[Research Article](http://ojs.wiserpub.com/index.php/CM/)

Existence Results for Multivalued Contractive Type Mappings Involving *wb* **-Distances**

Abdul Latif1* , Ahad Hamoud Alotaibi² , Maha Noorwali³

¹Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Rabigh Branch, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

³ Department of [Mat](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8973-1381)hematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: alatif@kau.edu.sa

Received: 28 March 2024; **Revised:** 28 April 2024; **Accepted:** 10 May 2024

Abstract: We present some new results on the existence of fixed points for multivalued contractive type mappings involving generalized distance on metric type spaces. In support of our main results, some examples are also given. Finally, we conclude that our new presented results either improve or generalize some interesting fixed point results of the existing literature.

*Keywords***:** metric type space, fixed point, *wb*-distance, multivalued contraction

MSC: 47H09, 54H25

1. Introduction

Introducing the notion of multivalued contractions via Hausdorff metric, Nadler [1] has presented a multivalued version of the well-known Banach Contraction Principle (BCP). Since then, a number of extensions of this interesting result have been appeared. It is worth to mention that many of these results can be extended to various cases without relying on the Hausdorff metric; see [2–4], and others.

The classical metric space has been studied and extended by a number of authors [v](#page-14-0)ia significant modifications to the metric axioms. Specifically, the concept of a metric type (or *b*-metric) space represents a valuable extension of the classical metric space. In fact, this idea initially explored by Bakhtin [5], and later refining the idea of *b*-metric, Czerwik [6] studied some basic fixed point re[su](#page-14-1)[lts](#page-14-2) including the BCP. In this direction much work has been on the existence of fixed points for contraction type mappings; see [7–9] and some other related references [10–13].

In [14], Kada et al. introduced the idea of *w*-distance on metric spaces and then improve some known result. In [15], Suzuki and Takahashi proposed the concepts of singlevalued a[nd](#page-14-3) multivalued weakly contractive mappings with [res](#page-14-4)pect to *w*-distance, and then extended a number of classical fixed point results in this context including BCP and Nadler fixed point result. Subsequently, much [wo](#page-14-5)[rk](#page-14-6) of a significant quality has been do[ne](#page-14-7)i[n t](#page-15-0)his area; see [16–18] and referenc[es t](#page-15-1)herein. Hussain et al. defined the *wt*-distance on metric type spaces and proved certain fixed point results for [sing](#page-15-2)levalued mappings via *wt*-distance. For further research work in this area, see [9, 19–21] and others.

Copyright ©2024 Abdul Latif, et al.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.5420244662

This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

In this paper, using the concept of w_b -distance, we first establish key lemmas and then present some new results on the existence of fixed point for some multivalued contractive type mappings involving general conditions. Two nontrivial examples are included. Our results either generalize or improve a number of fixed point results including the corresponding results of Feng and Liu [3], Klim and Wardowski [4], Ciric [2, 22], Latif and Abdou [23, 24], Liu et al. [17, 25, 26] and Latif et al. [27, 28].

2. Mate[ria](#page-15-3)[ls](#page-15-4) an[d m](#page-14-8)ethods

Before presenting our main results, we recall some useful notations, concepts, and facts. In this section, we consider *X* is a metric space with the metric *d*, otherwise stated. We denote $2^X = \{E \subset X : E \neq \emptyset\}$, $C(X) = \{E \subset X : E \neq \emptyset\}$ X: E is non-empty and closed}, $CB(X) = \{E \subset X : E$ is non-empty closed and bounded}. For any L, $Z \in CB(X)$, define

$$
H(L, Z) = \max \{ \sup_{s \in L} d(s, Z), \, \sup_{z \in Z} d(z, L) \},
$$

where $d(s, Z) = \inf_{z \in Z} d(s, z)$. It is known that *H* is a metric on *CB*(*X*), referred to as the Hausdorff metric.

A multivalued mapping $T: X \to 2^X$ is called multivalued contraction if for any s, z of X, $H(T(s), T(z)) \leq ad(s, z)$, for a fixed $a \in (0, 1)$. An element $s \in X$ is called a fixed point of *T* if *s* in $T(s)$. The set of all fixed points of *T* will be denoted by Fix(T). A sequence $\{s_n\}$ in X is called an orbit of T at $s_0 \in X$ if $s_n \in T$ (s_{n-1}) for all $n \ge 1$. A map $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be lower semi-continuous if, for any sequence $\{s_n\} \subset X$ with $s_n \to s \in X$, imply that $f(s) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} f(s_n)$. We denote $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$.

Using the concept of Hausdorff metric, Nadler [1] established the following multivalued version of the Banach Contraction Principle.

Theorem 1 [1] For a complete metric space *X*, each multivalued contraction mapping *T* from *X* into *CB*(*X*) has a fixed point.

In [29], Mizoguchi and Takahashi generalized Th[eo](#page-14-0)rem 1 as follows.

Theorem 2 [\[2](#page-14-0)9] Let *T* be a closed and bounded valued mapping on *X*. Assume that *X* is complete and for all *s, z* of $X, H(T(s), T(z)) \leq \psi(d(s, z))d(s, z)$, where $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1)$ with $\limsup \psi(\nu) < 1$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$. ^ν*→t*⁺

Wi[tho](#page-15-5)ut using the Hausdorff metric, Feng and Liu [3] g[en](#page-1-0)eralized Theorem 1 as follows.

Theorem 3 [\[3\]](#page-15-5) Let *T* be a closed valued mapping on *X* and let *h* be a lower semi-continuous function on *X* with $h(s) = d(s, T(s))$. Assume that X is complete and for each s of X and for fixed constants $c, a \in (0, 1)$ with, $c < a$ there is z of $I_a^s = \{z \in T(s): ad(s, z) \leq h(s)\}\$ such that $h(z) \leq cd(s, z)$. Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Later, Klim and Wardowski [4] obtained the follow[in](#page-14-8)g result which contain[s T](#page-1-0)heorem 3.

Theorem 4 [[4\]](#page-14-8) Let *T* be a closed valued mapping on *X* and let *h* be a lower semi-continuous function on *X* with $h(s) = d(s, T(s))$. Assume that X is complete and for each s of X and for a fixed constant $a \in (0, 1)$ there is $z \in I_a^s$ such that $h(z) \le \psi(d(s, z))d(s, z)$, whe[re](#page-14-2) $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, a)$ with limsup $\psi(\nu) < a$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ [.](#page-1-1) Then Fix $(T) \ne \emptyset$.

^ν*→t*⁺ In [22], Ciric [es](#page-14-2)tablished a more general fixed point results as follows.

Theorem 5 [22] Let *T* be a closed valued mapping on *X* and let *h* be a lower semi-continuous function on *X* with $h(s) = d(s, T(s))$. Assume that *X* is complete and for each *s* of *X* there is *z* of $T(s)$ such that

$$
\sqrt{\varphi(d(s,z))} \ d(s,z) \le h(s) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) \le \varphi(d(s,z)) \ d(s,z), \tag{1}
$$

where φ is a function from \mathbb{R}^+ to $[c, 1)$ *,* $c \in (0, 1)$ with lim sup ^ν*→t*⁺ $\varphi(\nu) < 1, t \geq 0$. Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Volume 5 Issue 4|2024| 6005 *Contemporary Mathematics*

Remark 1 Note that Theorem 4 generalizes Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. In [4], Klim and Wardowski pointed out that their Theorem 4 do not generalize Theorem 2. However, Theorem 5 generalized all the above mentioned fixed point results.

Theorem 6 [22] Let *T* be a closed valued mapping on *X* and let *h* be a lower semi-continuous function on *X* with $h(s) = d(s, T(s))$. [A](#page-1-2)s[su](#page-14-2)me that *X* is [co](#page-1-2)mplete a[nd](#page-1-3) f[o](#page-1-0)r each *s* [of](#page-1-1) *X* ther[e i](#page-1-4)s *z* of $T(s)$ such that

$$
\sqrt{\varphi(h(s))} \ d(s, z) \le h(s) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) \le \varphi(h(s)) \ d(s, z), \tag{2}
$$

where φ is a function from \mathbb{R}^+ to $[c, 1)$, $c \in (0, 1)$ with lim sup ^ν*→t*⁺ $\varphi(\nu) < 1, t \geq 0$. Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

In [26], Liu et al. extended Theorem 6 and Theorem 3 as follows.

Theorem 7 [26] Let *T* be a closed valued mapping on *X* and let *h* be a lower semi-continuous function on *X* with $h(s) = d(s, T(s))$. Assume that *X* is complete and for each *s* of *X* there is *z* of $T(s)$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(h(s))d(s, z) \le h(s) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) \le \beta(h(s))\ d(s, z),\tag{3}
$$

where

$$
\alpha: B \to (0, 1], \beta: B \to [0, 1) \text{ with } B = \begin{cases} [0, \sup h(X)] & \text{if } \sup h(X) < \infty \\ \begin{cases} [0, \infty) & \text{if } \sup h(X) = \infty \end{cases} \end{cases}
$$

such that for all $t \in B$

$$
\liminf_{v \to 0^+} \alpha(v) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{v \to t^+} \frac{\beta(v)}{\alpha(v)} < 1.
$$

Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Kada et al. [14], introduced the concept of *w*-distance on metric spaces as follows.

A function $p: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a *w*-distance on *X* if it satisfies the following conditions for each $s, z, u \in X$: (i) $p(s, u) \leq p(s, z) + p(z, u);$

(ii) the function $p(s, \cdot): X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is lower semi-continuous (that is, if a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in X with $z_n \to z \in X$, then $p(s, z) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} p(s, z_n)$ $p(s, z) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} p(s, z_n)$ $p(s, z) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} p(s, z_n)$);

(iii) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $p(u, s) \leq \delta$ and $p(u, z) \leq \delta$ imply $d(s, z) \leq \varepsilon$.

Clearly, any metric *d* is a *w*-distance on *X*. Let $(M, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space. Then, the functions $p_1, p_2: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $p_1(u, v) = ||v||$ and $p_2(u, v) = ||u|| + ||v||$ for all $u, v \in M$ are w-distances [14]. Let Z be a metric space, and let $T: Z \to Z$ be a continuous map. The function $p: Z \times Z \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $p(u, v) = \max\{d(T(u), v), d(T(u), T(v))\}$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a *w*-distance [14]. For further examples and properties of *w*-distance, we refer [14].

Kada et al. [14] improved certain standard conclusions in metric fixed point theory by using the notion of the *w*distance. While, Susuki and Takahashi [15] presented fixed point results for singl[eva](#page-15-1)lued and multivalued contractive type mappings with respect to *w*-distance and consequently extended the Nadler fixed point result and BCP. In the existing literature, a number of kno[wn](#page-15-1) metric fixed point results have been generalized with respect to *[w](#page-15-1)*-distance.

In [24], Lati[f an](#page-15-1)d Abdou improved [The](#page-15-2)orem 6 [22, Theorem 2*.*1] as follows.

Theorem 8 [24] Let *p* be a *w*-distance on a complete metric space *X* and *T* be a closed valued mapping on *X*. Assume that *h* is lower semi-continuous function on *X*, defined by $h(s) = p(s, T(s))$ and for each *s* of *X* there is *z* of $T(s)$ with

$$
\sqrt{\varphi(h(s))} \ p(s, z) \le h(s) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) \le \varphi(h(s)) \ p(s, z), \tag{4}
$$

where φ is a function from \mathbb{R}^+ to $[c, 1)$, $c \in (0, 1)$ with limsup $\varphi(v) < 1$, $t \ge 0$. Then there exists $z_0 \in X$ such that ^ν*→t*⁺ *h*(*z*₀) = 0. Further, if $p(z_0, z_0) = 0$, then $z_0 \in T(z_0)$.

Further results in this direction can be founded in [16–18, 25].

In [6, 8] Czerwik introduced the following notion of metric type or (*b*-metric) space.

Let X be a nonempty set, $b \ge 1$ and D_b : $X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following conditions for all $s, z, u \in X$: (i) $D_b(s, z) = 0$ if and only if $s = z$;

(ii) $D_b(s, z) = D_b(z, s);$

(iii) $D_b(s, z) \leq b[D_b(s, u) + D_b(u, z)].$ $D_b(s, z) \leq b[D_b(s, u) + D_b(u, z)].$ $D_b(s, z) \leq b[D_b(s, u) + D_b(u, z)].$ $D_b(s, z) \leq b[D_b(s, u) + D_b(u, z)].$

Then D_b is called a *b*-metric on *X*, and (X, D_b) is called a *b*-metric space (also known as a metric type space [9]). In the sequel, we also call it a metric type space. Note that, every metric space is a metric type space but the converse may not be true, see [5, 6, 30]. Thus, the family of metric type spaces contains the family of metric spaces.

Example 1 [5] If $X = [0, 1]$ and a function $D_b: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$, defined by $D_b(s, z) = (s - z)^2$, for any $s, z \in X$ [.](#page-14-6) Then (X, D_b) is a metric type space with $b = 2$, but it is not a metric space.

For further details of metric type spaces, see [6]. Contrarily to the metric, metric type D_b may not be continuous in each variable, in [g](#page-14-3)[en](#page-14-4)[eral](#page-15-7); see [31] (Examples 3.9 and 3.10). But, it has been observed that a topology can be defined with convergence on s[uch](#page-14-3) spaces [31]. A set *M* in (X, D_b) is called open if and only if for any *s* of *M*, there is a positive number ^ς such that the open ball *Bo*(*s,* ^ς) is contained in *M*. We denote ^τ as a collection of all open subsets of *X*, which becomes a topology on (X, D_b) . For metric type spaces, the n[ot](#page-14-4)ions of convergence sequence, Cauchy sequence, etc can be defined usual way as of metric spaces[, se](#page-15-8)e [7, 9, 30, 32, 33]. Further, any $M \neq \emptyset$ of *X* is closed provided any sequence $\{s_n\}$ in *M* converging to *s*, implies $s \in M$ $s \in M$, see [9]. Also, recall that a real-valued function *h* on *X* is *b*-lower semi-continuous if for any sequence $\{s_n\}$ in *X* with $s_n \to s \in X$, then $h(s) \leq \liminf (b \cdot h(s_n))$.

Thefollowing basic results for metric t[ype](#page-15-9) [spa](#page-15-10)ces are useful.

Lemma 1 [8] If M is a clos[ed](#page-14-5) [se](#page-14-6)[t of](#page-15-7) (X, D_b) and $s \in X$. Then $D_b(s, M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s \in \overline{M} = M$, where $D_b(s, M) =$ $\inf\{D_b(s, z): z \in M\}$, and \overline{M} is the closure of the set *M*.

Lemma 2 [34] Let (X, D_b) be a metric type space and let $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in *X*. Assume that there exists $a \in [0, 1)$ satisfying $D_b(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) \le aD_b(z_n, z_{n+1})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{z_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Applying L[em](#page-14-9)ma 2, Suzuki [34] established a general fixed point result for multivalued mappings of metric type spaces and then deduced classical fixed point results due to Nadler [1] and Mizoguchi and Takahashi [29].

Motivated b[y t](#page-15-11)he work of Kada et al. [14], Hussain et al. [30] defined *w*-distance on metric type spaces, called it *wt*-distance (in the sequel, we call it *wb*-distance).

L[et](#page-3-0) (X, D_b) be a metric type s[pac](#page-15-11)e. A function $p_b: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ [is](#page-14-0) called w_b -distance on X, if it sati[sfie](#page-15-5)s the following conditions for any $s, z, u \in X$:

(i) the *b*-weighted triangle inequality h[olds](#page-15-1) (that is, $p_b(s, u) \leq b[p_b(s, z) + p_b(z, u)]$);

(ii) the function $p_b(s, \cdot): X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is b-lower semi-continuous (that is for any sequence $\{s_n\}$ in X with $s_n \to x \in X$, then $p_b(s, x) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} (b p_b(s, s_n))$;

(iii) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $p_b(u, s) \leq \delta$ and $p_b(u, z) \leq \delta$ yield $D_b(s, z) \leq \varepsilon$. Note that for $b = 1$, each w_b -distance reduces to the *w*-distance.

Example 2 [30] Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ (the set of reals) and $D_b(u, v) = (u - v)^2$, $u, v \in X$. Then, the functions $p_{b_1}, p_{b_2}: X \times X \to Y$ \mathbb{R}^+ defined by $p_{b_1}(u, v) = |u|^2 + |v|^2$ and $p_{b_2}(u, v) = |v|^2$ for every $u, v \in X$ are w_b -distances on X.

Several examples of w_b -distances may be found in [30, 32, 35]. It has been observed that each metric type D_b is a w_b -distance but the converse may not be true, in general [35]. The w_b -function p_b induces via natural way a topology $\tau(p_b)$ on X which can be constructed, as metric type case; that is $\tau(p_b)$ is collection of all sets in *X* which contains some open ball $B_p(x, \eta)$ of X with respect to p_b , where $B_p(x, \eta) = \{y \in X : p_b(x, y) < \eta\}$. Finally, due to uniformity $\tau(p_b)$ turns out a metrizable topology. For further facts concern[ing](#page-15-7) *[w](#page-15-9)b*-[fun](#page-16-0)ction, see [21, 30, 32, 33, 35].

The following results concerning convergence and C[auc](#page-16-0)hy sequences via w_b -distance, play important roles for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 3 [30] Let (X, D_b) be a metric type space, and let p_b be a w_b -distance on X. Let $\{s_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in *X*. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ [an](#page-16-0)d $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequences in \mathbb{R}^+ converging to zero. Then the foll[ow](#page-15-12)i[ng](#page-15-7) [hold](#page-15-9) [fo](#page-15-10)r any *s*, *z*, $u \in X$:

(a) if $p_b(s_n, z) \le \alpha_n$ and $p_b(s_n, u) \le \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $z = u$. In particular, if $p_b(s, z) = 0$ and $p_b(s, u) = 0$, then $z = u$;

(b) if $p_b(s_n, z_n) \leq \alpha_n$ $p_b(s_n, z_n) \leq \alpha_n$ $p_b(s_n, z_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $p_b(s_n, u) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D_b(z_n, u) \to 0$;

(c) if $p_b(s_n, s_m) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, then $\{s_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence;

(d) if $p_b(z, s_n) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{s_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 4 [21] Let *A* be a closed subset of a metric type space (X, D_b) , and let p_b be a w_b -distance on *X*. Suppose that there exists $u \in X$ such that $p_b(u, u) = 0$. Then $p_b(u, A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u \in A$, where $p_b(u, A) = \inf \{p_b(u, v): v \in A\}$.

Let (X, D_b) be a metric type space, $T: X \to C(X)$ and $h(u) = p_b(u, T(u))$, $u \in X$. We denote diameter of the space *X* with diam $(X) = \sup\{p_b(s, z): s, z \in X\}$ $(X) = \sup\{p_b(s, z): s, z \in X\}$ $(X) = \sup\{p_b(s, z): s, z \in X\}$, also we define

$$
A_{p_b} = \begin{cases} [0, \text{diam}(X)] & \text{if } \text{diam}(X) < \infty \\ [0, \infty) & \text{if } \text{diam}(X) = \infty \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
B_{p_b} = \begin{cases} [0, \sup h(X)] & \text{if } \sup h(X) < \infty \\ [0, \infty) & \text{if } \sup h(X) = \infty \end{cases}.
$$

3. Results

Throughout this section, (X, D_b) is a metric type space and p_b is a w_b -distance on X. In this section, we present our results on the existence of fixed points and iterative approximations for nonlinear multivalued contractive type mappings with respect to w_b -distance on metric type spaces.

First, we prove key lemmas in the setting of metric type spaces.

Lemma 5 Consider a mapping $T: X \to C(X)$ with a non-negative real-valued function *h* on *X* defined by $h(s)$ = $p_b(s, T(s))$. Assume that the following conditions hold: for any $s \in X$, there is $z \in T(s)$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(h(s)) \varphi(p_b(s, z)) \le h(s) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) \le \beta(h(s)) \varphi(p_b(s, z)), \tag{5}
$$

where α and β are functions from B_{p_b} into $(0, 1]$ and $[0, 1)$, respectively, with

$$
\beta(0) < \alpha(0), \ \liminf_{\nu \to 0^+} \alpha(\nu) > 0, \ \limsup_{\nu \to t^+} \frac{\beta(\nu)}{\alpha(\nu)} < 1, \ \forall t \in B_{p_b} \text{ and } \psi(t) \le \varphi(t), \ \forall t \in A_{p_b},
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

Contemporary Mathematics **6008 | Abdul Latif, et al.**

where φ and ψ are functions from A_{p_b} into \mathbb{R}^+ . Then, there exists an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T* in *X* such that the sequence of non-negative real numbers $\{h(s_n)\}\$ is strictly decreasing to zero.

Proof. Put $\gamma(t) = \frac{\beta(t)}{\alpha(t)}$ for all $t \in B_{p_b}$. Note that

$$
0 \le \gamma(t) < 1, \quad \forall t \in B_{p_b}.\tag{7}
$$

For any fixed element s_0 of *X*, there is $s_1 \in T(s_0)$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(h(s_0)) \varphi(p_b(s_0, s_1)) \le h(s_0)
$$
 and $h(s_1) \le \beta(h(s_0)) \psi(p_b(s_0, s_1)).$ (8)

Note that

$$
h(s_1) \leq \beta(h(s_0))\varphi(p_b(s_0, s_1)) \leq \beta(h(s_0))\frac{h(s_0)}{\alpha(h(s_0))}
$$

and thus,

$$
h(s_1) \leq \gamma(h(s_0))h(s_0).
$$

By this way, we can get an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T* at $s_0 \in X$ with $s_{n+1} \in T(s_n)$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(h(s_n))\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) \le h(s_n) \quad \text{and} \quad h(s_{n+1}) \le \beta(h(s_n))\psi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})).\tag{9}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
h(s_{n+1}) \le \gamma(h(s_n))h(s_n). \tag{10}
$$

From (7) we have for all $n \ge 0$, $h(s_{n+1}) < h(s_n)$. Thus, the sequence of non-negative real numbers $\{h(s_n)\}$ is strictly decreasing and bounded below, thus convergent. Therefore, there is some $\eta \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} h(s_n) = \eta$. Suppose that $\eta > 0$. Using (6), (7) and (10) we get

> $\eta \leq \eta$ lim sup ^ν*→*η⁺ $\gamma(v) < \eta$,

which is a contradiction. Hence $\eta = 0$, that is; $\lim_{n \to \infty} h(s_n) = 0$.

Lemma 6 Suppose that all the hypotheses of Lemma 5 hold. Further, assume that the function φ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\varphi
$$
 is subadditive and strictly increasing on A_{p_b} with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi^{-1}(t) = 0$, (11)

Volume 5 Issue 4|2024| 6009 *Contemporary Mathematics*

 \Box

where φ *−*1 is the inverse of the function ^φ. Then, there exists an orbit *{sn}* of *T* in *X* which is a Cauchy sequence. **Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 5, we get an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T* at $s_0 \in X$ such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} h(s_n) = 0. \tag{12}
$$

Now, put $l = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \gamma(h(s_n))$ and $c = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha(h(s_n))$. Then we get

$$
0 \le l < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c > 0. \tag{13}
$$

Since $b \ge 1$, choose $q \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$ with $l < q < 1$. Let $k \in (0, c)$. Then, there is $n_0 > 0$ and for all $n \ge n_0$, we have

$$
\gamma(h(s_n)) < q
$$
 and $\alpha(h(s_n)) > k$.

Using (9) and (10) we deduce that

$$
\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) \leq \frac{h(s_n)}{k}
$$
 and $h(s_{n+1}) \leq qh(s_n)$.

By induction, for all $n \geq n_0$, we obtain

$$
\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) \le \frac{h(s_{n_0})}{k} q^{n-n_0} \quad \text{and} \quad h(s_{n+1}) \le q^{n+1-n_0} h(s_{n_0}). \tag{14}
$$

Since p_b is the w_b -distance, then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m > n$, we have

$$
p_b(s_n, s_m) \leq b \left[p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}) + p_b(s_{n+1}, s_m) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\leq b p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}) + b \left(b \left[p_b(s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}) + p_b(s_{n+2}, s_m) \right] \right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq b p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}) + b^2 p_b(s_{n+1}, s_{n+2})
$$

\n
$$
+ b^2 \left(b \left[p_b(s_{n+2}, s_{n+3}) + p_b(s_{n+3}, s_m) \right] \right)
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
\leq b p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}) + b^2 p_b(s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}) + ...
$$

\n
$$
+ b^{m-n-1} \left(p_b(s_{m-2}, s_{m-1}) + p_b(s_{m-1}, s_m) \right).
$$
 (15)

Contemporary Mathematics **6010 | Abdul Latif, et al.**

$$
\varphi(p_b(s_n,s_m)) \leq \frac{b}{k} q^{n-n_0} \left[1 + bq + (bq)^2 + \ldots + (bq)^{m-n-2} + b^{m-n-2} q^{m-n-1}\right] h(s_{n_0}).
$$

Since $bq < 1$, then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n \ge n_0$, we have

$$
\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_m)) \le \frac{b \, q^{n-n_0}}{k(1-bq)} h(s_{n_0}).\tag{16}
$$

Since φ is strictly increasing, so does φ^{-1} . Then we obtain

$$
p_b(s_n, s_m) = \varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(p_b(s_n, s_m)\right)\right) \leq \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{bh\left(s_{n_0}\right)}{k(1-bq)}q^{n-n_0}\right), \quad \text{ for all } m > n \geq n_0.
$$

Since $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi^{-1}(t) = 0$, then $\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{bh(s_{n_0})}{k(1-bq)}\right)$ *k*(*s*_{*n*0}</sub>) q <sup>*n−n*₀</sub>
) → 0 as *n* → ∞. We conclude from Lemma 3 that {*s_n*} is a Cauchy</sup> \Box sequence in *X*.

Now, we present a general result on the existence of fixed points for multivalued mappings of metric type spaces, which improve/generalize a number of known fixed point results.

Theorem 9 Let (*X, Db*) be a complete metric type space. Suppose that all the hypotheses of [Le](#page-4-1)mma 6 hold. Assume that the function *h* is b-lower semi-continuous on *X*. Then there is some $v \in X$ such that $p_b(v, T(v)) = 0$. Further, if $p_b(v, v) = 0$, then $v \in T(v)$.

Proof. Note that t[he](#page-5-0)re exists an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T*, which becomes a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Due to the completeness of the *X*, there is some $u_0 \in X$ such that $\{s_n\}$ converges to u_0 . Now, using the properties of the function *h* and (12), we obtain

$$
0 \leq h(u_0) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} (bh(s_n)) = 0,
$$

and hence, $h(u_0) = p_b(u_0, T(u_0)) = 0$. If $p_b(u_0, u_0) = 0$, then it follows from Lemma 4 that $u_0 \in T(u_0)$. \Box

We observe that the conclusion of the Theorem 9 still holds, if we replace the b-lower semi-continuity of the function *h* with another suitable conditions.

Theorem 10 Suppose that all the hypotheses of Theorem 9 hold except the b-low[er](#page-4-2) semi-continuity of the function *h*. Ass[u](#page-7-0)me that one of the following hold for every $u \in X$ with $u \notin T(u)$:

$$
\inf\{p_b(s_n, u) + \varphi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) : n \ge 0\} > 0; \tag{17}
$$

$$
\inf \{ p_b(s_n, u) + p_b(s_n, T(s_n)) : n \ge 0 \} > 0. \tag{18}
$$

Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 9, we get an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T*, which becomes a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Due to the completeness of the *X*, there is some $u_0 \in X$ such that $\{s_n\}$ converges to u_0 . From (14) we conclude that,

Volume 5 Issue 4|2024| 6011 *Contemporary Mathematics*

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi\left(p_b\left(s_n,s_{n+1}\right)\right)=0.\tag{19}
$$

Now we show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_b(s_n, u_0) = 0$. From Lemma 6 we observe that for all $m > n \ge n_0$

$$
p_b(s_n, s_m) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_m))) \leq \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{bh(s_{n_0})}{k(1-bq)}q^{n-n_0}\right).
$$

Thus by the *b*-lower semi-continuity of p_b and $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi^{-1}(t) = 0$, we have

$$
p_b(s_n, u_0) \leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} (b \, p_b(s_n, s_m)) \leq \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{bh(s_{n_0})}{k(1-bq)} q^{n-n_0} \right) \to 0 \quad \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Suppose that $u_0 \notin T(u_0)$. If the condition (17) holds. Then we obtain that

$$
0 < \inf \{ p_b(s_n, u_0) + \varphi (p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) : n \geq 0 \} = 0,
$$

which is a contradiction. Now if (18) holds, then we conclude that

$$
0 < \inf \{ p_b(s_n, u_0) + p_b(s_n, T(s_n)) : n \geq 0 \} = 0,
$$

which is also contradiction. Thus, $u_0 \in T(u_0)$.

Lemma 7 Suppose that all the hypotheses of Lemma 5 with α and β are functions from A_{p_b} into $(0, 1]$ and $[0, 1)$, respectively such that either α or β is non-decreasing on A_{p_b} . Assume that for any $s \in X$, there is $z \in T(s)$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(p_b(s, z)) \varphi(p_b(s, z)) \le h(s) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) \le \beta(p_b(s, z)) \varphi(p_b(s, z)). \tag{20}
$$

Further, assume that φ is strictly increasing on A_{p_b} . Then, there exists an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of T in X such that the sequence *{h*(*sn*)*}* is decreasing to zero.

Proof. Putting $\gamma(t) = \frac{\beta(t)}{\alpha(t)}$. Note that $0 \leq \gamma(t) < 1$, for all $t \in A_{p_b}$. Following similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5, one can construct an iterative sequence $\{s_n\}$ in *X* such that $s_{n+1} \in T(s_n)$ and satisfying

$$
\alpha\left(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})\right)\varphi\left(p_b\left(s_n, s_{n+1}\right)\right) \leq h\left(s_n\right),\tag{21}
$$

and

$$
h(s_{n+1}) \leq \beta \left(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}) \right) \psi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})). \tag{22}
$$

Contemporary Mathematics **6012 | Abdul Latif, et al.**

 \Box

For each $n \geq 0$ put $\tau_n = p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})$. Then we obtain that

$$
h(s_{n+1}) \le \gamma(\tau_n)h(s_n). \tag{23}
$$

Using (21) and (22) we get

$$
\varphi(\tau_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\beta(\tau_n)\psi(\tau_n)}{\alpha(\tau_{n+1})}.
$$
\n(24)

Now we claim that $\tau_{n+1} \leq \tau_n$, for all $n \geq 0$. Suppose that there is a positive integer n_0 satisfying $\tau_{n_0+1} > \tau_{n_0}$, it follows from (24) that

$$
\pmb{\varphi}\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right) \leq \frac{\pmb{\beta}\left(\tau_{n_0}\right) \pmb{\psi}(\tau_{n_0})}{\pmb{\alpha}\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right)},
$$

as either α or β is non-decreasing, we have $\beta(\tau_{n_0+1}) > \beta(\tau_{n_0})$. Hence,

φ

$$
\begin{aligned} \left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right) & \leq \frac{\beta\left(\tau_{n_0}\right)\psi(\tau_{n_0})}{\alpha\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right)}\\ & \leq \frac{\beta\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right)\psi(\tau_{n_0})}{\alpha\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right)}\\ &= \gamma(\tau_{n_0+1})\psi(\tau_{n_0})\\ & \leq \max\left\{\gamma\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right),\gamma\left(\tau_{n_0}\right)\right\}\psi(\tau_{n_0}). \end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma(t) < 1$ for each $t \in A_{p_b}$ and from (6) we have $\varphi(\tau_{n_0+1}) \leq \varphi(\tau_{n_0})$. Since φ is strictly increasing, so we get that

$$
\phi\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right)\leq \phi(\tau_{n_0})<\phi\left(\tau_{n_0+1}\right),
$$

which is impossible. Thus, $\tau_{n+1} \leq \tau_n$, for all $n \geq 0$, that is the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ is non-negative and decreasing. Hence there is some $\theta \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \tau_n = \theta$. Now we show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} h(s_n) = 0$. Since $\gamma(t) < 1$ for all $t \in A_{p_b}$, we conclude that the sequence $\{h(s_n)\}$ is strictly decreasing and bounded below, thus convergent. Therefore, there is some $\eta \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} h(s_n) = \eta$. Suppose that $\eta > 0$. Using (23), we get

$$
\eta\leq \eta\limsup_{t\to\theta^+}\gamma(t)<\eta,
$$

Volume 5 Issue 4|2024| 6013 *Contemporary Mathematics*

which is a contradiction. Thus, $\lim_{n \to \infty} h(s_n) = 0$.

Lemma 8 Suppose that all the hypotheses of Lemma 7 hold with condition (11). Then, there exists an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T* in *X* which is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Put $l = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \gamma(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}))$ and $c = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \alpha(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}))$. It follows from (6) that $0 \le l < 1$ and $c > 0$. *n→*∞ Sin[c](#page-8-0)e $b \ge 1$, choose $q \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$ with $l < q < 1$. Let $k \in (0, c)$. Then there is a po[sitiv](#page-5-1)e integer n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$ we have

$$
\gamma(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) < q
$$
 and $\alpha(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) > k$,

using (21) and (23) we obtain

$$
\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) \leq \frac{h(s_n)}{k}
$$
 and $h(s_{n+1}) \leq qh(s_n)$,

then the following inequalities have been observed in the proof of Lemma 6, for all $n \geq n_0$

$$
\varphi(p_b(s_n, s_{n+1})) \le \frac{h(s_{n_0})}{k} q^{n-n_0} \quad \text{and} \quad h(s_{n+1}) \le q^{n+1-n_0} h(s_{n_0})
$$

$$
p_b(s_n, s_m) \le b p_b(s_n, s_{n+1}) + b^2 p_b(s_{n+1}, s_{n+2}) + ... + b^{m-n-1} (p_b(s_{m-2}, s_{m-1}) + p_b(s_{m-1}, s_m)).
$$

 \Box Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 6, we can get an orbit $\{s_n\}$ of *T* in *X* which is a Cauchy sequence. Following the similar method as in the proof of Theorem 9, we can obtain the following fixed point result.

Theorem 11 Let (X, D_b) be a complete metric type space. Suppose that all the hypotheses of Lemma 8 hold. Assume that the function *h* is b-lower semi-continuous on *X*. Then, there is some $u_0 \in X$ such that $p_b(u_0, T(u_0)) = 0$. Further, if $p_b(u_0, u_0) = 0$, then $u_0 \in T(u_0)$.

Following the proof of Theorem 9, and techniques of T[heo](#page-7-0)rem 10, we have the following result [wh](#page-10-0)ich extend the results [17, Theorem 2*.*2] and [25, Theorem 3*.*4].

Theorem 12 Suppose that all the hypotheses of Theorem 11 hold except the b-lower semi-continuity of the function *h*. Assume that either the condition (17) or the condition (18) hold. Then $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$.

No[w w](#page-15-13)e present the follo[win](#page-15-14)g ex[am](#page-7-0)ple in support of Theorem 9[.](#page-7-1)

Example 3 Let $X = [0, 1] \cup \{\frac{13}{10}\}\.$ For each $s, z \in X$, we [de](#page-10-1)fine $D_b(s, z) = (s - z)^2$ and $p_b(s, z) = z^2$. Then X is a metric type space with $b = 2$ and p_b [is a](#page-7-2) w_b -distance on *X*[. L](#page-7-3)et $T: X \to C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping defined by

$$
T(s) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{s^2}{2} \right\}, & s \in [0, \frac{7}{10}) \cup \left(\frac{7}{10}, 1 \right] \\ \\ \left\{ \frac{7}{40}, \frac{9}{40} \right\}, & s \in \left\{ \frac{7}{10}, \frac{13}{10} \right\} \end{cases}
$$

and define the functions α , β from $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ to $(0, 1]$, $[0, 1)$ respectively and φ , ψ : $[0, \frac{169}{100}] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

Contemporary Mathematics **6014 | Abdul Latif, et al.**

$$
\alpha(t) = \frac{4 + \sqrt{t}}{5}, \quad \beta(t) = \frac{3 + \sqrt{t}}{5}, \quad \forall t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]
$$

$$
\varphi(t) = t, \quad \forall t \in \left[0, \frac{169}{100}\right], \quad \psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}, & t \in [0, \frac{169}{100}) \\ 0, & t = \frac{169}{100} \end{cases}
$$

it is easy to see that $A_{p_b} = \left[0, \frac{169}{100}\right]$, $B_{p_b} = \left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$, $\psi(t) \leq \varphi(t)$ for all $t \in \left[0, \frac{169}{100}\right]$ and φ is subadditive and strictly increasing on A_{p_b} with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi^{-1}(t) = 0$. Note that

$$
h(s) = p_b(s, T(s)) = \begin{cases} \frac{s^4}{4}, & s \in [0, \frac{7}{10}) \cup (\frac{7}{10}, 1] \\ (\frac{7}{40})^2, & s \in \{\frac{7}{10}, \frac{13}{10}\} \end{cases}
$$

is *b*-lower semi-continuous. Moreover, for each $t \in B_{p_b}$

$$
\beta(0) = \frac{3}{5} < \frac{4}{5} = \alpha(0), \ \liminf_{\nu \to 0^+} \alpha(\nu) = \frac{4}{5} > 0
$$

and

$$
\limsup_{v \to t^+} \frac{\beta(v)}{\alpha(v)} = \frac{3 + \sqrt{t}}{4 + \sqrt{t}} < 1.
$$

For each $s \in [0, \frac{7}{10}) \cup (\frac{7}{10}, 1]$, there exists $z = \frac{s^2}{2}$ $\frac{s^2}{2} \in T(s) = \left\{ \frac{s^2}{2} \right\}$ 2 } satisfying

$$
\alpha(h(s))\varphi(p_b(s,z)) = \left(\frac{4+\frac{s^2}{2}}{5}\right)\left(\frac{s^4}{4}\right) \leq \frac{s^4}{4} = h(s)
$$

and

$$
h(z) = \frac{(\frac{s^2}{2})^4}{4} = \left(\frac{s^2}{16}\right) \left(\frac{s^4}{4}\right) \le \left(\frac{3 + \frac{s^2}{2}}{10}\right) \left(\frac{s^4}{4}\right) = \beta(h(s)) \psi(p_b(s, z)).
$$

Letting, $s \in \left\{\frac{7}{10}, \frac{13}{10}\right\}$, we have $T(s) = \left\{\frac{7}{40}, \frac{9}{40}\right\}$. Clearly, there exists $z = \frac{7}{40} \in T(s)$ such that

$$
\alpha(h(s))\varphi(p_b(s,z)) = \left(\frac{4+\frac{7}{40}}{5}\right)\left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^2 \le \left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^2 = h(s)
$$

Volume 5 Issue 4|2024| 6015 *Contemporary Mathematics*

$$
h(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^4}{4} \le \left(\frac{3 + \frac{7}{40}}{10}\right) \left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^2 = \beta(h(s)) \psi(p_b(s, z)).
$$

Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. Hence, $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $Fix(T) = \{0\}$. Note that p_b is not a metric on *X*, consequently the results of [26, Theorem 2*.*1], [22, Theorem 2*.*1] and [3, Theorem 3*.*1] are unapplicable. In addition, note that *p^b* is not a *w*-distance on *X*, so the results of [17, Theorem 2*.*1] and [25, Theorem 3*.*1] are not applicable.

We present the following example in [su](#page-15-15)[p](#page-7-0)port of Theorem 11.

Example 4 Let $X = \mathbb{R}^+$ $X = \mathbb{R}^+$ with D_b and p_b as in Example 3[. L](#page-15-16)et $T: X \to C(X)$ be d[ef](#page-14-8)ined b[y](#page-15-14)

$$
T(s) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{s}{4} \right\}, & s \in [0, 1] \\ \\ \left\{ 0, s - \frac{1}{3} \right\}, & s \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}
$$

Define the functions $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^+ \to (0, 1], \beta: \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1)$ and $\varphi, \psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$
\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{40+t}{500}, & t \in [0, 1] \\ & , \\ \frac{95+t^5}{100+t^5}, & t \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad \beta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{30+t}{500}, & t \in [0, 1] \\ \\ \frac{130}{170+t^5}, & t \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}
$$

$$
\varphi(t) = 2t, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty), \quad \psi(t) = \begin{cases} 2t, & t \in [0, 1) \\ 0, & t \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}
$$

it is easy to see that $A_{p_b} = [0, \infty)$, $\psi(t) \le \varphi(t)$ for all $t \in A_{p_b}$ and φ is subadditive and strictly increasing on A_{p_b} with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \varphi^{-1}(t) = 0$. Note that

$$
h(s) = p_b(s, T(s)) = \begin{cases} \frac{s^2}{16}, & s \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & s \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}
$$

is *b*-lower semi-continuous and α is nondecreasing. If $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$
\beta(0) = \frac{30}{500} < \frac{40}{500} = \alpha(0), \ \liminf_{\nu \to 0^+} \alpha(\nu) = \frac{4}{50} > 0,
$$

and

Contemporary Mathematics **6016 | Abdul Latif, et al.**

$$
\limsup_{v \to t^+} \frac{\beta(v)}{\alpha(v)} = \frac{30+t}{40+t} < 1.
$$

If $t \in (1, \infty)$, then

$$
\liminf_{v\to 0^+}\alpha(v)=\frac{95}{100}>0
$$

and

$$
\limsup_{v \to t^+} \frac{\beta(v)}{\alpha(v)} = \limsup_{v \to t^+} \frac{130(100 + v^5)}{(170 + v^5)(95 + v^5)} = \frac{13000 + 130t^5}{16150 + 265t^5 + t^{10}} < 1.
$$

For each $s \in [0, 1]$, there exists $z = \frac{s}{4} \in T(s) = \{\frac{s}{4}\}\$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(p_b(s, z)) \varphi(p_b(s, z)) = \left(\frac{80 + \frac{s^2}{8}}{500}\right) \left(\frac{s^2}{16}\right) \le \frac{s^2}{16} = h(s)
$$

and

$$
h(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^2}{16} = \frac{s^2}{256} \le \left(\frac{30 + \frac{s^2}{16}}{500}\right) \left(\frac{2x^2}{16}\right) = \beta(p_b(s, z)) \psi(p_b(s, z))
$$

if $s \in (1, \infty)$, there exists $z = 0 \in T(s) = \{0, s - \frac{1}{3}\}\$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(p_b(s, z))\varphi(p_b(s, z)) = 0 = h(s)
$$

and

$$
h(z) = 0 = \beta(p_b(s, z))\psi(p_b(s, z)).
$$

Thus, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Hence, $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $Fix(T) = \{0\}$. Note that p_b is a w_b -distance but not a metric on *X*, so *T* does not satisfy the hypotheses of [26, Theorem 2.3], [22, Theorem 2.2], [3, Theorem 3.1], [4, Theorem 2.1] and [2, Theorem 6]. Further, note that p_b is a w_b -distance but not a *w*-distance on *X*. Therefore, the results of [17, Theorem 2*.*2] and [25, Theorem 3*.*3] are not applicable.

4. [Co](#page-14-8)nclusion

(1) Theorem 9 generalizes the corresponding fixed point results of Liu et al. [17, Theorem 2*.*1] and [25, Theorem 3*.*1]. Further, Theorem 9 contains [26, Theorem 2*.*1] of Liu et al. as a special case.

(2) Theorem 9 extends fixed point results of Feng and Liu [3, Theorem 3*.*1], Ciric [22, Theorem 2*.*1], Latif and Albar [24, Theorem 2*.*1], [23, Theorem 2*.*2], Latif et al. [27, Theorem 2*.*1] and [28, Theorem 3*.*1].

(3) Theorem 10 generalizes fixed point results of Liu et al. [17, Theorem 2*.*1] and [25, Theorem 3*.*2].

(4) Theorem 10 extends fixed point results of Latif and Al[ba](#page-14-8)r [24, Theorem 2*.*2], [\[23](#page-15-16), Theorem 2*.*4], Latif et al. [27, [The](#page-15-6)orem 2*.*2] and [\[2](#page-7-0)8, Theorem 3*.*2].

(5) Theorem 1[1](#page-15-17) generalizes fixed point resu[lts](#page-15-3) of Liu et al. [17, [Theo](#page-15-4)rem 2*.*2] [and](#page-15-14) [25, Theorem 3*.*3]. Further, Theorem 11 cont[ains](#page-7-1) [26, Theorem 2*.*3] of Liu et al. as a special [cas](#page-15-13)e.

(6) Theorem [11](#page-7-1) extends and unifies the fixed point results of Fe[ng](#page-15-6) and Liu [3, Theo[rem](#page-15-17) 3*.*1], Klim and Wardowsk[i \[4](#page-15-3), Theorem 2*.*1], Ciri[c \[2](#page-15-4)2, Theorem 2*.*2], Latif and Albar [24, Theorem [2](#page-15-13)*.*3], Ciric [2, Theorem [6](#page-15-14)], Latif et al. [27, Theorem 2*.*3] and [28, The[orem](#page-10-1) 3*.*3].

(7) [The](#page-10-1)orem 12 [gen](#page-15-15)eralizes the fixed point results of Latif and Albar [24, [T](#page-14-8)heorem 2*.*5], Latif et al. [27, Theore[m](#page-14-2) 2*.*4] and [28, The[ore](#page-10-1)[m](#page-15-16) 3*.*4].

Ackno[wl](#page-15-4)ed[gm](#page-10-2)ents

The authors thank the learned referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Nadler SB. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. 1969; 30(2): 475-488.
- [2] Ciric LB. Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractions in complete metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2008; 348(1): 499-507. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.07.062.
- [3] Feng Y, Liu S. Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2006; 317(1): 103-112. Available from: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jmaa.2005.12.004.
- [4] Klim D, Wardowski D. Fixed point theorems for set-valued contr[actions in complete metric spaces.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.07.062) *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2007; 334(1): 132-139. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa. 2006.12.012.
- [5] [Bakhtin IA. The contract](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.12.004)ion mapping principle in almost metric spaces. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*. 1989; 30: 26-37.
- [6] Czerwik S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in *b*-metric spaces. *Pr[oceedings of the Seminar on](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.12.012) [Mathematics](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.12.012) and Physics of the University of Modena*. 1998; 46: 263-276.
- [7] Agarwal RP, Karapinar E, O'Regan D, Roldán-López-de Hierro AF. *Fixed point theory in metric type spaces*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2015.
- [8] Czerwik S, Dlutek K, Singh SL. Round-off stability of iteration procedures for set-valued operators in *b*-metric spaces. *Journal of Natural and Physical Sciences*. 2001; 11: 87-94. Available from: https://api.semanticscholar.org/ CorpusID:145916382.
- [9] Khamsi MA, Hussain N. KKM mappings in metric type spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 2010; 73(9): 3123-3129. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.06.084.
- [10] Chuensupantharat N, Gopal D. On Caristi fixed point theorem in metric spaces with a graph. *[Carpathian Journal](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:145916382) [of Mathematics](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:145916382)*. 2020; 36(2): 259-268. Available from: https://doi.org/10.37193/CJM.2020.02.09.
- [11] Gopal D, Agarwal P, Kumam P. *Metric structures and fixed point theory*. CRC Press; 2021.
- [12] Lakzian H, Gopal D, Sintunavarat W. New fixed point results for mappings of contractive type with an application to nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2016; 18: 251-266. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-015-0275-7.
- [13] Rouzkard F, Imdad M, Gopal D. Some existence and uniqueness theorems on ordered metric spaces via generalized distances. *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2013; 2013: 1-20. Available from: https://doi.org/10. 1186/1687-1812-2013-45.
- [14] Kada O, Suzuki [T, Takahashi W. Nonconvex minimization](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-015-0275-7) theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. *Mathematica Japonicae*. 1996; 44: 381-391. Available from: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID: 120511018.
- [15] [Suzuki T, Takahashi W. F](https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-45)ixed point theorems and characterizations of metric completeness. *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*. 1996; 8: 371-382.
- [16] Kaneko S, Takahashi W, Wen CF, Yao JC. Existence theorems for sing[le-valued and multivalued mappings with](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:120511018) *w*[-distances](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:120511018) in metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2016; 2016: 1-15. Available from: https: //doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0527-2.
- [17] Liu Z, Wang X, Kang SM, Cho SY. Fixed points for mappings satisfying some multi-valued contractions with *w*-distance. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2014; 2014: 1-17. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1687-1812-2014-246.
- [18] Rakoćević V. *[Fixed point results in](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0527-2) w-distance spaces*. Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2021.
- [19] Demma M, Saadati R, Vetro P. Multi-valued operators with respect to *wt*-distance on metric type spaces. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*. 2016; 42(6): 1571-1582.
- [20] [Fallahi K, Savic D, So](https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-246)leimani Rad G. The existence theorem for contractive mappings on *wt*-distance in *b*-metric spaces endowed with a graph and its application. *Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis*. 2019; 13(1): 1-15. Available from: https://doi.org/10.22130/scma.2018.89571.471.
- [21] Latif A, Al Subaie R, Alansari M. Metric fixed points for contractive type mappings and applications. *Journal of Nonlinear Convex Analysis*. 2022; 23: 501-511.
- [22] Ciric LB. Multivalued nonlinear contraction mappings. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 2009; 71(7-8): 2716-2723. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.n](https://doi.org/10.22130/scma.2018.89571.471)a.2009.01.116.
- [23] Latif A, Abdou A. Fixed points of generalized contractive maps. *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2009; 487161. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/487161.
- [24] Latif A, Abdou A. Multivalued generalized nonlinear contractive maps and fixed points. *Nonlinear Analysis*. 2011; 74(4): 1436-1444. Available from: https://d[oi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.10.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.01.116)
- [25] Liu Z, Lu Y, Kang SM. Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractions with *w*-distance. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2013; 224: 5[35-552. Available from:](https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/487161) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.08.061.
- [26] Liu Z, Sun W, Kang SM, Ume JS. On fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractions. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 2010; 2010: 1-18. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1155/201](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.10.017)0/870980.
- [27] Latif A, Alotaibi A, Noorwali M. Multivalued nonlinear contractive type mappings and fixed points. *Journal of Nonlinear Convex Analysis*. 2023. Available from: https[://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.10.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.08.061)
- [28] Latif A, Alotaibi A, Noorwali M. Fixed point results via multivalued contractive type mappings involving generalized distance on metric type spaces. *J[ournal of Nonlinear Variational Ana](https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/870980)lysis*. 2024; 8(5): 787-798. Available from: https://doi.org/10.23952/jnva.8.2024.5.06.
- [29] Mizoguchi N, Takahashi W. Fixed point theoremsf[or multivalued mappings on complete me](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.10.017)tric spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 1989; 141: 177-188.
- [30] Hussain N, Saadati R, Agrawal RP. On the topology and *wt*-distance on metric type spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 20[14; 88: 2014. Available from:](https://doi.org/10.23952/jnva.8.2024.5.06) https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-88.
- [31] An TV, Tuyen LQ, Dungć NV. Stone-type theorem on *b*-metric spaces and applications. *Topology and its Applications*. 2015; 185-186: 50-64. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.02.005.
- [32] Karapinar E, Chifu C. Results in *wt*-distance over *b*-metric spaces. *Mathematics*. 2020; 8(2): 220. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/math8020220.
- [33] Romaguera S. An application of *wt*-distances to characterize complete *b*-metric spaces. *Axioms*. 2023; 12(2): 121. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12020[121.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.02.005)
- [34] Suzuki T. Basic inequality on a *b*-metric space and its applications. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2017; [2017\(1\): 256. Available from:](https://doi.org/10.3390/math8020220) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-017-1528-3.

[35] Ghosh SK, Nahak C. An extension of Lakzian-Rhoades results in the structure of ordered *b*-metric spaces via *wt*-distance with an application. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2020; 378: 125197. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2020.125197.