Research Article



Highly Dispersive Optical Solitons with Quadratic-Cubic Nonlinear form of Self-Phase Modulation by Sardar Sub-Equation Approach

Anwar Ja'afar Mohamad Jawad¹, Anjan Biswas^{2,3,4,5}, Yakup Yildirim^{6,7,8*}, Ali Saleh Alshomrani³

¹Department of Computer Technical Engineering, Al-Rafidain University College, Baghdad, Iraq

²Department of Mathematics and Physics, Grambling State University, Grambling, LA, USA

³Mathematical Modeling and Applied Computation Research Group, Center of Modern Mathematical Sciences and their Applications, Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Applied Sciences, Cross-Border Faculty of Humanities, Economics and Engineering, Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, 111 Domneasca Street, Galati, Romania

⁵Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Medunsa, South Africa

⁶Department of Computer Engineering, Biruni University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁷Mathematics Research Center, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus

⁸Faculty of Arts and Sciences, University of Kyrenia, Kyrenia, Cyprus

E-mail: yyildirim@biruni.edu.tr

Received: 19 February 2024; Revised: 30 March 2024; Accepted: 18 April 2024

Abstract: The highly dispersive optical solitons with a quadratic-cubic form of self-phase modulation structure are derived. The governing model was reduced to an ordinary differential equation by the traveling wave hypothesis. Subsequently, the Sardar sub-equation method and its modified version are used to locate the soliton solutions. A full spectrum of optical solitons is thus obtained.

Keywords: traveling waves, sardar sub-equation, quadratic-cubic

MSC: 78A60

1. Introduction

The concept of highly dispersive (HD) optical solitons emerged about half a decade ago [1-5]. This involves the nonlinear Schrödinger's equation with inter-modal dispersion (IMD), chromatic dispersion (CD), third-order dispersion (3OD), fourth-order dispersion (4OD), fifth-order dispersion (5OD), and sixth-order dispersion (6OD). When the CD levels become critically low and there is an imminent risk of depletion, the implementation of additional distinct effects becomes necessary. These effects serve as a strategic response to counterbalance the diminishing levels of CD. Essentially, they are introduced to offset the adverse effects or limitations caused by the low count of CD. By deploying these supplementary measures, the aim is to maintain functionality or achieve desired outcomes despite the scarcity of CD resources. In essence, these additional effects act as a form of intervention to address the challenges posed by the impending depletion of CD [1–5]. The negative effects of the inclusion of such higher-order dispersion terms are ignored. One of the issues is the drastic slowdown of optical solitons, while the other is the immense radiative effect that the model will experience. By ignoring these effects, the study will focus on the soliton dynamics of the model.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.5220244478 This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license

(Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

Copyright ©2024 Yakup Yildirim, et al.

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The self-phase modulation (SPM) structure is considered to be one of the non-Kerr laws. This work addresses the model with a quadratic-cubic form of SPM. This extension to the usual Kerr law of SPM includes the quadratic term as an addendum. Earlier this year, this form of the nonlinear Schrödinger's equation was examined using the Lie symmetry approach, and a few soliton solutions were established [6, 7]. The current paper implements the Sardar sub-equation and Sardar modified sub-equation approaches to obtain a complete spectrum of optical solitons for the model. First, the model is reduced to an ordinary differential equation, and then both approaches are successfully applied to recover the results.

The details are presented in the remainder of the paper. Section 2 outlines the governing model, providing the foundational framework for understanding the system's dynamics. Section 3 explores traveling wave solutions within this framework, analyzing wave propagation characteristics. Section 4 introduces and applies the Sardar Sub-Equation method to derive analytical solutions for the governing model. Finally, Section 5 concludes the study by summarizing findings, discussing implications, and suggesting future research directions.

2. Governing model

The HD-NLSE, featuring quadratic-cubic (QC) nonlinearity, is discussed in [8], as presented below

$$i \Phi_t + i a_1 \Phi_x + a_2 \Phi_{xx} + i a_3 \Phi_{xxx} + a_4 \Phi_{xxxx} + i a_5 \Phi_{xxxxx} + a_6 \Phi_{xxxxxx} + \left(b_1 |\Phi| + b_2 |\Phi|^2 \right) \Phi = 0.$$
(1)

Here, the dependent variable $\Phi = \Phi(x, t)$ in the dimensionless form of Equation (1) is derived from the soliton profile and represents a complex-valued function, where x and t are the independent variables representing spatial and temporal coordinates, respectively. Subsequently, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ serves as the coefficient for the linear temporal evolution of the pulses. Also, b_j for j = 1, 2 represents the quadratic and cubic coefficients of the SPM effect. Finally, the IMD, CD, 3OD, 4OD, 5OD, and 6OD are sequentially represented by the coefficients of a_j for j = 1, ..., 6.

3. Travelling wave solution

The solution for Eq. (1) is provided in [9–14], as indicated below

$$\Phi(x, t) = u(\xi) e^{i\theta(x, t)}.$$
(2)

Here, $\xi = x - \gamma t$ characterizes the wave variable, with representing the soliton speed. Furthermore, the phase component of the soliton is given by $\theta(x, t) = -kx + \omega t + \theta_0$, where k signifies the soliton frequency, ω denotes the wavenumber, and θ_0 stands for the phase constant. Lastly, $u(\xi)$ denotes the amplitude component of the soliton. By utilizing Eq. (2) and its derivatives:

$$i\Phi_t = \left[-i\gamma u' - \omega u\right] e^{i\theta(x, t)},\tag{3}$$

$$\Phi_x = \left[u' - kiu\right] e^{i\theta(x, t)},\tag{4}$$

$$\Phi_{xx} = \left[u'' - 2iku' - k^2 u\right] e^{i\theta(x, t)},\tag{5}$$

$$\Phi_{xxx} = \left[u^{(3)} - 3iku'' - 3k^2u' + ik^3u \right] e^{i\theta(x, t)},$$
(6)

$$\Phi_{xxxx} = \left[u^{(4)} - 4iku^{(3)} - 6k^2u'' + 4ik^3u' + k^4u \right] e^{i\theta(x, t)},\tag{7}$$

$$\Phi_{xxxxx} = \left[u^{(5)} - 5iku^{(4)} - 10k^2u^{(3)} + 10ik^3u'' + 5k^4u' - ik^5u \right] e^{i\theta(x, t)},\tag{8}$$

$$\Phi_{xxxxxx} = \left[u^{(6)} - 6iku^{(5)} - 15k^2u^{(4)} + 20ik^3u^{(3)} + 15k^4u'' - 6ik^5u' - k^6u\right]e^{i\theta(x, t)}.$$
(9)

Eq. (1) becomes:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i\gamma u' - \omega u \end{bmatrix} + a_1 \begin{bmatrix} iu' + ku \end{bmatrix} + a_2 \begin{bmatrix} u'' - 2iku' - k^2 u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+a_3 \begin{bmatrix} iu^{(3)} + 3ku'' - i3k^2u' - k^3u \end{bmatrix} + a_4 \begin{bmatrix} u^{(4)} - 4iku^{(3)} - 6k^2u'' + 4ik^3u' + k^4u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+a_5 \begin{bmatrix} iu^{(5)} + 5ku^{(4)} - i10k^2u^{(3)} - 10k^3u'' + i5k^4u' + k^5u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+a_6 \begin{bmatrix} u^{(6)} - 6iku^{(5)} - 15k^2u^{(4)} + 20ik^3u^{(3)} + 15k^4u'' - 6ik^5u' - k^6u \end{bmatrix} + (b_1 |\Phi| + b_2 |\Phi|^2) \Phi = 0.$$
(10)

Eq. (10) can be decomposed into real and imaginary parts, which are respectively expressed as

$$\left(-\omega + a_1k - a_2k^2 - a_3k^3 + a_4k^4 + a_5k^5 - a_6k^6\right)u + \left(a_2 + 3a_3k - 6a_4k^2 - 10a_5k^3 + 15a_6k^4\right)u''$$

$$+ \left(a_4 + 5a_5k - 15a_6k^2\right)u^{(4)} + a_6u^{(6)} + (b_1 + b_2)u^3 = 0,$$

$$(11)$$

and

$$\left(-\gamma + a_1 - 2ka_2 - 3a_3k^2 + 4a_4k^3 + 5a_5k^4 - 6a_6k^5\right)u'$$

$$+ \left(a_3 - 4a_4k - 10a_5k^2 + 20a_6k^3\right)u^{(3)} + (a_5 - 6a_6k)u^{(5)} = 0.$$
(12)

In accordance with Eq. (12), we arrive at

$$\gamma = a_1 - 2ka_2 - 3a_3k^2 + 4a_4k^3 + 5a_5k^4 - 6a_6k^5, \tag{13}$$

Contemporary Mathematics

whenever

$$a_3 = \left(4a_4k + 10a_5k^2 - 20a_6k^3\right),\tag{14}$$

and

$$a_5 = 6a_6k. \tag{15}$$

Accordingly, Eq. (11) can be represented as

$$\left(-\omega + a_1k - a_2k^2 - 3a_4k^4 - 35a_6k^6\right)u + \left(a_2 + 6a_4k^2 + 75a_6k^4\right)u''$$

$$+ \left(a_4 + 15a_6k^2\right)u^{(4)} + a_6u^{(6)} + (b_1 + b_2)u^3 = 0.$$
(16)

4. Sardar sub-equation method (SSEM)

The SSEM offers a significant advantage in its ability to produce diverse soliton solutions, ranging from dark, bright, and singular forms to more complex configurations such as mixed dark-bright, dark-singular, bright-singular, and mixed singular solitons. Moreover, it facilitates the derivation of rational, periodic, trigonometric, and other solution types. In this approach, we solve Eq. (16) by assuming that the solution conforms to the form described in [15–21]:

$$u(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \lambda_n \Psi^n(\xi), \ \lambda_N \neq 0.$$
(17)

Here, the constants λ_n (where n = 0, 1, ..., N)) are to be calculated subsequently. The integer N is determined using the homogeneous balance method, ensuring a balance between the nonlinear term and the highest-order derivative in Eq. (16). Additionally, the function $\Psi^n(\xi)$ in Eq. (17) must fulfill the following equation:

$$\Psi'(\xi) = \sqrt{\eta_2 \Psi(\xi)^4 + \eta_1 \Psi(\xi)^2 + \eta_0},$$
(18)

where η_l (with l = 0, 1, 2) represents constants.

Accordingly, based on the values of the parameters η_l , Eq. (18) exhibits various known solutions, listed as follows [22–27]:

Case 1 When $\eta_0 = 0$, $\eta_1 > 0$, and $\eta_2 \neq 0$, soliton solutions are obtained:

$$\Psi_{1}^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{-pq\eta_{1}/\eta_{2}} \operatorname{sech}_{pq}(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi), \ \eta_{2} < 0,$$
(19)

and

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1303

$$\Psi_2^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{pq\eta_1/\eta_2} \operatorname{csch}_{pq}(\sqrt{\eta_1}\xi), \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
⁽²⁰⁾

where

$$\operatorname{sech}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi\right) = \frac{2}{p \ e^{\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi} + q \ e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi}},$$

$$\operatorname{csch}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi\right) = \frac{2}{p \ e^{\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi} - q \ e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi}}.$$
(21)

Case 2 If $\eta_0 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta_2}$, $\eta_2 > 0$, and $\eta_1 < 0$, soliton solutions are derived:

$$\Psi_3^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{-\eta_1/2\eta_2} \tanh_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{2}}\xi\right),\tag{22}$$

$$\Psi_4^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{-\eta_1/2\eta_2} \operatorname{coth}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{2}}\xi\right),\tag{23}$$

$$\Psi_5^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{-\eta_1/2\eta_2} \left(\tanh_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1} \xi \right) \pm i \sqrt{pq} \operatorname{sech}_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1} \xi \right) \right),$$
(24)

$$\Psi_{6}^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{-\eta_{1}/2\eta_{2}} \left(\operatorname{coth}_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_{1}} \xi \right) \pm \sqrt{pq} \operatorname{csch}_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_{1}} \xi \right) \right),$$
(25)

and

$$\Psi_7^{\pm}(\xi) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\eta_1/2\eta_2} \left(\tanh_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{8}} \xi \right) \pm \coth_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{8}} \xi \right) \right), \tag{26}$$

where

$$\tanh_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi\right) = \frac{p \ e^{\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi} - q e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi}}{p e^{\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi} + q e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi}},$$

$$\cosh_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi\right) = \frac{p e^{\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi} + q e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi}}{p e^{\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi} - q e^{-\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\xi}}.$$
(27)

Contemporary Mathematics

4.1 Application of the modified Sardar sub-equation method

Our analysis commenced with the application of the homogeneous balance method principle [28–33], balancing the nonlinear term $u^{(6)}$ with the linear term u^3 in Eq. (16). This yielded the equation N + 6 = 3N, from which we derived N = 3. Consequently, Eq. (17) takes the form:

$$u(\xi) = \left(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \Psi + \lambda_2 \Psi^2 + \lambda_3 \Psi^3\right),\tag{28}$$

$$u'(\xi) = (\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2\Psi + 3\lambda_3\Psi^2)\sqrt{(\eta_2\Psi^4 + \eta_1\Psi^2 + \eta_0)},$$
(29)

$$u'' = \begin{pmatrix} 12\lambda_3\eta_2\Psi^5 + 6\lambda_2\eta_2\Psi^4 + (2\lambda_1\eta_2 + 9\lambda_3\eta_1)\Psi^3 \\ +4\lambda_2\eta_1\Psi^2 + (\lambda_1\eta_1 + 6\lambda_3\eta_0)\Psi + 2\lambda_2\eta_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(30)

$$u^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 60 \lambda_3 \eta_2 \Psi^4 + 24\lambda_2 \eta_2 \Psi^3 + 3(2\lambda_1 \eta_2 + 9\lambda_3 \eta_1) \Psi^2 \\ + 8\lambda_2 \eta_1 \Psi + (\lambda_1 \eta_1 + 6\lambda_3 \eta_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times \sqrt{(\eta_2 \Psi^4 + \eta_1 \Psi^2 + \eta_0)},$$
(31)

$$u^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 360 \lambda_3 \eta_2^2 \Psi^7 + 120 \lambda_2 \eta_2^2 \Psi^6 + 6\eta_2 (4\lambda_1 \eta_2 + 68\lambda_3 \eta_1) \Psi^5 \\ +120 \lambda_2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \Psi^4 + (252\lambda_3 \eta_0 \eta_2 + 20\lambda_1 \eta_1 \eta_2 + 81\lambda_3 \eta_1^2) \Psi^3 \\ + (16\lambda_2 \eta_1 \eta_1 + 72\lambda_2 \eta_0 \eta_2) \Psi^2 \\ + (\lambda_1 \eta_1^2 + 60 \lambda_3 \eta_0 \eta_1 + 12\eta_0 \lambda_1 \eta_2) \Psi + 8\lambda_2 \eta_0 \eta_1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(32)

$$u^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2520 \lambda_3 \eta_2^2 \Psi^6 + 720\lambda_2 \eta_2^2 \Psi^5 + 30\eta_2 (4\lambda_1 \eta_2 + 68\lambda_3 \eta_1) \Psi^4 \\ +480\lambda_2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \Psi^3 + 3 (252\lambda_3 \eta_0 \eta_2 + 20\lambda_1 \eta_1 \eta_2 + 81\lambda_3 \eta_1^2) \Psi^2 \\ +2 (16\lambda_2 \eta_1 \eta_1 + 72\lambda_2 \eta_0 \eta_2) \Psi + (\lambda_1 \eta_1^2 + 60\lambda_3 \eta_0 \eta_1 + +12\eta_0 \lambda_1 \eta_2) \end{pmatrix}$$
(33)

$$\times \sqrt{(\eta_2 \Psi^4 + \eta_1 \Psi^2 + \eta_0)},$$

and

$$u^{(6)} = \begin{cases} 20160 \lambda_3 \eta_2^3 \Psi^9 + 5040\lambda_2 \eta_2^3 \Psi^8 + 180\eta_2^2 (4\lambda_1\eta_2 + 152\eta_1) \Psi^7 \\ + 2520 \lambda_3 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \Psi^7 + 6720\lambda_2 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \Psi^6 \end{cases}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 6\eta_2 (2772\lambda_3\eta_0\eta_2 + 20\lambda_1\eta_1\eta_2 + 81\lambda_3\eta_1^2) + 30\eta_1\eta_2 (4\lambda_1\eta_2 + 68\lambda_3\eta_1) \\ + 6\eta_2 (252 \lambda_3\eta_0\eta_2 + 20\lambda_1\eta_1\eta_2 + 81\lambda_3\eta_1^2) + 120\eta_1\eta_2 (4\lambda_1\eta_2 + 68\lambda_3\eta_1) \end{bmatrix} \Psi^5$$

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1305

$$+ \left[2\eta_{2} \left(736\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right) + 4\eta_{2} \left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{1} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right) + 480\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2} + 3600\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}^{2}\right]\Psi^{4} \\ + \left[2\eta_{2} \left(\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}^{2} + 60\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + 12\eta_{0}\lambda_{1}\eta_{2}\right) + 6\eta_{1} \left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right) \\ + 3\eta_{1} \left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right) + 120\eta_{0}\eta_{2} \left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\right)\right]\Psi^{3} \\ + \left[2\eta_{1} \left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{1} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right) + 1440\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 2\left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{3} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2}\right)\right]\Psi^{2} \\ + \left[\eta_{1} \left(\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}^{2} + 60\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + 12\eta_{0}\lambda_{1}\eta_{2}\right) + 6\eta_{0} \left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right)\right]\Psi^{4} \\ + 2\eta_{0} \left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right)\}.$$

By substituting equations (30), (32), and (34) into equation (16) and considering equation (18), we derive:

$$\begin{split} & \left(-\omega + a_{1}k - a_{2}k^{2} - 3a_{4}k^{4} - 35 a_{6}k^{6}\right)\left(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1}\Psi + \lambda_{2}\Psi^{2} + \lambda_{3}\Psi^{3}\right) \\ & + \left(a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75 a_{6}k^{4}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} 12\lambda_{3}\eta_{2}\Psi^{5} + 6\lambda_{2}\eta_{2}\Psi^{4} + (2\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 9\lambda_{3}\eta_{1})\Psi^{3} \\ & + 4\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\Psi^{2} + (\lambda_{1}\eta_{1} + 6\lambda_{3}\eta_{0})\Psi + 2\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\end{array}\right) \\ & + \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}\right)\left(360\lambda_{3}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{7} + 120\lambda_{2}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{6} + 6\eta_{2}\left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\right)\Psi^{5} \\ & + 120\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\Psi^{4} + \left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right)\Psi^{3} + \left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{1} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right)\Psi^{2} \\ & + \left(\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}^{2} + 60\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + 12\eta_{0}\lambda_{1}\eta_{2}\right)\Psi + 8\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{1}\right) \\ & + a_{6}\left\{\begin{array}{c} 20160\lambda_{3}\eta_{2}^{3}\Psi^{9} + 5040\lambda_{2}\eta_{2}^{3}\Psi^{8} + 180\eta_{2}^{2}\left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 152\eta_{1}\right)\Psi^{7} \\ & + 2520\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{7} + 6720\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{6} \end{array}\right) \\ & + \left[\begin{array}{c} 6\eta_{2}\left(2772\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right) + 30\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\right) \\ & + 6\eta_{2}\left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right) + 120\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\right) \right]\Psi^{5} \\ & + \left[\begin{array}{c} 2\eta_{2}\left(736\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right) + 4\eta_{2}\left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{1} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right) \\ & + 480\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2} + 3600\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}^{2} + 120\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\right) \right]\Psi^{4} \\ & + \left[\begin{array}{c} 2\eta_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}^{2} + 60\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + +12\eta_{0}\lambda_{1}\eta_{2}\right) + 6\eta_{1}\left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right) \right]\Psi^{4} \\ & + \left[\begin{array}{c} 2\eta_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}^{2} + 60\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + +12\eta_{0}\lambda_{1}\eta_{2}\right) + 6\eta_{1}\left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right) + 120\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\left(4\lambda_{1}\eta_{2} + 68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\right) \right]\Psi^{3} \end{aligned}\right)$$

Contemporary Mathematics

$$+ \left[2\eta_{1} \left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{1} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right) + 1440\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 2\left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{3} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2}\right)\right]\Psi^{2} + \eta_{1} \left(\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}^{2} + 60\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + 12\eta_{0}\lambda_{1}\eta_{2}\right) + 6\eta_{0} \left(252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 20\lambda_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\right)\Psi + 2\eta_{0} \left(16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2} + 72\lambda_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{2}\right)\} + (b_{1} + b_{2}) \left(\lambda_{0}^{3} + 3\lambda_{0}^{2}\lambda_{1}\Psi + 3\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}^{2}\right)\Psi^{2} + \left(\lambda_{1}^{3} + 3\lambda_{0}^{2}\lambda_{3} + 6\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\right)\Psi^{3} + \left(3\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{2} + 3\lambda_{2}^{2}\lambda_{0} + 6\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{3}\right)\Psi^{4} + \left(3\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{3} + 3\lambda_{2}^{2}\lambda_{1} + 6\lambda_{0}\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\right)\Psi^{5} + \left(2\lambda_{3}^{2}\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{2}^{3} + 6\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\right)\Psi^{6} + 3\left(\lambda_{3}^{2}\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}^{2}\lambda_{3}\right)\Psi^{7} + 3\lambda_{3}^{2}\lambda_{2}\Psi^{8} + \lambda_{3}^{3}\Psi^{9} = 0.$$

$$(35)$$

Collecting and setting the coefficients of the independent functions $\Psi^{j}(\xi)$ to zero, we derive the following set of algebraic equations for each case:

Case I $\eta_0 = 0$, $\lambda_0 = 0$, $\lambda_1 = 0$. Hence, Eq. (35) simplifies to the following equation:

$$\left(-\omega + a_{1}k - a_{2}k^{2} - 3a_{4}k^{4} - 35 a_{6}k^{6}\right) \left(\lambda_{2}\Psi^{2} + \lambda_{3}\Psi^{3}\right)$$

$$+ \left(a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75 a_{6}k^{4}\right) \left(12\lambda_{3}\eta_{2}\Psi^{5} + 6\lambda_{2}\eta_{2}\Psi^{4} + 9\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\Psi^{3} + 4\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\Psi^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 360 \lambda_{3}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{7} + 120\lambda_{2}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{6} + 408\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\Psi^{5} \\ + 120\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\Psi^{4} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\Psi^{3} + 16\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2}\Psi^{2} \end{array}\right)$$

$$+ a_{6} \left\{\begin{array}{c} 20160\lambda_{3}\eta_{2}^{3}\Psi^{9} + 5040 \lambda_{2}\eta_{2}^{3}\Psi^{8} + 29880\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{7} + 6720\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{6} \\ + 11172 \eta_{2}\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2}\Psi^{5} + 2016\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2}\Psi^{4} + 729\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{3}\Psi^{3} + 64\lambda_{2}\eta_{1}^{3}\Psi^{2} \end{array}\right)$$

$$+ \left(b_{1} + b_{2}\right) \left(\lambda_{2}^{3}\Psi^{6} + 3\lambda_{2}^{2}\lambda_{3}\Psi^{7} + 3\lambda_{3}^{2}\lambda_{2}\Psi^{8} + \lambda_{3}^{3}\Psi^{9}\right) = 0.$$

$$(36)$$

As a result, this leads to the derivation of the following set of algebraic equations for Ψ^{j} , where *j* ranges from 2 to 9:

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{9} &: 20160a_{6}\eta_{2}{}^{3} + (b_{1} + b_{2}) \ \lambda_{3}{}^{2} = 0, \\ \Psi^{8} &: 1680a_{6}\eta_{2}{}^{3} + (b_{1} + b_{2}) \ \lambda_{3}{}^{2} = 0, \\ \Psi^{7} &: 120 \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2} + 83 \ \eta_{1}a_{6} \right) \eta_{2}{}^{2} + (b_{1} + b_{2}) \ \lambda_{2}{}^{2} = 0, \end{split}$$

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1307

$$\Psi^{6} : 120 \left(\left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2} \right) + 56\eta_{1}a_{6} \right) \eta_{2}^{2} + \left(b_{1} + b_{2} \right) \lambda_{2}^{2} = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{5} : \left(a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75a_{6}k^{4} \right) + 34 \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2} \right) \eta_{1} + 931a_{6}\eta_{1}^{2} = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{4} : \left(a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75a_{6}k^{4} \right) + 20 \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2} \right) \eta_{1} + 336a_{6}\eta_{1}^{2} = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{3} : \left(-\omega + a_{1}k - a_{2}k^{2} - 3a_{4}k^{4} - 35a_{6}k^{6} \right) + 9 \left(a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75a_{6}k^{4} \right) \eta_{1}$$

$$+ 81 \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2} \right) \eta_{1}^{2} + 729a_{6}\eta_{1}^{3} = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{2} : \left(-\omega + a_{1}k - a_{2}k^{2} - 3a_{4}k^{4} - 35a_{6}k^{6} \right) + 4 \left(a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75a_{6}k^{4} \right) \eta_{1}$$

$$+ 16 \left(a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2} \right) \eta_{1}^{2} + 64a_{6}\eta_{1}^{3} = 0.$$
(37)

The solution to the set of algebraic equations (37) yields:

$$\omega = k \left(a_1 - a_2 k - 3a_4 k^3 - 35a_6 k^5 \right).$$
(38)

Family 1

$$\lambda_2 = \pm 2\sqrt{-\frac{30(a_4 + 15a_6k^2 + 83\eta_1a_6)}{(b_1 + b_2)}}\eta_2, \ \lambda_3 = \pm 8\sqrt{-\frac{315a_6\eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}}\eta_2, \ \eta_1 = -\frac{266(a_2 + 6a_4k^2 + 75a_6k^4)}{2527(a_4 + 15a_6k^2)}$$

Consequently, bright and singular soliton solutions emerge as follows:

$$\Phi_{1, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3\sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)}\operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$

$$\times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(39)

and

$$\Phi_{1,b}(x,t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 csch^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} csch^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$

$$(40)$$

$$\times \exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x+\omega t+\theta_{0}\right)\right], \ \eta_{2}>0,$$

Contemporary Mathematics

respectively. Family 2

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{-\frac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 83 \eta_1 a_6\right)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 4 \sqrt{-\frac{105 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{266 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4\right)}{2527 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2\right)} \end{split}$$

Thus, bright and singular soliton solutions are revealed as

$$\Phi_{2, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(41)

and

$$\Phi_{2,b}(x,t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$
(42)

 $\times exp[i(-\kappa x+\omega t+\theta_0)], \ \eta_2>0,$

respectively.

Family 3

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{rac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 56 \eta_1 a_6
ight)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \ \lambda_3 &= \mp 8 \sqrt{-rac{315 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \ \eta_1 &= -rac{266 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4
ight)}{2527 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2
ight)}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, bright and singular soliton solutions take form as

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1309

$$\Phi_{3, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3\sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)}\operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$

$$\times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(43)

$$\Phi_{3,b}(x,t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 csch^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} csch^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$

$$\times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \quad \eta_2 > 0,$$
(44)

respectively.

Family 4

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{\frac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 56 \eta_1 a_6\right)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 4 \sqrt{-\frac{105 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{266 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4\right)}{2527 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2\right)}. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, bright and singular soliton solutions shape up as

$$\Phi_{4, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(45)

and

$$\Phi_{4, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \\ \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(46)

Contemporary Mathematics

respectively. Family 5

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{-rac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 83 \eta_1 a_6
ight)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \ \lambda_3 &= \mp 8 \sqrt{-rac{315 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \ \eta_1 &= -rac{595 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4
ight)}{7196 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2
ight)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, bright and singular soliton solutions turn out to be

$$\Phi_{5, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(47)

and

$$\Phi_{5, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$
(48)

 $\times exp[i(-\kappa x+\omega t+\theta_0)], \ \eta_2>0,$

respectively.

Family 6

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{-\frac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 83 \eta_1 a_6\right)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 4 \sqrt{-\frac{105 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{595 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4\right)}{7196 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2\right)}. \end{split}$$

As a result, bright and singular soliton solutions arise as

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1311

$$\Phi_{6, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right) \left[\lambda_{2}\operatorname{sech}^{2}{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_{3}\sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right)}\operatorname{sech}^{3}{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_{1}}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_{0}\right)\right], \ \eta_{2} < 0,$$
(49)

$$\Phi_{6, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(50)

respectively.

Family 7

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{\frac{30 \ (a_4 + 15a_6k^2 + 56 \ \eta_1 a_6)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 8 \sqrt{-\frac{315 \ a_6 \ \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \ \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{595 \ (a_2 + 6a_4k^2 + 75 \ a_6k^4)}{7196 \ (a_4 + 15a_6k^2)}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, bright and singular soliton solutions emerge as follows:

$$\Phi_{7, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3\sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)}\operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(51)

and

$$\Phi_{7, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(52)

Contemporary Mathematics

respectively. Family 8

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{\frac{30 \ (a_4 + 15a_6k^2 + 56 \ \eta_1 a_6)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 4 \sqrt{-\frac{105 \ a_6 \ \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \ \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{595 \ (a_2 + 6a_4k^2 + 75 \ a_6k^4)}{7196 \ (a_4 + 15a_6k^2)}. \end{split}$$

Thus, bright and singular soliton solutions are revealed as

$$\Phi_{8, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3\sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)}\operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(53)

and

$$\Phi_{8,b}(x,t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right]$$
(54)

 $\times exp[i(-\kappa x+\omega t+\theta_0)], \ \eta_2>0,$

respectively.

Family 9

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{-\frac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 83 \eta_1 a_6\right)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 8 \sqrt{-\frac{315 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{203 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4\right)}{1708 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2\right)}. \end{split}$$

As a result, bright and singular soliton solutions take form as

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1313

$$\Phi_{9, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(55)

$$\Phi_{9, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right)\right] \\ \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(56)

respectively.

Family 10

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{-\frac{30 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2 + 83 \eta_1 a_6\right)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 4 \sqrt{-\frac{105 a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{203 \left(a_2 + 6 a_4 k^2 + 75 a_6 k^4\right)}{1708 \left(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2\right)}. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, bright and singular soliton solutions shape up as

$$\Phi_{10, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(57)

and

$$\Phi_{10, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(58)

Contemporary Mathematics

respectively. Family 11

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \; \sqrt{rac{30\;(a_4+15a_6k^2+56\;\eta_1a_6)}{(b_1+b_2)}} \,\eta_2, \ \lambda_3 &= \mp 8 \; \sqrt{-rac{315\;a_6\;\eta_2}{(b_1+b_2)}} \;\eta_2, \ \eta_1 &= -rac{203\,(a_2+6a_4k^2+75\;a_6k^4)}{1708\;(a_4+15a_6k^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, bright and singular soliton solutions turn out to be

$$\Phi_{11, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(59)

and

$$\Phi_{11, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x - \gamma t\right)\right)\right] \\ \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(60)

respectively.

Family 12

$$\begin{split} \lambda_2 &= \mp 2 \sqrt{\frac{30 \ (a_4 + 15a_6k^2 + 56 \ \eta_1 a_6)}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \eta_2, \\ \lambda_3 &= \mp 4 \sqrt{-\frac{105 \ a_6 \ \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \ \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= -\frac{203 \ (a_2 + 6a_4k^2 + 75 \ a_6k^4)}{1708 \ (a_4 + 15a_6k^2)}. \end{split}$$

As a result, bright and singular soliton solutions arise as

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1315

$$\Phi_{12, a}(x, t) = \left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{sech}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(-pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{sech}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 < 0,$$
(61)

$$\Phi_{12, b}(x, t) = \left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right) \left[\lambda_2 \operatorname{csch}^2_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) + \lambda_3 \sqrt{\left(pq\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)} \operatorname{csch}^3_{pq}\left(\sqrt{\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right)\right] \\ \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0,$$
(62)

respectively.

Case 2 $\eta_0 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta_2}, \ \lambda_0 = 0, \ \lambda_1 = 0, \ \lambda_2 = 0, \ \eta_2 > 0.$ Eq. (35) is simplified to the following equation:

$$\left(-\omega + a_{1}k - a_{2}k^{2} - 3a_{4}k^{4} - 35 a_{6}k^{6}\right) (\lambda_{3}\Psi^{3}) + (a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75 a_{6}k^{4}) \left(12\lambda_{3}\eta_{2}\Psi^{5} + (9\lambda_{3}\eta_{1})\Psi^{3} + (6\lambda_{3}\eta_{0})\Psi\right) + (a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}) \left(\begin{array}{c} 360 \lambda_{3}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{7} + 6\eta_{2} (68\lambda_{3}\eta_{1})\Psi^{5} \\ + (252\lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\lambda_{3}\eta_{1}^{2})\Psi^{3} + (60 \lambda_{3}\eta_{0}\eta_{1})\Psi \end{array} \right)$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}a_{6} \left\{ 20160 \eta_{2}^{3}\Psi^{9} + 180\eta_{2}^{2} (152\eta_{1})\Psi^{7} + 2520 \eta_{1}\eta_{2}^{2}\Psi^{7} + 6\eta_{2} (2772\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2}) + 30\eta_{1}\eta_{2} (68\eta_{1}) + 6\eta_{2} (252\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2}) + 120\eta_{1}\eta_{2} (68\eta_{1}) \right] \Psi^{5}$$

$$+ \left[6\eta_{2} \left(2772\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2} \right) + 30\eta_{1}\eta_{2} (68\eta_{1}) + 6\eta_{2} \left(252\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2} \right) + 120\eta_{0}\eta_{2} (68\eta_{1}) \right] \Psi^{5}$$

$$+ \left[2\eta_{2} \left(60 \eta_{0}\eta_{1} \right) + 6\eta_{1} \left(252\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2} \right) + 3\eta_{1} \left(252\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2} \right) + 120\eta_{0}\eta_{2} (68\eta_{1}) \right] \Psi^{3}$$

$$+ \left[\eta_{1} \left(60 \eta_{0}\eta_{1} \right) + 6\eta_{0} \left(252\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2} \right) \right] \Psi \right\} + (b_{1} + b_{2}) \left(\lambda_{3}^{3}\Psi^{9} \right) = 0.$$

We reach the following set of algebraic equations for the corresponding Ψ^{j} , where *j* extends from 1 to 9:

$$\Psi^{9} : 20160 a_{6} \eta_{2}^{3} + (b_{1} + b_{2}) \lambda_{3}^{2} = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{7} : (a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}) + 83 a_{6} \eta_{1} = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{5} : (a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75 a_{6}k^{4}) + 34 (a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}) \eta_{1} + a_{6} [1512 \eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 931 \eta_{1}^{2}] = 0,$$

$$\Psi^{3} : (-\omega + a_{1}k - a_{2}k^{2} - 3a_{4}k^{4} - 35 a_{6}k^{6}) + (a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75 a_{6}k^{4}) 9 \eta_{1}$$

$$+ (a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}) (252\eta_{0}\eta_{2} + 81\eta_{1}^{2}) + a_{6} (10548 \eta_{2}\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + 729 \eta_{1}^{3}) = 0,$$

$$\Psi : (a_{2} + 6a_{4}k^{2} + 75 a_{6}k^{4}) + (a_{4} + 15a_{6}k^{2}) 10 \eta_{1} + a_{6} [91 \eta_{1}^{2} + 252\eta_{0}\eta_{2}] = 0.$$
(64)

The set of algebraic equations (64) is solved to give:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = k \left(a_1 - a_2 k - 3a_4 k^3 - 35 a_6 k^5 \right).$$
(65)

Family 1

$$\eta_0 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta_2}, \ \lambda_3 = \sqrt{-\frac{20160 \ a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \ \eta_2, \ \omega = a_1 k \ -a_2 k^2 \ -3a_4 k^4 \ -35 \ a_6 k^6, \ \eta_1 = -\frac{\left(a_4 + 15a_6 k^2\right)}{83 \ a_6}.$$

Also, setting $\eta_1/2\eta_2 < 0$ and $\eta_2 > 0$, we acquire the following soliton solutions: Dark soliton solution is represented by

$$\Phi_{13, a}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{\eta_1}{2\eta_2}\right)^3} \lambda_3 \tanh^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{2}}(x-\gamma t)\right) exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(66)

Singular soliton solution is expressed by

$$\Phi_{13, b}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{\eta_1}{2\eta_2}\right)^3} \lambda_3 \operatorname{coth}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{2}}(x-\gamma t)\right) exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(67)

Complexion solutions are presented as:

$$\Phi_{13, c}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\eta_1/2\eta_2\right)^3} \lambda_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \tanh_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1} \left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) \\ \pm i \sqrt{pq} \operatorname{sech}_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1} \left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) \end{array} \right]^3 \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \quad (68)$$

Volume 5 Issue 2|2024| 1317

$$\Phi_{13, d}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\eta_1/2\eta_2\right)^3} \lambda_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \coth_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) \\ \pm i\sqrt{pq}\operatorname{csch}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) \end{array} \right]^3 \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(69)

Straddled dark-singular soliton solution is formulated as

$$\Phi_{13, e}(x, t) = \frac{\lambda_3}{8} \sqrt{\left(-\eta_1/2\eta_2\right)^3} \left[\tanh_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{8}} \xi\right) \pm \coth_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{8}} \xi\right) \right]^3 \\ \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right], \ \eta_2 > 0.$$

$$(70)$$

Family 2

$$\eta_0 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta_2}, \ \lambda_3 = \sqrt{-\frac{20160 \ a_6 \eta_2}{(b_1 + b_2)}} \ \eta_2, \ \omega = a_1 k - a_2 k^2 - 3 a_4 k^4 - 35 \ a_6 k^6, \ \eta_1 = -\frac{55}{319} \frac{(a_2 + 6a_4 k^2 + 75 \ a_6 k^4)}{(a_4 + 15 a_6 k^2)}.$$

Additionally, when $\eta_1/_{2\eta_2} < 0$ and $\eta_2 > 0$, the following soliton solutions are obtained: Dark soliton solution is depicted as

$$\Phi_{14, a}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\eta_1/2\eta_2\right)^3} \lambda_3 \tanh^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{2}}(x-\gamma t)\right) exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(71)

Singular soliton solution is defined as

$$\Phi_{14, b}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{\eta_1}{2\eta_2}\right)^3} \lambda_3 \operatorname{coth}^3{}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{2}}(x-\gamma t)\right) \exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(72)

Complexion solutions are provided by

$$\Phi_{14, c}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\eta_1/2\eta_2\right)^3} \lambda_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \tanh_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1} \left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) \\ \pm i \sqrt{pq} \operatorname{sech}_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1} \left(x - \gamma t\right)\right) \end{array} \right]^3 \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right],$$
(73)

and

$$\Phi_{14, d}(x, t) = \sqrt{\left(-\eta_1/2\eta_2\right)^3} \lambda_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \coth_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) \\ \pm i\sqrt{pq}\operatorname{csch}_{pq}\left(\sqrt{-2\eta_1}\left(x-\gamma t\right)\right) \end{array} \right]^3} \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(74)

Straddled dark-singular soliton solution is characterized by

Contemporary Mathematics

$$\Phi_{14, e}(x, t) = \frac{\lambda_3}{8} \sqrt{\left(-\frac{\eta_1}{2\eta_2}\right)^3} \left[\tanh_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{8}} \xi\right) \pm \coth_{pq} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{\eta_1}{8}} \xi\right) \right]^3 \times exp\left[i\left(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0\right)\right].$$
(75)

Figures 1 and 2 depict surface, contour, and 2D plots of the bright and dark soliton solutions described by Eqs. (39) and (66), respectively. In Figure 1, the chosen parameters include: p = 1, q = 1, $\eta_2 = -1$, $\gamma = 1$, k = 1, $a_2 = 1$, $a_4 = 1$, $a_6 = 1$, $b_1 = 1$, and $b_2 = 1$. Meanwhile, Figure 2 addresses the parameters p = 1, q = 1, $a_4 = 1$, $a_6 = 1$, k = 1, $\eta_2 = 1$, $b_1 = -1$, $b_2 = -1$, and $\gamma = 1$.



Figure 1. Profile of a bright soliton solution (39)



Figure 2. Profile of a bright soliton solution (66)

5. Conclusion

This paper has comprehensively explored a wide range of optical solitons within the highly dispersive NLSE, which was characterized by quadratic–cubic form of SPM. The radiative effects stemming from these dispersion terms were deliberately disregarded to maintain focus on the derivation of soliton solutions. This study builds upon prior research conducted through Lie symmetry analysis, which also yielded soliton solutions. In the current work, the Sardar equation and its modified version were employed to recover a complete spectrum of single solitons for the model.

The findings of this study are intriguing and lay the groundwork for future advancements. Subsequently, the model will undergo examination with a generalized form of quadratic-cubic nonlinearity. Additionally, perturbation terms will be integrated to provide a more comprehensive understanding of soliton transmission under such dispersive effects. Once these results are synthesized and aligned with existing research, they will be disseminated for wider dissemination [29–34].

Confilict of iterest

The authors claim that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bansal A, Biswas A, Zhou Q, Babatin M. Lie symmetry analysis for cubic-quartic nonlinear Schrödinger's equation. *Optik.* 2018; 169: 12-15.
- [2] Biswas A, Kara AH, Alshomrani AS, Ekici M, Zhou Q, Belic MR. Conservation laws for highly dispersive optical solitons. *Optik.* 2019; 199: 163283.
- [3] Biswas A, Ekici M, Sonmezoglu A, Belic MR. Highly dispersive optical solitons with Kerr law nonlinearity by F-expansion. *Optik.* 2019; 181: 1028-1038.
- [4] Jawad AJM, Abu-AlShaeer MJ. Highly dispersive optical solitons with cubic law and cubic-quinticseptic law nonlinearities by two methods. *Al-Rafidain Journal of Engineering Sciences*. 2023; 1(1): 1-8.
- [5] Biswas A, Ekici M, Sonmezoglu A, Belic MR. Highly dispersive optical solitons with cubic-quintic-septic law by extended Jacobi's elliptic function expansion. *Optik.* 2019; 183: 571-578.
- [6] Biswas A, Ekici M, Sonmezoglu A, Belic MR. Highly dispersive optical solitons with cubic-quintic-septic law by exp-expansion. *Optik.* 2019; 186: 321-325.
- [7] Wang G, He M, Zhou Q, Yıldırım Y, Biswas A, Alshehri H. Highly dispersive optical solitons with quadratic-cubic nonlinear refractive index by lie symmetry. *Journal of Applied Analysis Computation*. 2024; 14(2): 682-702.
- [8] Biswas A, Ekici M, Sonmezoglu A, Belic MR. Highly dispersive optical solitons with quadratic-cubic law by expfunction. *Optik*. 2019; 186: 431-435.
- [9] Chen SJ, Lü X, Yin YH. Dynamic behaviors of the lump solutions and mixed solutions to a (2 + 1)-dimensional nonlinear model. *Communications in Theoretical Physics*. 2023; 75(5): 055005.
- [10] Chen Y, Lü X, Wang XL. Bäcklund transformation, Wronskian solutions and interaction solutions to the (3 + 1)dimensional generalized breaking soliton equation. *The European Physical Journal Plus*. 2023; 138(6): 492.
- [11] Gao D, Lü X, Peng MS. Study on the (2 + 1)-dimensional extension of Hietarinta equation: soliton solutions and Bäcklund transformation. *Physica Scripta*. 2023; 98(9): 095225.
- [12] Liu K, Lü X, Gao F, Zhang J. Expectation-maximizing network reconstruction and most applicable network types based on binary time series data. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*. 2023; 454: 133834.
- [13] Yin YH, Lü X. Dynamic analysis on optical pulses via modified PINNs: Soliton solutions, rogue waves and parameter discovery of the CQ-NLSE. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 2023; 126: 107441.
- [14] Zhao Z, Zhang C, Feng Y, Yue J. Space-curved resonant solitons and interaction solutions of the (2 + 1)-dimensional Ito equation. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2023; 146: 108799.
- [15] Zhao ZL, He LC. Multiple lump molecules and interaction solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili I equation. *Communications in Theoretical Physics*. 2022; 74(10): 105004.
- [16] Zhao ZL, He LC, Wazwaz AM. Dynamics of lump chains for the BKP equation describing propagation of nonlinear waves. *Chinese Physics B*. 2023; 32(4): 040501.
- [17] Wang S. Novel soliton solutions of CNLSEs with Hirota bilinear method. Journal of Optics. 2023; 52(3): 1602-1607.
- [18] Kopçasız B, Yaşar E. The investigation of unique optical soliton solutions for dual-mode nonlinear Schrödinger's equation with new mechanisms. *Journal of Optics*. 2023; 52(3): 1513-1527.
- [19] Tang L. Bifurcations and optical solitons for the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation in optical fiber Bragg gratings. *Journal of Optics*. 2023; 52(3): 1388-1398.
- [20] Thi TN, Van LC. Supercontinuum generation based on suspended core fiber infiltrated with butanol. *Journal of Optics*. 2023; 52(4): 2296-2305.
- [21] Li Z, Zhu E. Optical soliton solutions of stochastic Schrödinger-Hirota equation in birefringent fibers with spatiotemporal dispersion and parabolic law nonlinearity. *Journal of Optics*. 2023; 53: 1302-1308.
- [22] Han TY, Li Z, Li CY, Zhao LZ. Bifurcations, stationary optical solitons and exact solutions for complex Ginzburg-Landau equation with nonlinear chromatic dispersion in non-Kerr law media. *Journal of Optics*. 2023; 52(2): 831-844.
- [23] Tang L. Phase portraits and multiple optical solitons perturbation in optical fibers with the nonlinear Fokas-Lenells equation. *Journal of Optics*. 2023; 52(4): 2214-2223.
- [24] Nandy S, Lakshminarayanan V. Adomian decomposition of scalar and coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations and dark and bright solitary wave solutions. *Journal of Optics*. 2015; 44: 397-404.

- [25] Chen W, Shen M, Kong Q, Wang Q. The interaction of dark solitons with competing nonlocal cubic nonlinearities. *Journal of Optics*. 2015; 44: 271-280.
- [26] Xu SL, Petrović N, Belić MR. Two-dimensional dark solitons in diffusive nonlocal nonlinear media. Journal of Optics. 2015; 44: 172-177.
- [27] Dowluru RK, Bhima PR. Influences of third-order dispersion on linear birefringent optical soliton transmission systems. *Journal of Optics*. 2011; 40: 132-142.
- [28] Singh M, Sharma AK, Kaler R. Investigations on optical timing jitter in dispersion managed higher order soliton system. *Journal of Optics*. 2011; 40: 1-7.
- [29] Janyani V. Formation and propagation-dynamics of primary and secondary soliton-like pulses in bulk nonlinear media. *Journal of Optics*. 2008; 37: 1-8.
- [30] Hasegawa A. Application of optical solitons for information transfer in fibers-A tutorial review. *Journal of Optics*. 2004; 33(3): 145-156.
- [31] Mahalingam A, Uthayakumar A, Anandhi P. Dispersion and nonlinearity managed multisoliton propagation in an erbium doped inhomogeneous fiber with gain/loss. *Journal of Optics*. 2013; 42: 182-188.
- [32] Hashemi MS. A variable coefficient third degree generalized Abel equation method for solving stochastic Schrödinger-Hirota model. *Chaos, Solitons Fractals.* 2024; 180: 114606.
- [33] Iqbal I, Rehman HU, Mirzazadeh M, Hashemi MS. Retrieval of optical solitons for nonlinear models with Kudryashov's quintuple power law and dual-form nonlocal nonlinearity. *Optical and Quantum Electronics*. 2023; 55(7): 588.
- [34] Rehman HU, Iqbal I, Hashemi MS, Mirzazadeh M, Eslami M. Analysis of cubic-quartic-nonlinear Schrödinger's equation with cubic-quintic-septic-nonic form of self-phase modulation through different techniques. *Optik.* 2023; 287: 171028.