sigma} y(\tau) \right) \theta'(\tau) d\tau \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i I^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \sigma}(\xi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i I^{a_2, \rho, \sigma}(\xi_i) \right] - e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} \mu_2(t) \\
&\left[ A \int_{a}^{b} \left( I^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \sigma} g(\tau) - I^{a_2, \rho, \sigma} y(\tau) \right) dE(\tau) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_1(t) &= \frac{1}{k} \left( \sigma_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{a_2}}{\rho \alpha \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right), \\
\mu_2(t) &= \frac{1}{k} \left( \sigma_4 - \frac{\sigma_3(\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{a_2}}{\rho \alpha \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right), \\
\sigma_1 &= 1 - A \int_{a}^{b} e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} dE(\tau), \\
\sigma_2 &= \frac{-A}{\rho \alpha \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \int_{a}^{b} ((\theta(\tau) - \theta(a))^{a_2} e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} dE(\tau), \\
\sigma_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} (\theta(\xi_i) - \theta(\tau))^{a_2} - \frac{a_1 e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma a_2}}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma+1)} (\theta(b) - \theta(\eta_2))^{\alpha_1}, \\
\sigma_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} (\theta(\xi_i) - \theta(\tau))^{a_2} e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma a_2} - \frac{a_1 e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma a_2}}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma+1)} (\theta(b) - \theta(\eta_2))^{\alpha_1}, \\
&- \frac{a_2 e^{\frac{\rho \sigma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}} (\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{a_2}}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma+1)} \omega_2,
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
k = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 - \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \neq 0.
\]

(14)

**Proof:** Applying $I^{a_1, \rho, \sigma}$ and $I^{a_2, \rho, \sigma}$ to (11), using Theorem 3 and the relation 1 in Proposition 1, we get
\[ x(t) = I^{a_1 + a_2}g(t) - I^{a_2}y(t) + c_0 e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(t) - \theta(a) \right)} + c_1 \frac{(\theta(t) - \theta(a))^{a_2}}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(a_2 + 1)} e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(t) - \theta(a) \right)}, \quad (15) \]

for some \( c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R} \). Using the boundary condition (2) in (15), we derive

\[ c_0 \left[ 1 - A \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\tau) - \theta(a) \right)} dE(\tau) \right] - c_1 \frac{A}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(a_2 + 1)} \int_a^b (\theta(\tau) - \theta(a))^{a_2} e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\tau) - \theta(a) \right)} dE(\tau) = 0, \quad (16) \]

\[ e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\tau) - \theta(a) \right)} dE(\tau) = A \int_a^b \left( I^{a_1 + a_2}g(\tau) - I^{a_2}y(\tau) \right) dE(\tau). \]

\[ c_0 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a) \right)} - \frac{a_1}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(a_2 + 1)} e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a) \right)} (\theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a)) \right) \]

\[ + c_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \frac{(\theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a))^{a_2}}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(a_2 + 1)} e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a) \right)} - \frac{a_1}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(a_2 + 1) \Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \]

\[ \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\eta_i) - \theta(a) \right)} (\theta(\eta_i) - \theta(\tau))^{a_2} \theta'(\tau)d\tau \]

\[ - \frac{a_2}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(a_2 + 1) \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\eta_i) - \theta(a) \right)} (\theta(\eta_i) - \theta(\tau))^{a_2} \theta'(\tau)d\tau \]

\[ = \frac{a_1}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\eta_i) - \theta(a) \right)} (\theta(\eta_i) - \theta(\tau))^{a_2} \theta'(\tau)d\tau \]

\[ + \frac{a_2}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \left( \theta(\eta_i) - \theta(a) \right)} (\theta(\eta_i) - \theta(\tau))^{a_2} \theta'(\tau)d\tau \]

\[ - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i I^{a_1 + a_2}g(\xi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i I^{a_2}y(\xi_i), \]

Utilizing the notations (13) within (16) and (17) correspondingly, results in the following system of equations

\[ \begin{cases} \sigma_1 c_0 + \sigma_2 c_1 = \sigma_3, \\ \sigma_3 c_0 + \sigma_4 c_1 = \sigma_5, \end{cases} \quad (18) \]
where

$$\alpha_5 = A \int_a^b \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau) \right) dE(\tau),$$

$$\alpha_6 = \frac{a_1}{\rho^3 T(\gamma)} \int_a^b \eta \int_e g^{\rho^3 \eta_{\vartheta} - \vartheta} (\eta_{\vartheta} - \eta_{(\tau)}) \eta_{\vartheta}^{-1} \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau) \right) \vartheta' d\tau$$

$$+ \frac{a_2}{\rho^3 T(\gamma)} \int_a^b \eta \int_e g^{\rho^3 \eta_{\vartheta} - \vartheta} (\eta_{\vartheta} - \eta_{(\tau)}) \eta_{\vartheta}^{-1} \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau) \right) \vartheta' d\tau$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\xi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\xi_i).$$

By solving the system (18) with respect to $c_0$ and $c_1$, we obtain

$$c_0 = \frac{\sigma_2 \sigma_6 - \sigma_4 \sigma_3}{k}, c_1 = \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_6 - \sigma_4 \sigma_3}{k},$$

where $k$ is given by (14). Substituting the values of $c_0$ and $c_1$ in (15) together with the notations (13), we get the solution (12).

\[\square\]

3. Existence and uniqueness results for single valued case

In this section, we deal with the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the problem (1)–(2) using certain fixed-point theorems. Based on Lemma 1, we convert the boundary value problem (1)–(2) into $Gx = x$ where the operator $G : \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows

$$Gx(t) = f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (t, x(t)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(t, x(t)) + e^{\rho^3 \eta_{\vartheta} - \vartheta} \mu_1(t)$$

$$\left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho^3 T(\gamma)} \int_a^b \eta \int_e g^{\rho^3 \eta_{\vartheta} - \vartheta} (\eta_{\vartheta} - \eta_{(\tau)}) \eta_{\vartheta}^{-1} \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau, x(\tau)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) \right]$$

$$\vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho^3 T(\gamma)} \int_a^b \eta \int_e g^{\rho^3 \eta_{\vartheta} - \vartheta} (\eta_{\vartheta} - \eta_{(\tau)}) \eta_{\vartheta}^{-1} \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau, x(\tau)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\tau, x(\tau)) \right)$$

$$\vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\xi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\xi_i) + e^{\rho^3 \eta_{\vartheta} - \vartheta} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} (\tau, x(\tau)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) dE(\tau) \right].$$

We note that $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ represents the Banach space of all continuous functions $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The norm of this space is defined as $\|x\| = \sup \{ |x(t)| | t \in [a, b] \}$. For convenience, let us set
where

\[ \Lambda = \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} + \left| a_1 \right| \left| \frac{(\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right| + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho^{ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma} \Gamma(\gamma + \alpha_2 + 1)} (\dot{\vartheta}(\eta_1) - \dot{\vartheta}(a))^{\gamma + \alpha_2} + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho^{\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)} (w_1 + w_2) \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left| \delta_i \right| \left( \frac{(\vartheta(z_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(z_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) + \left| \mu_2 \right| \left| \Lambda \right| \left( \int_{a}^{b} \left( \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \right) \right) \]

\[ + \left( \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) dE(\tau) \right], \tag{20} \]

and

\[ \Lambda_1 = \Lambda - \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} - \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)}, \tag{21} \]

where

\[ w_1 = \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \int_{\eta_2}^{b} (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau, \]

\[ w_2 = \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \int_{\eta_2}^{b} (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2} \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau. \tag{22} \]

Now, we are in a position to state the first main result which relies on the Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \rho \in (0, 1) \), and assume that \( h, f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be continuous functions. In addition, we suppose that:

(H1) there exist two functions \( P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+) \), and non-decreasing functions \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \), such that \( |h(t, x)| \leq P_1(\|x\|) \) and \( |f(t, x)| \leq P_2(\|x\|) \), for all \( (t, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \),

(H2) Considering \( \varphi = \max\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} \) and \( P = \max\{P_1, P_2\} \), there exists a positive constant \( M \) such that:

\[ M > \frac{\|P\| \varphi(M)}{1}. \]

Then the boundary value problem (1)–(2) has at least one solution on \([a, b]\).

**Proof.** Firstly, we aim to demonstrate that the operator \( G : \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \), as defined by equation (19), maps bounded sets into bounded sets within \( \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \). For a positive number \( r \), we consider a closed ball \( B_r = \{ x \in \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : \|x\| \leq r \} \) be bounded set in \( \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \), with \( r \geq \|P\| \varphi(\|r\|) \). Then, in view of assumption (H1), we have
\[ |G(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} ds \right| \]

\[ f(\tau, x(\tau)) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} h(\tau, x(\tau)) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ + e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_1(t) \left[ \frac{1}{\rho^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau_1) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(\tau_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} ds \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_2 - 1} f(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_2}{\rho^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) \]

\[ \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} f(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_2 - 1} h(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_2}{\rho^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) \]

\[ \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} f(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^{\xi_i} e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} f(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \right] \]

\[ + e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} f(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \right] \]

\[ + e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_2 - 1} h(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \right] \]

\[ + e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_2 - 1} h(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds \right] dE(\tau) \]
\[ \leq |P_2| \varphi_2(||x||) \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2)} \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \right. \\
+ |\mu_1| \left[ \frac{|a_1|}{\rho^a \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^{\eta_1} (\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2)} \right) \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \vartheta'(s) ds \right] \\
\left. + |\mu_2| \left[ |A| \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2)} \right) \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \vartheta'(s) dE(\tau) \right] \right\} + |P_3| \varphi_3(||x||) \\
\left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^{a_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{a_2 - 1} \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + |\mu_1| \\
\int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \vartheta'(s) ds \right. \\
\left. + |\mu_2| \left[ |A| \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{a_1} \Gamma(\alpha_1)} \right) \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{a_1 - 1} \vartheta'(s) dE(\tau) \right] \right\}. \]
Then,

\[ \|Gx\| \leq \|P\|\|\varphi(\|r\|)\| \left\{ \left| \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right\| + \|\mu_1\| \right. \]

\[ \left. \left[ \left| a_1 \right| \left( \vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(a) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma} \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} + \left| a_1 \right| \left( \vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(a) \right)^{\gamma + \alpha_2} \frac{1}{\rho^{\gamma + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\gamma + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \left| a_2 \left( w_1 + w_2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\delta_i| \right. \right. \]

\[ \left. \left. \left( \frac{\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(a)}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(a)}{\rho^{\alpha_1} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} \right) \right] \right. \]

\[ + \|\mu_2\| \left[ |A| \int_{a}^{b} \left( \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) dE(\tau) \right]. \]

Thus

\[ \|Gx\| \leq \|P\|\|\varphi(\|r\|)\| \Lambda \leq r. \]

This indicates that the set \( G(B_r) \) is uniformly bounded. Subsequently, it will be demonstrated that \( G \) maps the bounded set \( B_r \) into equicontinuous sets in \( \mathcal{B}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \). Consider \( v_1, v_2 \in [a, b] \). Set

\[ Gx(v_1) = I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f(v_1, x(v_1)) - I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(v_1, x(v_1)) \]

\[ Gx(v_2) = e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho} (\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(a))} \int_{a}^{v_1} \left( e^{\frac{\alpha_2}{\rho} (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(\tau))} \right) (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \]

\[ (I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f(\tau, x(\tau)) - I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\tau, x(\tau))) \delta'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho^{\gamma} \Gamma(\tau)} \int_{v_2}^{b} e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho} (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \]

\[ (I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f(\tau, x(\tau)) - I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\tau, x(\tau))) \delta'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \]

\[ - e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho} (\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(v_2) \left( A \int_{a}^{b} \left( I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f(\tau, x(\tau)) - I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} h(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) dE(\tau) \right). \]

Take \( v_1 < v_2 \) and \( x \in B_r \), then we obtain
\begin{align*}
|Gx(v_2) - Gx(v_1)| & \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^v \left| (\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} - (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \right| d\tau \\
|f(\tau,x(\tau))| \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau & + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^v \left( \vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(\tau) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} |f(\tau,x(\tau))| \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \\
& + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^v \left| (\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} - (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} \right| |h(\tau,x(\tau))| d\tau \\
\vartheta'(\tau) d\tau & + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^v \left| (\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} \right| |h(\tau,x(\tau))| d\tau \\
& + |\mu_1(v_2) - \mu_1(v_1)| \left[ \frac{|a_1|}{\rho^2 \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^\eta \left( \vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(\tau) \right)^{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) d\tau \\
& + \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} |f(s,x(s))| \vartheta'(s) ds + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \right] \\
& + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho^2 \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\eta_2}^b \left( \vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(\tau) \right)^{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) d\tau \\
& + \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} |f(s,x(s))| \vartheta'(s) ds + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \right] |h(s,x(s))| d\tau \\
\vartheta'(s) ds & + \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^{\xi_i} \left( \vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \right] \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^{\xi_i} \left( \vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \right] |h(s,x(s))| d\tau \\
\vartheta'(s) ds & + |\mu_2(v_2) - \mu_2(v_1)| \left[ |A| \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} |h(s,x(s))| \vartheta'(s) ds \right] dE(\tau)
\end{align*}
\[ \|P\| \varphi(\|x\|) \left\{ \frac{2(\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(v_1))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + |(\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}|}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \right. \\
+ \frac{2(\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(v_1))^{\alpha_2} + |(\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2} - (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}|}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \\
+ \frac{\sigma_1 |(\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2} - (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}|}{k \rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{|a_1| |(\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma}|}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| \left( \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) \right] \\
\left. + \frac{\sigma_2 |(\vartheta(v_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2} - (\vartheta(v_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}|}{k \rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{(\vartheta(T) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} dE(T) \right\}. \]

The right-hand side of the previous inequality approaches zero independently of \( x \) in \( B \), as \( v_2 - v_1 \to 0 \). Consequently, \( G \) maps bounded set into a set of equicontinuous functions.

Therefore, it follows by the Arzela’–Ascoli theorem that \( G \) is completely continuous.

Finally, we will establish the boundedness of the set of all solutions to equations \( x = \kappa Gx \), for \( \kappa \in (0, 1) \). Let \( x(t) \) be a solution of fractional boundary value problem (1)–(2). Thus, for \( t \in [a, b], \) we have

\[ \|x\| \leq \|P\| \varphi(\|x\|) \Lambda. \]

By the condition \((H_2)\), we can find a positive number \( M \) such that \( \|x\| \neq M \). Let us define a set \( \mathcal{Y} = \{x \in \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : \|x\| < M \} \) and note that the operator \( G : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) is continuous and completely continuous. Form the choice of \( \mathcal{Y} \), there is no \( x \in \partial \mathcal{Y} \) such that \( x = \kappa G(x) \) for some \( \kappa \in (0, 1) \). In consequence, we deduce by Theorem 4 that the operator \( G \) has a fixed point \( x \in \mathcal{Y} \) which is the solution of the boundary value problem (1)–(2).

The following existence result is based on Theorem 5.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \rho \in (0, 1] \) and assume that \( h, f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous functions satisfying the conditions:

\((H_3)\) There exists a constant \( L > 0 \) such that \( |h(t, x) - h(t, y)| \leq L_1 |x - y|, |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq L_2 |x - y| \), \( L = \max \{L_1, L_2\} \), for each \( t \in [a, b], x, y \in \mathbb{R} \).

\((H_4)\) \( |h(t, x)| \leq \lambda_1(t), |f(t, x)| \leq \lambda_2(t) \) for all \( (t, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \), \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( \lambda = \max \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \). If the inequality

\[ \Lambda_1 L < 1, \] (23)
is satisfied, where $\Lambda_1$ is determined by (21), then there exists at least one solution to the boundary value problem (1)–(2) on the interval $[a, b]$.

**Proof.** By the assumption $(H_4)$ and (20), we choose $\bar{r} \geq \Lambda \| \lambda \|$, and considering the closed ball $B_B = \{ x \in B : \| x \| \leq \bar{r} \}$.

Introducing the operators $G_1$ and $G_2$ on $B_B$ as follows:

$$(G_1x)(t) = I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} f(t, x(t)) - I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} h(t, x(t))$$

$$(G_2x)(t) = \frac{\rho_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \mu_1(t) \left[ \int_a^t e^\frac{\rho_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) - \rho(\tau)}{\rho\Gamma(\gamma)} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \right]$$

$$(f^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} f(t, x(t)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} h(t, x(t))) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + \int_{\eta_2}^b e^\frac{\rho_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) - \rho(\tau)}{\rho\Gamma(\gamma)} \left[ \int_a^t e^\frac{\rho_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) - \rho(\tau)}{\rho\Gamma(\gamma)} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \right]$$

$$(f^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} f(t, x(t)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} h(t, x(t))) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} f(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i I^{\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} h(\xi_i, x(\xi_i))$$

$\int_a^b (f^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} f(t, x(t)) - f^{\alpha_2, \rho, \theta} h(t, x(t))) \theta'(\tau) d\tau, \ t \in [a, b].$

Observe that $Gx = G_1x + G_2x$. Then for $x, y \in B_B$, taking into consideration that $|e^\frac{\rho_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) - \rho(\tau)}{\rho\Gamma(\gamma)}| < 1$, $\forall t > \alpha$, we find that

$$|G_1x + G_2y| \leq \frac{|\lambda_2|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^t e^\frac{\rho_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(t) - \rho(\tau)}{\rho\Gamma(\gamma)} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$
\[
(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \vartheta'(s) ds + \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau e^\frac{-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(r) - \vartheta(s))
\]

\[
(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1} \frac{\vartheta'(s) ds}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta \frac{|\lambda_2|}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} e^\frac{-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(x) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \vartheta'(s) ds
\]

\[
\int_a^\xi e^\frac{-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(s)) (\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \vartheta'(s) ds
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\xi e^\frac{-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(s)) (\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \vartheta'(s) ds \right]
\]

\[
= \text{a contraction mapping. For } x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for each } t \in [a, b], \text{ we have}
\]

\[
\|G_1 x + G_2 y\| \leq \|\lambda\left\| \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2}(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right\| + \|\mu_1\| \left| \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right|
\]

\[
\left[ w_1 + w_2 \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta \left| \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2}(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right|
\]

\[
\left[ A \int_a^b \left( \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2}(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) dE(\tau) \right]
\]

\[
= \|\lambda\| A \leq \bar{r},
\]

which proves that \(G_1 x + G_2 y \in B_f\). Next, we establish that \(G_2\) is a contraction mapping. For \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\) and for each \(t \in [a, b]\), we have

\[
\|G_2 x - G_2 y\| \leq e^\frac{-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a)) \|\mu_1\| \left| \frac{\lambda_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right|
\]
\[
\left(\frac{L_2}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) \int_a^\tau \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} ds
\]

\[+ \frac{L_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \theta'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{|\delta|}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \]

\[
\int_{\eta_2}^b \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(b) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \theta'(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[+ \frac{L_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \theta'(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[+ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\delta_i|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \theta'(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[+ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\delta_i|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \theta'(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[+ \frac{L_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau \frac{e^{\frac{\rho_1 - 1}{\rho}}(\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))(\theta(s) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \theta'(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[\leq L \left\{ \frac{|\alpha_1|}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \int_{\eta_1}^\tau (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \theta'(s) ds + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \theta'(s) ds \right) \theta'(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[+ \frac{|\alpha_2|}{\rho^{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)}} \int_{\eta_2}^b (\theta(b) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \theta'(s) ds + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\theta(\tau) - \theta(s))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \theta'(s) ds \right) \theta'(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i|ight\}
\[
\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^b \left( \vartheta' (\xi (s)) - \vartheta (s) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \vartheta' (s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^m | \delta_i | \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \\
\int_a^b \left( \vartheta (\xi) \vartheta' (s) \right)^{\alpha - 1} \vartheta' (s) ds + \| \mu_2 \| \left[ | A | \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1)} \int_a^\tau \left( \vartheta (\tau) - \vartheta (s) \right)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \vartheta' (s) ds \right] dE(\tau) \right)
\]
\[
\leq L \left\{ \| \mu_1 \| \left( \frac{| \alpha_1 | }{\rho^{\gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \int_a^b \left( \vartheta (\xi) - \vartheta (a) \right) \vartheta' (s) ds \right) + \frac{| \alpha_1 | }{\rho^{\gamma + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\gamma + \alpha_2 + 1)} ( \vartheta (\xi) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \\
+ \frac{| \alpha | }{\rho^{\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)} ( w_1 + w_2 ) + \sum_{i=1}^m | \delta_i | \left( \frac{( \vartheta (\xi) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} }{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{( \vartheta (\xi) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_2} }{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) \\
+ \| \mu_2 \| \left[ | A | \int_a^b \left( \frac{( \vartheta (\xi) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} }{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{( \vartheta (\xi) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_2} }{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) dE(\tau) \right] \| x - y \|
\]
\[
\leq L \delta \| x - y \|.
\]

By using the inequality (23), the mapping \( G_2 \) is a contraction. The continuity of \( f, h \) implies that the operator \( G_1 \) is continuous. Also, \( G_1 \) is uniformly bounded on \( B_3 \) as

\[
\| G_1 \| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^t e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1}} (\vartheta (t) - \vartheta (\xi))} ( \vartheta (t) - \vartheta (\xi) )^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \vartheta' (\xi) ds \right\}
\]
\[
\leq \| \lambda \| \left( \frac{( \vartheta (b) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} }{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{( \vartheta (b) - \vartheta (a) )^{\alpha_2} }{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right).
\]

The compactness of the operator \( G_1 \) will be established. Considering (H3), we proceed to set

\[
\sup_{(t, x) \in [a, b] \times B_3} | h(t, x) | = \bar{h} < \infty, \quad \sup_{(t, x) \in [a, b] \times B_3} | f(t, x) | = \bar{f} < \infty.
\]

Consequently, for \( a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq b \), we have
\[ |G_1x(t_2) - G_1x(t_1)| \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^{t_1} \left( (\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(t_1))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} - (\vartheta(t_1) - \vartheta(t))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \right) \]

\[ |f(\tau, x(\tau))| \vartheta' d\tau + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(t))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} |f(\tau, x(\tau))| \vartheta' d\tau \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_1} (\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(t))^{\alpha_2 - 1} - (\vartheta(t_1) - \vartheta(t))^{\alpha_2 - 1} |h(\tau, x(\tau))| \vartheta' d\tau \]

\[ \leq \frac{\tilde{f}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \left[ 2 (\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(t_1))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + ((\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - (\vartheta(t_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{\tilde{h}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} 2 (\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(t_1))^{\alpha_2} + ((\vartheta(t_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2} - (\vartheta(t_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}) \].

The right-hand side of the last inequality’s, independent of \( x \), will go to zero as \( t_2 - t_1 \) approaches to zero. Thus, \( G_1 \) is relatively Compact on \( B_r \). Hence, by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, \( G_1 \) is compact on \( B_r \). Therefore, all the assumptions of Theorem 5 are satisfied and we deduce the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution on \([a, b]\). \( \square \)

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \rho \in (0, 1] \) and assume that \( f, h : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are continuous functions satisfying the assumption (H3). Then the boundary value problem (1)-(2) has a unique solution on \([a, b]\) if

\[ LA < 1, \quad (24) \]

where a constant \( \Lambda \) is given by equation (20).

**Proof.** Define \( M = \max \{ M_1, M_2 \} \), where \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are positive numbers such that \( \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |h(t, a)| = M_1 \) and \( \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f(t, a)| = M_2 \). We fix \( r \geq \frac{M_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \). In view of the assumption (H3), we have

\[ |h(t, x)| = |h(t, x) - h(t, a) + h(t, a)| \leq |h(t, x) - h(t, a)| + |h(t, a)| \leq L_1 ||x|| + M_1 \leq L_1 r + M_1. \]

Likewise, it can be derived that \( |f(t, x)| \leq L_2 r + M_2 \). Initially, we demonstrate that \( GB_r \subset B_r \) where \( B_r = \{ x \in \mathcal{C} : ||x|| \leq r \} \). Then, for any \( x \in B_r \), we get
\[ \|Gx\| \leq (Lr+M) \left\{ \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^\alpha}{\rho^{\alpha+\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right\} + \|\mu_1\| \]

\[ \left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\gamma}} (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(a))^\alpha + \frac{|a_1|}{\rho^{\gamma+\alpha_2} (\gamma + \alpha_2 + 1)} (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(a))^\gamma \right] + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho^\Gamma(\gamma)} (w_1 + w_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\delta_i| \left[ \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^\alpha}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right] \]

\[ + \|\mu_2\| \left[ |A| \int_a^b \left( \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^\alpha}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} - dE(\tau) \right) \right] = (Lr+M)\Lambda \leq r, \]

implying that \( GB_r \subset B_r \). Subsequently, for \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) and for every \( t \) in \( [a, b] \), we get

\[ \|Gx - Gy\| \leq \frac{L_2 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^t (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha+\alpha_2-1} \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ + \frac{a_1}{\rho^\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^\eta (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma} \left( \frac{L_2 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ + \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \vartheta'(s) ds + \frac{L_1 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_2-1} \vartheta'(s) ds \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho^\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^b (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} \left( \frac{L_2 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \right) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ + \frac{L_1 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^\tau (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_2-1} \vartheta'(s) ds \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\delta_i| \left( \frac{L_1 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^{\xi_i} (\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \vartheta'(s) ds \right) \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\delta_i| \left( \frac{L_1 \|x - y\|}{\rho^{\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_a^{\xi_i} (\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \vartheta'(s) ds \right] \]
where

\( 4. \text{ Existence result for inclusion case} \)
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\[ \left\| \mathbf{u}_2 \right\| = \left| A \right| \int_a^b \left( \frac{L_0}{\rho^\alpha} \right) (x - y) (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha'_1} \varrho'(s) d\tau \]

\[ + \frac{L_1}{\rho^\alpha} \int_a^b \left( \vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s) \right)^{\alpha'_1} \varrho'(s) d\tau \]

\[ \leq LA \left\| x - y \right\|. \]

From the above inequality together with the given condition \( LA < 1 \), it follows that the operator \( G \) is a contraction. By means of the Banach contraction mapping principle, there exists a unique solution for the boundary value problem \((1)-(2)\).

\[ \square \]

4. Existence result for inclusion case

In this section, we develop the existence results to comprise the inclusion problem. We establish the existence of solutions for the boundary value problem \((3)-(4)\) by applying the fixed point theorem [28]. We begin to recollect some basic notations for the inclusion case [29]. For a normed space \((X, \left\| \cdot \right\|)\), let

\[ P_{cl}(X) = \{ y \in P(X) : y \text{ is closed} \}, \]

\[ P_{cp,cv}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ y \in P(\mathbb{R}) : y \text{ is compact and convex} \}. \]

Lemma 2. If \( F : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(Y) \) exhibits upper semicontinuity, then \( F \) constitutes a closed subset of \( X \times Y \) in other words, for any sequence \( \{ x_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \) and \( \{ y_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y \), if \( n \to \infty \), \( x_n \to x^* \), \( y_n \to y^* \), and \( y_n \in F(x_n) \), then \( y^* \in F(x^*) \). Conversely, if \( F \) is completely continuous and has a closed graph, then it is upper semicontinuous.

A multivalued map \( F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}) \) is considered Caratheodory when

(i) \( t \rightarrow F(t, x) \) is measurable for each \( x \in \mathbb{R} \).

(ii) \( x \rightarrow F(t, x) \) is upper semicontinuous for almost all \( t \in [a, b] \).

Furthermore, a Caratheodory function \( F \) is called \( L^1 \)-Caratheodory if for each \( \alpha > 0 \), there exists \( \varphi_0 \in L^1 ([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+) \) such that

\[ \left\| F(t, x) \right\| = \sup \{ \left| v \right| : v \in F(t, x) \} \leq \varphi_0(t), \]

for all \( \left\| x \right\| \leq \alpha \) and for a.e. \( t \in [a, b] \). For each \( y \in \mathcal{C}'([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \), define the set of selections of \( F \) by

\[ \mathcal{F}_y = \{ v \in L^1 ([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : v(t) \in F(t, y(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \}. \]

Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space induced from the normed space \((X, \left\| \cdot \right\|)\). We have \( H_d : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \) given by

\[ H_d(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b) \right\}, \]

where \( d(A, B) = \inf_{a \in A} d(a; B) \) and \( d(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(a; b) \). So, \((P_{cl}(X), H_d)\) is a metric space [26].
Lemma 3. [30] Let $X$ be a Banach space. Let $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}_{c,p,cv}(X)$ be an $L^1$-Caratheodory multivalued map, and let $\Theta$ be a linear continuous mapping from $L^1([a, b], X)$ to $\mathcal{C}([a, b], X)$. Then, the operator

$$
\Theta \circ \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}([a, b], X) \to \mathcal{P}_{c,p,cv}(\mathcal{C}([a, b], X)), \quad x \mapsto (\Theta \circ \mathcal{F})(x) = \Theta(\mathcal{F}(x))
$$

is a closed graph operator in $\mathcal{C}([a, b], X) \times \mathcal{C}([a, b], X)$.

Definition 4. A function $x \in \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is called a solution of problem (3)-(4) if we can find a function $f \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ with $f(t) \in F(t, x)$ on $[a, b]$ such that

$$
x(a) = A\theta(x),
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i x(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \left[ a_1 \int_{a}^{b} e^{\int_{t}^{\eta_1} \frac{d-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma-1} x(\tau) d\tau} d\tau + a_2 \int_{\phi}^{b} e^{\int_{\tau}^{\eta_2} \frac{d-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(\eta_2))^{\gamma-1} \theta'(\tau) d\tau} d\tau
\right]
$$

and

$$
x(t) = \int_{a}^{t} f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau + \int_{t}^{b} \frac{d-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(t))^{\gamma-1} \theta'(\tau) d\tau + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{d-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(t))^{\gamma-1} \theta'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i f^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \alpha}(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{d-1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(t))^{\gamma-1} \theta'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i f^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2, \rho, \alpha}(\xi_i, x(\xi_i))
$$

For convenience, we denote

$$
\Delta_1 = \frac{(\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho \alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} + \|\mu_1\| \left[ \frac{|a_1|}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma) \alpha \Gamma(\gamma + \alpha_2 + 1)} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(a))^{\gamma+\alpha_2}
\right.
\left. + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i \frac{(\theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho \alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) + \|\mu_2\| \left| A \right| \int_{a}^{b} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(t))^{\alpha_2} \rho \alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1) d\tau
\right]
\right]
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(t))^{\alpha_2} \rho \alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1) d\tau
$$
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\[
\Delta_2 = \frac{(\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + ||\mu_1|| \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma)} \right] + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)}w_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\delta_i| \frac{(\vartheta(\xi_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)}
\]

\[
+ |\mu_2| \left[ |A| \int_a^b \frac{(\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} dE(\tau) \right].
\]

The following fixed point theorem is used in what follows.

**Theorem 9.** [26] Let \( X \) and \( \bar{X} \) be, respectively, the open and closed subsets of Banach space \( U \), such that \( a \in X \); let \( \chi_1(x) : \bar{X} \rightarrow \text{P}_{cp,cv}(U) \) be multivalued and \( \chi_2(x) : \bar{X} \rightarrow U \) be single-valued such that \( \chi_1(\bar{X}) + \chi_2(\bar{X}) \) is bounded. Suppose that

(a) \( \chi_2 \) is a contraction with a contraction \( n < \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \).

(b) \( \chi_1 \) is upper semicontinuous and compact. Then, either

(i) the operator inclusion \( \lambda u \in \chi_1 u + \chi_2 u \) has a solution for \( \lambda = 1 \) or,

(ii) there is an element \( x \in \partial X \) such that \( \lambda x \in \chi_1 x + \chi_2 x \) for some \( \lambda > 1 \), where \( \partial X \) is boundary of \( X \).

Now, the main theorem of this section is stated as follows.

**Theorem 10.** Assume that

(N1) \( F : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{P}_{cp,c}(\mathbb{R}) \) is \( L^1 \)-Caratheodory.

(N2) There exists a continuous function \( \omega \in \mathcal{C}([a,b],\mathbb{R}^+) \) and \( \Omega \in \mathcal{C}([a,b],\mathbb{R}^+) \) such that

\[
\|F(t,x)\| = \sup \{|x| : x \in F(t,x)\} \leq \Omega(t) \omega(\|x\|)
\]

for all \((t,x) \in [a,b] \times \mathbb{R}\).

(N3) Let \( h : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous functions satisfying

\[
|h(s,x) - h(s,\bar{x})| \leq \xi |x - \bar{x}|, \forall x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}
\]

and \( \xi > 0 \).

(N4) A number \( \tau > 0 \) exists such that

\[
\frac{\tau}{\|\Omega\| \omega(\tau) \lambda} < 1,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is defined by equation (20). Then, problem (3)-(4) has at least one solution on \([a,b]\) if \( \xi \Delta_1 < \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \).

**Proof.** Let \( D = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \varepsilon\} \) be an open set in \( X \). Define the multivalued operator \( \chi_1 : D \rightarrow \text{P}(X) \) by
\[ \chi_1(x) = \left\{ z \in X : z(t) = f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_1(t) \right\} \]

\[
\left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho T(\gamma)} \int_a^{b} e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(\eta)) - \vartheta(\tau)) \varphi(\eta_1) - \varphi(\tau)) \gamma^{-1} \left( f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) \right) \varphi'(\tau)d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho T(\gamma)} \int_{\eta_2}^b e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(\eta)) - \vartheta(\tau)) \varphi(\eta_1) - \varphi(\tau)) \gamma^{-1} \left( f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) \right) \varphi'(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \right] - e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) dE(\tau) \right],
\]

and define the single-valued operator \( \chi_2 : \mathcal{D} \to X \) by

\[ \chi_2(x) = \left\{ z \in X : z(t) = -f^{\omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_1(t) \right\} \]

\[
\int_a^{b} e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(\eta)) - \vartheta(\tau)) \varphi(\eta_1) - \varphi(\tau)) \gamma^{-1} \left( f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) \right) \varphi'(\tau)d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho T(\gamma)} \int_{\eta_2}^b e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(\eta)) - \vartheta(\tau)) \varphi(\eta_1) - \varphi(\tau)) \gamma^{-1} \left( f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) \right) \varphi'(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \right] - e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) dE(\tau) \right],
\]

Observe that \( \chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 \) and it is given by

\[ \chi(x) = \{ z(t) \in \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : z(t) = f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) - f^{\omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_1(t) \}
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho T(\gamma)} \int_a^{b} e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(\eta)) - \vartheta(\tau)) \varphi(\eta_1) - \varphi(\tau)) \gamma^{-1} \left( f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) \right) \varphi'(\tau)d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho T(\gamma)} \int_{\eta_2}^b e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(\eta)) - \vartheta(\tau)) \varphi(\eta_1) - \varphi(\tau)) \gamma^{-1} \left( f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) \right) \varphi'(\tau)d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \right] - e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho T}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b f^{\mu_1 + \omega_2, \rho, \vartheta}(t, x(t)) dE(\tau) \right],
\]

Indeed, if \( z \in \chi(x) \), then there exists \( f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \) such that
$\mathcal{S}_{F, \alpha} = \{ f \in L^1 ([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+): f(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \text{ for } t \in [a, b]\}.$

We will show that the maps $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 9. We divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1.

We claim that $\chi_2$ is a contraction map. Indeed, let $x, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$, by (N3), we have

$$\|\chi_2 x - \chi_2 \tilde{x}\| \leq \frac{\xi}{\rho^{\alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2)}} \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1}$$

$$\theta'(\tau) d\tau + e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} \mu_1 \left[ \frac{|a_1|}{\rho^{\alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2)}} \right]$$

$$\int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(\eta))}{\gamma}} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))^{\gamma - 1} \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1}$$

$$\int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} (\theta(\tau) - \theta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1}$$

$$\theta'(s) ds + \left[ \frac{\xi}{\rho^{\alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2)}} \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} \theta'(s) ds \right] dE(\tau)$$

$$\int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1} \theta'(s) ds \right] dE(\tau)$$

$$\|\chi_2 x - \chi_2 \tilde{x}\| \leq \frac{\xi}{\rho^{\alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2)}} \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho^{-1} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))}{\gamma}} (\theta(t) - \theta(\tau))^{\alpha_2 - 1}$$

which proves that $\chi_2$ is a contraction map, where $w_2$ and $\Delta_1$ are defined in (22) and (25).

Step 2.
\( \chi_1(x) \) is convex for all \( x \in D \). Let \( z_1, z_2 \in \chi_1(x) \). We select \( f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{F}_x \) such that, for each \( t \in [a, b] \), we obtain

\[
z_t(t) = f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} \left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho_1 / (\phi \eta)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(t) - \phi(\tau)) \right]
\]

\[
(\phi(t) - \phi(\tau)) \psi_1^{\gamma} \int_a^b f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(t, x(x)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho_1 / (\phi \eta)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(b) - \phi(\tau))
\]

\[
(\phi(b) - \phi(\tau)) \psi_1^{\gamma} \int_a^b f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(t, x(x)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta i f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(\xi_i, x(\xi_i))
\]

\[- e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(\tau) - \phi(\tau)) \psi_2^{\gamma} (A \int_a^b f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(t, x(x)) dE(\tau)) \text{, for } i = 1, 2.
\]

Let \( t \in [a, b] \) and \( \phi \in [0, 1] \). So, we have

\[
[\phi z_1 + (1 - \phi) z_2](t) = \frac{1}{\rho_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} / (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^b e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(t) - \phi(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}
\]

\[
(\phi f_1(t, x(\tau)) + (1 - \phi) f_2(t, x(\tau))) d\tau + e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(t) - \phi(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}
\]

\[
(\phi \eta_1 - \phi(\tau)) \psi_1^{\gamma} \int_a^b f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(t, x(x)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta i f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(\xi_i, x(\xi_i))
\]

\[- \sum_{i=1}^m \delta i f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau - e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(t) - \phi(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} (\phi f_1(t, x(x)) + (1 - \phi) f_2(t, x(x)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau)
\]

\[- \sum_{i=1}^m \delta i f_{t_1}^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f_t(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau - e^{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\phi}} (\phi(t) - \phi(\tau))^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} (\phi f_1(t, x(x)) + (1 - \phi) f_2(t, x(x)) \psi'(\tau) d\tau)
\]

Since \( \mathscr{F}_x \) is convex, it follows that \( \phi z_1 + (1 - \phi) z_2 \in \chi_1(x) \) and then \( \chi_1(x) \) is convex-valued.
\( \chi_1 \) is compact and upper semi-continuous. This will be done in various statements. First, we show that \( \chi_1 \) maps bounded sets into bounded sets in \( X \). For a positive number \( \zeta \), let \( B_\zeta = \{ u \in X : \| u \| \leq \zeta \} \) be a bounded ball in \( D \). So, for all \( z \in \chi_1, x \in B_\zeta \), a function \( f \) in \( \mathcal{F}, x \) exists such that

\[
z(t) = \int_{a}^{b} f(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial(t)}(\theta(t) - \theta(a))} \mu_2(t) \left[ -e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial(t)}(\theta(t) - \theta(a))} \mu_2(t) \right] \mu_1(t) + e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial(t)}(\theta(t) - \theta(a))} \mu_1(t)
\]

\[
\int_{a}^{b} (\theta(t) - \theta(a)) \left[ -e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial(t)}(\theta(t) - \theta(a))} \mu_2(t) \right] \mu_1(t) + e^{\frac{\partial}{\partial(t)}(\theta(t) - \theta(a))} \mu_1(t)
\]

By using \( (N_2) \), for each \( t \in [a, b] \), we have

\[
|z(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\rho_{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2)} \int_{a}^{b} |\theta(t) - \theta(s)|^{a_1 + a_2 - 1} |f(s, x(s))| |\theta'(s)| ds + |\mu_1(t)|
\]

\[
\left| |\mu_1(t)| + |\mu_2(t)| \right| \leq |\mu_1| + |\mu_2| + |\mu_1| + |\mu_2| \leq |\mu_1| + |\mu_2|
\]

\[
\|z\| \leq \|\omega\| \alpha(\|x\|) \left\{ (\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{a_1 + a_2} \right\} + \|\mu_1\| \left\{ \frac{|a_1|}{\rho_{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + 1)} \right\} + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho_{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + 1)} \left\{ \frac{(\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{a_1 + a_2 + \gamma}}{\rho_{a_1 + a_2} \Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right\}
\]
where $w_1$ defined in (22), consequently

$$||z|| \le ||\Omega|| \Delta_2 \omega(||x||).$$

Then, we prove that $\chi_1$ maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets, where $\Delta_2$ is defined in (26). Let $u_1, u_2 \in [a, b]$ with $u_1 < u_2$ and $x \in B_z$, we have

$$|z(u_2) - z(u_1)| \le \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^{u_1} ((\vartheta(u_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} - (\vartheta(u_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1})$$

$$|f(\tau, x(\tau))| \vartheta'(\tau)d\tau + \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} (\vartheta(u_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} |f(\tau, x(\tau))| \vartheta'(\tau)d\tau$$

$$|\mu_1(u_2) - \mu_1(u_1)| \left[ |\alpha_1| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^{\eta_1} (\vartheta(\eta_1) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \right) - 1 \right]$$

$$|\mu_2(u_2) - \mu_2(u_1)| \left[ |\alpha_2| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^{\eta_2} (\vartheta(\eta_2) - \vartheta(\tau))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \right) - 1 \right]$$

$$\int_a^{\tau} (\vartheta(\tau) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} |f(s, x(s))| \vartheta'(s)ds \vartheta'(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\delta_i| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^{z_i} (\vartheta(z_i) - \vartheta(s))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} \right)$$

$$\le \frac{2 (\vartheta(u_2) - \vartheta(u_1))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + ((\vartheta(u_2) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} - (\vartheta(u_1) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)}$$

$$+ |\mu_1(u_2) - \mu_1(u_1)| \left[ |\alpha_1| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\gamma} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right) \right] + |\mu_2(u_2) - \mu_2(u_1)| \left[ |\alpha_2| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\gamma} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right) \right]$$

$$\le \frac{2 (\vartheta(z_i) - \vartheta(a))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} + |\mu_2(u_2) - \mu_2(u_1)| \left[ |\alpha_2| \left( \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\gamma} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma + 1)} \right) \right].
In the above inequality, the right-hand side tends to zero independently of $x$ in $B_{\varepsilon}$ as $u_2 \rightarrow u_1$. Consequently, by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it is concluded that $\chi_1 : D \rightarrow P(X)$ is rendered completely continuous, and thereby, $\chi_1$ is considered completely continuous.

Finally, we show $\chi_1$ has a closed graph. Let $(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow (x, z)$. It is demonstrated that $z$ belongs to $\chi_1(x)$. Since $z_n \in \chi_1(x_n)$, there exists $z_n \in \mathcal{F}_{F_{x_n}}$ such that for each $t \in [a, b]$, we find that

$$
z_n(t) = I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f_n(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_1(t) \left[ \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau)) \right]$$

Now, we have to show that there exists $z_\ast \in \mathcal{F}_{F_{x_{\ast}}}$, such that

$$
z_\ast(t) = I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho, \vartheta} f_\ast(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(a))} \mu_1(t) \left[ \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau))} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(\tau)) \right]$$

Consider the continuous linear operator $\Theta : L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X$ given by
\[ f \rightarrow \Theta(f)(t) = F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \theta} f(t, x(t)) + e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \int_a^\gamma e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_1(t) \left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_1(t) \right] dE(t) \]

(\Theta(\eta_1) - \Theta(\tau))^{-1} \left( F^{a_1 + a_2, \gamma} f(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{a_2}{\rho T(y)} \int_b^{\eta_2} e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_1(t) \left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_1(t) \right] dE(t)

\left( \Theta(b) - \Theta(\tau) \right)^{-1} \left( F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \theta} f(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \theta} f(\xi_i, x(\xi_i))

- e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^{\beta} \left( F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \theta} f(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) dE(\tau) \right].

Note that

\[ ||z_n(t) - z_\ast(t)|| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left| F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho} (f_n(t, x(t)) - f_\ast(t, x(t))) + e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_1(t) \right| \]

\[ \left[ \frac{a_1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^{\eta_1} e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \left( \Theta(\eta_1) - \Theta(\tau) \right)^{-1} \left( F^{a_1 + a_2, \gamma} f_n(\tau, x(\tau)) - f_\ast(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau \right] 

+ \frac{a_2}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_b^{\eta_2} e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \left( \Theta(b) - \Theta(\tau) \right)^{-1} \left( F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho} f_n(\tau, x(\tau)) - f_\ast(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau

- \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \theta} (f_n(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) - f_\ast(\xi_i, x(\xi_i))) - e^{\frac{e^{a_1}}{\rho T(y)}} \mu_2(t)

\left[ A \int_a^{\beta} \left( F^{a_1 + a_2, \rho, \theta} (f_n(\tau, x(\tau)) - f_\ast(\tau, x(\tau))) \right) dE(\tau) \right],

which goes to zero, as \( n \to \infty \). It follows by Lemma 2 that \( \Theta \circ F_F \) is a closed graph operator. Furthermore, we obtain \( z_n(t) \in \Theta(\mathcal{F}_{x_n}) \). Since \( x_n \to x_\ast \), we have
\[ z_\ast(t) = f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho}(t, x(t)) + e^t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t) - \varphi(a) \right) \mu_1(t) \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\gamma} (\varphi(\eta) - \varphi(\tau))} \right] \]

\[ (\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(\tau))^{-1} \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho}(\tau, x(\tau)) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau + \frac{\alpha_2}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\eta_2}^b e^{\frac{\rho - 1}{\gamma} (\varphi(b) - \varphi(\tau))} \right) \]

\[ (\vartheta(b) - \vartheta(\tau))^{-1} \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho}(\tau, x(\tau)) \vartheta'(\tau) d\tau - \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho}(\xi_i, x(\xi_i)) \right) \]

\[ - e^t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t) - \varphi(a) \right) \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b \left( f^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \rho}(\tau, x(\tau)) \right) dE(\tau) \right] , \]

for some \( z_\ast \in S_{F, x} \). Hence, \( \chi_1 \) has a closed graph (and therefore has closed values). Hence, we conclude that \( \chi_1 \) is compact multivalued map, upper semicontinuous with convex closed values.

**Step 4.**

There exists an open set \( Q \subseteq \mathcal{G}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) with \( x \notin \lambda \mathcal{X}_2(x) \) for any \( \lambda > 1 \) and for each \( x \in \partial Q \). Take \( \lambda > 1 \). Let \( x \) be a solution of (3)–(4), then, a function \( f \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( f \in S_{F, x} \) exists, and for \( t \in [a, b] \), the following relationship holds:
\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \int_a^t \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(t))}{\rho^\alpha} f(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(t))}{\rho^\alpha} h(s, x(s)) \vartheta'(s) ds
\]

\[
+ e^{\vartheta(s)} \mu_2(t) \left[ A \int_a^b \left( \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha} (\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(t))}{\rho^\alpha} f(s, x(s)) \right) ds \right]
\]

Therefore,
\[ |x(t)| \leq \|\Omega\| \omega(\|x\|) \left\{ \frac{(\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}} + \frac{(\theta(b) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2}} \right\} + \|\mu_1\| \]
\[ + \left[ \frac{|a_1| (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_1+\gamma}}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\gamma}} + \frac{|a_1|}{\rho^{\gamma+\alpha_2+1}} (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(a))^{\gamma+\alpha_2} \right. \]
\[ + \frac{|a_2|}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} (w_1 + w_2) + \sum_{i=1}^m |\delta_i| \left\{ \frac{(\theta(\xi_i) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}} \right\} \right] + \|\mu_2\| \left[ |\Lambda| \int_a^b \left( \frac{(\theta(\tau) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}} - \frac{(\theta(\tau) - \theta(a))^{\alpha_2}}{\rho^{\alpha_2+1}} \right) dE(\tau) \right] \]
\[ \leq \|\Omega\| \omega(\|x\|) \Lambda, \]
which implies
\[ \frac{|x|}{\|\Omega\| \omega(\|x\|) \Lambda} < 1. \]

Using the assumption \((N4)\), there exists \(\tau > 0\) such that \(|x| \neq \tau\). Define a set \(\mathcal{Q} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}([a,b],\mathbb{R}) : \|x\| < \tau \}\).

It should be noted that the operator \(\chi : \hat{Q} \rightarrow P(X)\) is a compact multivalued map, upper semi-continuous with convex closed values. With the selected \(\mathcal{Q}\), the existence of \(x \in \partial \mathcal{Q}\) satisfying \(x \in \lambda \chi(x)\) for some \(\lambda > 1\) is not possible. As a result, a fixed point \(x \in \hat{Q}\) is attained by the operator \(\chi(x)\), which serves as a solution to the boundary value problem \((3)-(4)\).

5. Examples

The following examples illustrate the possibility of applying the research results in numerical simulations.

**Example 1.** Consider the following boundary value problem with (CFPDs):

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{c}{c} D^{\frac{1}{2}} x(t) - \frac{d}{d} x(t) + h(t,x(t)) = f(t,x(t)), t \in [0,1], \\
x(0) = A x, \\
\sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i x(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{\rho \Gamma(\gamma)} \left[ a_1 \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_1} e^{-1 (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\eta_1)) (\theta(\eta_1) - \theta(\tau))} x(\tau) d\tau \right. \\
+ a_2 \int_{\eta_2}^{\eta_2} e^{-1 (\theta(\eta_2) - \theta(\eta_2)) (\theta(\eta_2) - \theta(\tau))} x(\tau) d\tau, \\
\end{cases}
\]

(27)
where \(a = 0, b = 1, \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \rho = \frac{1}{8}, \eta_1 = \frac{1}{6}, \eta_2 = \frac{5}{6}, \delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = 3, \xi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \xi_2 = \frac{1}{2}, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2, \gamma = \frac{1}{4}, \lambda = \frac{1}{4}, w_1 = 5.186759689, w_2 = 3.837868325, E(t) = t^2, \vartheta(t) = t^2 + 5. \) With the provided values, we conclude that \(\Lambda \simeq 12.31618167\) and \(\Lambda_1 \simeq 9.174273532(\Lambda \text{ and } \Lambda_1 \text{ given by } (20) \text{ and } (21)).

Let

\[
f(t, x) = e^{-3t} \left( \frac{|x|}{3(1 + |x|)} + 2x \cos x + \frac{5}{3} \right), h(t, x) = \frac{\cos t}{4\sqrt{36 + t^2}} \left( \frac{e^{-t}}{10} + \sin x \right).
\]

Then

\[
|f(t, x)| \leq \frac{e^{-3t}}{40} \left( \frac{1}{3} + 2|x| + \frac{5}{3} \right) \leq \frac{e^{-3t}}{20} (1 + |x|) = P_2(t) \varphi_2(|x|),
\]

\[
|h(t, x)| \leq \frac{\cos t}{4\sqrt{36 + t^2}} \left( \frac{1}{10} + |x| \right) = P_1(t) \varphi_1(|x|),
\]

with

\[
P_2(t) = \frac{e^{-3t}}{10} \leq \frac{1}{20},
\]

\[
P_1(t) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{36 + t^2}} \leq \frac{1}{24}.
\]

Clearly

\[
\|P_1\| = \frac{1}{24}, \|P_2\| = \frac{1}{20}, P = \max \{P_1, P_2\} = \frac{1}{20}, \varphi = \max \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} = 1 + |x|.
\]

Based on \((H_2)\), we find that \(M > 1.602872575\). Since all the condition of Theorem 6 are satisfied, the boundary value problem (27) has at least one solution on \([0, 1]\) with \(f(t, x)\) and \(h(t, x)\) given by (28).

**Example 2.** Consider the boundary value problem (27) with

\[
f(t, x) = \frac{1}{30} \sin(x) + e^{-2t} \cos(t),
\]

\[
h(t, x) = \frac{e^{-t}}{t^2 + 40} \frac{|x|}{1 + |x|} + \frac{1}{9}.
\]

Then

\[
|h(t, x)| \leq \frac{e^{-t}}{t^2 + 40} + \frac{1}{9} = \lambda_1(t),
\]

\[
|f(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{30} + e^{-2t} \cos(t) = \lambda_2(t).
\]
Therefore,

\[ |h(t,x) - h(t,y)| \leq \frac{1}{40} \|x - y\|, \]

\[ |f(t,x) - f(t,y)| \leq \frac{1}{30} \|x - y\|. \]

Obviously

\[ \|\lambda_1\| = \frac{49}{360}, \|\lambda_2\| = \frac{31}{30}, \lambda = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} = \frac{31}{30}, L = \max\{L_1, L_2\} = \frac{1}{30}, \]

\[ \Lambda_1 \simeq 0.3058091177 < 1. \]

Obviously, the hypotheses of Theorem 7 all hold. Therefore, we can infer that the boundary value problem (27) has at least one solution on \([0,1]\) with \(f(t,x(t))\) and \(h(t,x(t))\) given by (29).

**Example 3.** Using the data for Example 2, we find that

\[ \Lambda \simeq 0.4105393890 < 1. \]

Finally, one can notice that boundary value problem (27) with \(f(t,x(t))\) and \(h(t,x(t))\) given by (29) has a unique solution on \([0,1]\) as the hypothesis of Theorem 8 holds true.

### 6. Conclusions

In this article, we have obtained some results for a Caputo fractional proportional type nonlinear boundary value problem coupled with Caputo fractional proportional type slit-strips and Riemann-Stieltjes integral boundary conditions. Our first results deal with single-valued maps and are obtained by applying Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative fixed point theorem, Karasno-selekkii’s fixed point theorem, and Banach contraction mapping principle. The second result is concerned with multivalued maps and is established by means of another version Karasno-selekkii’s fixed point theorem. Our results correspond to several special classes of the problem at hand mentioned in Remark 1.
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