



# Approximate Controllability for Fuzzy Fractional Evolution Equations of Order $\ell \in (1, 2)$

## R Hariharan, R Udhayakumar \* 问

Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: udhayakumar.r@vit.ac.in

Received: 20 June 2024; Revised: 29 July 2024; Accepted: 31 July 2024

**Abstract:** This study focuses on exploring the concept of approximate controllability in nonlocal Cauchy problems associated with fuzzy fractional evolution equations of order  $\ell \in (1, 2)$ . Our approach combines fuzzy theory, fractional calculus, and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem to establish key findings. Our primary objective is to establish the approximate controllability of these fuzzy fractional systems. Additionally, we provide a theoretical example to illustrate the implications of our findings.

Keywords: approximate controllability, fuzzy fractional evolution system, mild solution, fixed point theory

MSC: 34A07, 34A08, 47H10, 93B05

## **1. Introduction**

A fractional differential equation extends ordinary differential equations to non-integer orders through integration. Recent studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of fractional-order derivatives in modeling a wide range of physical phenomena in science and engineering. The field of partial fractional differential equations and fractional-order ordinary differential equations has seen significant advancements in recent years. This progress has captured the interest of physicists, engineers, and mathematicians. Lakshmikantham and Vatsala [1] discuss key concepts such as existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions, as well as methods for handling both linear and nonlinear fractional differential equations. Concepts of stability of linear infinite-dimensional continuous-time conformable systems with the conformable fractional derivatives using the theories of  $\alpha$ -semigroup are studied in [2]. Their contributions have significantly advanced the mathematical theory underpinning fractional differential equations. Zhou [3] in the book "Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations," offers a comprehensive exploration of the fundamental principles underlying fractional differential equations. Ahmad et al. [4] explores the existence and uniqueness of solutions for complex boundary value problems. This research is pivotal for understanding systems with memory effects and spatially distributed influences, commonly found in disciplines such as thermodynamics and elasticity. Ahmad et al. [5] proposed initial and boundary value problems, enhancing our understanding of systems governed by complex fractional dynamics. Chalishajar et al. [6] investigates the conditions under which solutions to these complex equations exist and are unique. The study leverages Gronwall's inequality within the framework of Banach spaces to establish rigorous mathematical results.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.5320245162

This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

https://creative.commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Copyright ©2024 R Udhayakumar, et al.

Fractional calculus is now applied in various disciplines, including rheology, viscoelasticity, electrochemistry, and electromagnetics. Zhou [7] discuss the Analysis and Control explores the dynamics and control of systems described by fractional evolution equations and inclusions. The article emphasizes analytical techniques and control strategies, addressing the challenges posed by the fractional nature and inclusions in such equations within various application domains. A new type of fractional derivatives involving Riemann-Liouville and Caputo cotangent fractional derivatives is proposed in [8] and by utilizing this derivative, a nonlinear fractional differential problem with a nonlocal initial condition is investigated in [9]. These investigations show the important role that fractional differential equations play in explaining detailed real-world processes. They extend the theory of fractional calculus and its applications in fields such as environmental science, engineering, and physics.

Fuzzy set theory has seen a rise in popularity recently, with applications spanning mechanics, electrical engineering, and signal processing [10]. This theory was initially introduced by Zadeh in [11]. Chang and Zadeh later defined fuzzy derivatives [12], and Kandal and Byatt coined the term "fuzzy differential equation" in [13]. These equations are particularly useful for modeling the transmission of epistemic uncertainty in dynamic environments [14]. Extensive research on the existence and uniqueness of solutions to these equations has been carried out by Song and Wu [15], Seikkala [16], and Kaleva [17]. Karpagappriya et al. [18] introduces a novel approach using the cubic spline method to solve Fuzzy differential equations. Agarwal et al. [19] investigates the integration of fuzzy and stochastic methodologies to effectively handle the inherent uncertainties in dynamic systems, providing a robust theoretical foundation for further research and applications.

Allahviranloo et al. [20] introduces a novel method for solving fuzzy fractional differential equations using the generalized fuzzy Taylor expansion. Fuzzy initial value problem defined in [21] extend the classical initial value problems by incorporating fuzzy logic to handle uncertainty in initial conditions and system parameter and Fuzzy similarity measures proposed in [22] adapted for fuzzy sets, quantify the degree of overlap between the species compositions of different communities. By comparing these concept, the proposed fuzzy structure has the ability to capture a range of possible behaviors and outcomes, providing a comprehensive view of the system's dynamics under uncertainty. Stefanini and Bede [23] explores the concept of generalized Hukuhara differentiability for interval-valued functions and its application to interval differential equations. In recent days, fuzzy fractional theory has been extended with graph concepts to propose novel ideas like fuzzy fractional factors in fuzzy graph as described in [24].

In mathematical control theory, controllability is a key concept with significant relevance in both theoretical and applied mathematics. Its importance has grown within the context of fractional calculus [25]. Chalishajar et al. [26] explored the controllability of complex dynamical systems characterized by impulsive effects, fractional orders, and infinite delays. Gokul and Udhayakumar [27] focus on the concept of approximate controllability, which is crucial for understanding how small changes in control inputs can steer the system's state within a desired range over time. Researchers are increasingly investigating the application of control theory to fractional differential systems. Varun Bose and Udhayakumar [28] investigates the conditions under which systems governed by Caputo fractional differential equations can be controlled approximately. Recently, numerous scholars have aimed to deepen our understanding of the exact and approximate controllability of various dynamical systems, including those with delays. Exact controllability refers to a system's ability to reach any specified final state, whereas approximate controllability means the system can get arbitrarily close to any desired state. In recent years, Sadek has proposed numerous innovative ideas in controllability and observability contributing substantially to the advancement of fractional evolution equations [29–31].

Dinesh et al. [32] provides a detailed analysis of the conditions under which approximate controllability can be achieved in the systems, incorporating both stochastic elements and delay inclusions. Vijayakumar et al. [33] revisits the controllability of fractional Sobolev-type integro-differential systems characterized by Volterra-Fredholm operators with fractional orders between 1 and 2. Kumar et al. [34] explores the conditions under which boundary controllability can be achieved for nonlocal impulsive neutral integrodifferential evolution equations. Sakthivel et al. [35] deals with the concept of approximate controllability in the context of fractional differential inclusions, which are a generalization of fractional differential equations. This concept refers to the ability to steer the state of a system arbitrarily close to a desired final state, within a given tolerance. It is a relaxed version of exact controllability, which demands reaching the exact final state.

The study by Aziz El Ghazouani et al. [10] delves into the existence and uniqueness of fuzzy mild solutions for fractional evolution equations. To the best of our knowledge, the concept of approximate controllability with fuzzy fractional evolution equation has not been investigated. Inspired by this motivation, we introduce a approximate controllability in this paper to pursue this direction. This paper aims to close this gap, motivated by the aforementioned work. We prove the existence of a mild solution to the approximate controllability for fuzzy fractional evolution equation using the Caputo fractional derivative.

$$\begin{cases} {}_{gH}^{C} D_{0_{+}}^{\ell} h(\mathscr{D}) = \Lambda h(\mathscr{D}) + Bu(\mathscr{D}) + f(\mathscr{D}, h(\mathscr{D}), {}_{gH}^{C} D_{0_{+}}^{\ell-1} h(\mathscr{D})) , & \mathscr{D} \in [0, a] = \mathbb{P}, \\ h(0) = h_{0}, \quad 1 < \ell < 2, \\ \end{cases}$$
(1)  
$$\begin{cases} {}^{C} D_{0_{+}}^{\ell-i} h(0) = h_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., |\ell|, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^{C}D^{\ell}$  is a Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\ell \in (1, 2)$ . The state variable  $h(\cdot)$ . Where  $\Lambda$  is an infinitesimal generator of a compact operator semigroup  $\{T(\wp)\}_{\wp \geq 0}$  on J is the triangular fuzzy number in  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathscr{F}}$  and f is a continuous function.  $(J, d_{\infty}) \subseteq (\mathbb{R}_{\mathscr{F}}, d_{\infty})$  is an metric space. Assume that H is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathscr{F}}, \mathbb{J} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then, let the set of all continuous mappings  $f : \mathbb{J} \to H$  represent  $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{J}, H)$ .

This article's primary benefit and contribution can be put up as follows:

1. We study the approximate controllability results of fuzzy fractional differential evolution systems in this work.

2. A new set of sufficient conditions has been determined for the system (1) to possess a mild solution. This research expands on multiple findings related to fuzzy fractional differential equations that utilize Caputo and Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives.

3. Our approach is based on the fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii, which has been successfully applied to prove our new results.

4. A theoretical example is used to demonstrate the recommended results.

Additionally, the remainder of the paper has been categorized as follows: We get the fundamental ideas of fuzzy fractional calculus relevant to our investigation from Sect 2. To put it briefly, Sect 3 explore the Fuzzy fractional derivative. Sect 4 presents evidence supporting the established existence of the mild solution. Sect 5 discusses the approximate controllability of the system under consideration. Sect 6 provides an example for helping in understanding. Finally, Sect 7 reflects our conclusion.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will cover some key ideas that will be important to the rest of the article.

**Definition 1** [10] A fuzzy number is a fuzzy set  $h : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$  that satisfies the following conditions:

1. *h* is normal, i.e., there is a  $\wp_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $h(\wp_0) = 1$ ;

- 2. *h* is a fuzzy convex set;
- 3. *h* is upper semi-continuous;
- 4. *h* closure of  $\wp \in \mathbb{R}$  :  $h(\wp) > 0$  is compact.
- $E^1$  represents the space of all fuzzy numbers on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$M^1 = \{h : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]\}.$$

 $\forall \boldsymbol{\varpi} \in (0, 1]$  the  $\boldsymbol{\varpi}$ -cut of an element of  $M^1$  is defined by

$$x^{\overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} = \{ \boldsymbol{\wp} \in \mathbb{R} : h(\boldsymbol{\wp}) \ge \boldsymbol{\sigma} \}.$$

We may write using the previous parcels

$$h^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [\underline{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}), \, \overline{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})]. \tag{2}$$

Where,

•  $\underline{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \Rightarrow$  Lower bound of the function *h* at  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , representing the minimum possible value. •  $\overline{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \Rightarrow$  Upper bound of the function *h* at  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , representing the maximum possible value. The distance between two elements of  $M^1$  is given by

$$d(h,g) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in (0,1]} \max\{|\bar{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \bar{g}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|, |\underline{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \underline{g}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\}.$$
(3)

Furthermore, the following characteristics are true:

1. d(h+k, g+k) = d(h, g);

2.  $d(\rho h, \rho g) = |\rho| d(h, g);$ 

3.  $d(h+g, j+k) \le d(h, j) + d(g, k)$ .

**Definition 2** [10] Fuzzy number addition and scalar multiplication within  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathscr{F}}$  are expressed as

$$[h \oplus g]^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [h]^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + [g]^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\boldsymbol{\rho} \odot h]^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \boldsymbol{\rho}[h]^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R},$$
(4)

where

(i)  $[h]^{\overline{\sigma}} + [g]^{\overline{\sigma}} = \{u + v : u \in [h]^{\overline{\sigma}}, v \in [g]^{\overline{\sigma}}\}$  is the Minkowski sum of  $[h]^{\overline{\sigma}}$  and  $[g]^{\overline{\sigma}}$ , (ii)  $\rho[h]^{\overline{\sigma}} = \{\rho u : u \in [h]^{\overline{\sigma}}\}.$ 

**Definition 3** [36] The *gH* difference of *h* and *g*, represented by  $h \ominus_{gH} g$ , for  $h, g \in \mathbb{R}_F$ , is defined as the element  $k \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathscr{F}}$  such that

$$h \ominus_{gH} g = k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \{(i) \ h = g + k \quad \text{or} \quad (ii) \ g = h + (-1)k\}.$$
 (5)

In terms of  $\varpi$ -levels we have

$$(h \ominus_{gH} y)^{\varpi} = [min\{\underline{h}(\varpi) - g(\varpi), \overline{h}(\varpi) - \overline{g}(\varpi)\}, max\{\underline{h}(\varpi) - g(\varpi), \overline{h}(\varpi) - \overline{g}(\varpi)\}],$$

The condition for  $k = h \ominus_{gH} g$  to exist in  $M^1$  is: Case-(i)

$$\begin{cases} \underline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) = \underline{h}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) - \underline{g}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \text{ and } \overline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) = \overline{h}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) - \overline{g}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}), \\ \text{with } \underline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \text{ increasing, } \overline{k} \text{ decreasing, } \underline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \le \overline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}); \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varpi} \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Case-(ii)

$$\begin{cases} \underline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) = \overline{h}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) - \overline{g}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \text{ and } \overline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) = \underline{h}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) - \underline{g}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}), \\ \text{with } \underline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \text{ increasing, } \overline{k} \text{ decreasing, } \underline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \leq \overline{k}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}); \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varpi} \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

**Definition 4** [37] For a fuzzy value function  $F: (a, b) \to M^1$  at  $\mathcal{P}_0$ , the generalized Hukuhara derivative is defined as follows: Let  $\mathcal{P}_0 \in (a, b)$  and  $h_1$  be such that  $\mathcal{P}_0 + h_1 \in (a, b)$ .

$$\lim_{h_1 \to 0} \left\| \frac{F(\mathscr{D}_0 + h_1) - {}_g F(\mathscr{D}_0)}{h_1} - {}_g F'_{gH}(\mathscr{D}_0) \right\|_1 = 0.$$
(8)

**Definition 5** [10] The Gamma function  $\Gamma(u)$ , extending the factorial to complex and real numbers, is defined by

$$\Gamma(u) = \int_0^\infty \vartheta^{u-1} e^{-\vartheta} d\vartheta, \quad \kappa > 0, \tag{9}$$

For positive integers m, it holds that

$$\label{eq:gamma} \begin{split} \Gamma(m) = & (m-1)!, \\ \Gamma(m) = & m \Gamma(m-1). \end{split}$$

**Definition 6** [37] Assume that  $F: [a, b] \to M^1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0 \in (a, b)$ , differentiable at  $\mathcal{P}_0$  by  $\underline{F}(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\sigma})$  and  $\overline{F}(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\sigma})$ . (a) F is [(1) - gH]-differentiable at  $\mathcal{P}_0$  if

$$F'_{1,gH}(\wp_0) = [\underline{F'}(\wp, \boldsymbol{\varpi}), \bar{F'}(\wp, \boldsymbol{\varpi})].$$

(b)  $\not\vdash$  is [(2) - gH] differentiable at  $\wp_0$  if

$$F'_{2,gH}(\mathcal{O}_0) = [\bar{F'}(\mathcal{O}, \boldsymbol{\varpi}), \underline{F'}(\mathcal{O}, \boldsymbol{\varpi})].$$

**Theorem 1** [38] Let  $\phi: \mathbb{J} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F: \mathbb{J} \subset \mathbb{R} \to M^1$ , and  $\wp \in \mathbb{J}$ . Assume that the fuzzy-valued function  $F(\wp)$  is *gH*-differentiable at  $\wp$  and that  $\phi(\wp)$  is a differentiable function at  $\wp$ . So,

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3291

$$(F\phi)'_{g}(\wp) = (F'\phi)_{g}(\wp) + (F\phi')_{g}(\wp).$$

**Definition 7** [39] At  $\mathcal{P}_0 \in (a, b)$ , let  $F: [a, b] \to M^1$  and  $F'_{gH}(\mathcal{P})$  be gH-differentiable. Furthermore, there isn't a switch on (a, b) and  $\underline{F}(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\sigma})$  and  $\overline{F}(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\sigma})$  both differentiable at  $\mathcal{P}_0$ . We state that

(a) F' is [(1) - gH]-differentiable at  $\mathcal{P}_0$  if

$$F_{1,gH}^{''}(\mathcal{D}_0) = \left[\underline{F}^{''}(\mathcal{D}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}), \bar{F^{''}}(\mathcal{D}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})\right]$$

(b) F' is [(2) - gH]-differentiable at  $\mathcal{D}_0$  if

$$F_{2,gH}^{''}(\mathcal{D}_0) = \left[\bar{F}^{''}(\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\varpi}), \underline{F}^{''}(\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\varpi})\right].$$

## 3. Fuzzy fractional derivative

The features of the generalized fuzzy fractional derivative are introduced in this section.

**Definition 8** [40] Let  $f \in L^{M^1}([a, b])$ , where  $L^{M^1}([a, b])$  denote the space of all Lebesgue integrable fuzzy-valued functions. The following defines the fuzzy Riemann-Liouville integral of the fuzzy-valued function:

$$^{\textit{RL}}I^{\ell}f(\mathscr{D}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\ell)} \odot \int_{a}^{\mathscr{D}} (\mathscr{D} - \theta)^{\ell-1} \odot f(\theta) d\theta, \quad a < \theta < \mathscr{D}, \quad 0 < \ell < 1.$$

**Definition 9** [39] [R-L derivative] Let us consider the fuzzy number-valued function  $f \in L^{M^1}([a, b])$ .

$${}^{RL}D^{\ell}f(\mathscr{O}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\ell)} \odot \left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\right)^{q} \int_{a}^{\theta} (\theta - \mathscr{O})^{q-\ell-1} \odot f(\mathscr{O}) d\mathscr{O}, & q-1 < \ell < q, \\\\ \\ \left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\right)^{q-1} f(\theta), & \ell = q-1. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 10** [39] [Caputo gH derivative] Suppose that the operator operating on the operand function inside the integral  $f(\mathcal{O}) \in M^1$  is the integer order of the derivative in the definition of the *RL* fractional derivative, and that  $\mathcal{O} \in [a, b]$  is a fuzzy number-valued function.

$$^{C}D^{\ell}f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\ell)} \odot \int_{a}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\wp})^{q-\ell-1} \odot f_{gH}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{\wp}) d\boldsymbol{\wp}, \quad q-1 < \ell < q, \\\\ \left(\frac{d}{d\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^{q-1} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \ell = q-1. \end{cases}$$

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

**Definition 11** [41] Consider the following:  $F: [0, +\infty) \to H \subset \mathbb{R}_{\mathscr{F}}$  While  $e^{-\theta} \mathscr{D} \odot F(\mathscr{D})$  is integrable, is a continuous function.  $\mathscr{L}[F(\mathscr{D})]$  represents *F* 's fuzzy Laplace transform, which is

$$\mathscr{L}[F(\mathscr{P})] := F(\theta) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\theta \mathscr{P}} \odot F(\mathscr{P}) d\mathscr{P}, \quad \theta > 0.$$

A fuzzy-valued function f is comparable to M > 0.

**Lemma 1** [10] Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\ell,n}$  is a special function, a function which depends on two parameters  $\ell$  and n. It is defined by,

$$E_{\ell,n}(\wp) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{\wp^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\ell\alpha+n)}.$$

Where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function. (i)  $\forall \ell > 0$ , we get the following result

$$\int_0^{\mathscr{O}} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \theta^\ell) d\theta = \mathscr{O} E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^\ell).$$

(ii)  $\forall \ell \in [1, 2] \text{ and } \theta > 0$ , we have 1.  $\theta^{\ell-1}(\theta^{\ell} - \Lambda)^{-1} = L(E_{\ell, 1}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell}))(\theta),$ 2.  $\theta^{\ell-2}(\theta^{\ell} - \Lambda)^{-1} = L(\mathscr{O}E_{\ell, 2}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell}))(\theta),$ 3.  $(\theta^{\ell} - \Lambda)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}L(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-2}E_{\ell, 1}(\Lambda \theta^{\ell})d\theta).$ Lemma 2 [41] 1. Let  $v, w : [0, +\infty) \to H$  be continuous functions,  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then

$$\mathscr{L}[p_1 \odot v(\mathscr{P}) + p_2 \odot w(\mathscr{P})] = p_1 \odot \mathscr{L}[v(\mathscr{P})] + p_2 \odot \mathscr{L}[w(\mathscr{P})].$$

2. Assume that the function  $v : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow H$  is continuous, then

$$\mathscr{L}\big[e^{a\mathscr{O}}\odot f(\mathscr{O})\big]=F(\theta-a),\quad \theta-a>0.$$

3. Let v ∈ C<sup>1</sup>([0, +∞), H) be an exponent bounded of order β. Then,
(a) When h approaches [(1) - gH], if it is differentiable, then

$$\mathscr{L}\big[D^1_{gH}h(\wp)\big] = \boldsymbol{\theta} \odot \mathscr{L}[h(\wp)] \ominus f(0),$$

(b) When h approaches [(2) - gH], if it is differentiable, then

$$\mathscr{L}\left[D^2_{gH}h(\wp)\right] = (-1) \odot f(0) \ominus (-\theta) \odot \mathscr{L}[h(\wp)].$$

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3293

**Definition 12** The Cauchy problem (1) can be represented as the following integral equation, by utilizing the *gH* derivative's Newton-Leibnitz formula [14], Caputo's fuzzy fractional derivative definition, and the Riemann-Liouville mixed fractional integral operator  ${}^{RL}I_{0+}^{\ell}$  member applied to (1), we derive

$$h(\mathcal{P}) \ominus_{gH} h(0) \ominus_{gH} \mathcal{P} \odot h'(0) = {}^{RL}I_{0^+}^\ell(\Lambda h(\mathcal{P}) + Bu(\mathcal{P}) + f(\mathcal{P}, h(\mathcal{P}), {}^{C}_{gH}D^\ell h(\mathcal{P})).$$

The following claims flow from the definition of gH difference and (1). (a) Let h is [(1) - gH]-Caputo differentiable if

$$h(\mathcal{P}) = h(0) + \mathcal{P} \odot h'(0) + {}^{RL}I_{0^+}^\ell(\Lambda h(\mathcal{P}) + Bu(\mathcal{P}) + f(\mathcal{P}, h(\mathcal{P}), {}^{C}_{gH}D^\ell h(\mathcal{P})).$$

(b) Let *h* is [(2) - gH]-Caputo differentiable if

$$h(\wp) = h(0) + \wp \odot h'(0) \ominus (-1) \odot {}^{RL}I_{0^+}^\ell(\Lambda h(\wp) + Bu(\wp) + f(\wp, h(\wp), {}^{C}_{{}_{\mathcal{H}}}D^\ell h(\wp)).$$

Here is how we get the exact integral formula of the Cauchy issue (1) by using the fuzzy Laplace transform from [41].

#### Lemma 3 [10]

1. Assuming that h is Caputo [(1) - gH] differentiable satisfying the conditions of the Cauchy problem (1), then

$$h(\mathscr{P}) = E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{P}}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \mathscr{P} \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{P}}^{\ell}) \odot h_1$$
  
+ 
$$\int_0^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\mathscr{P}) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta.$$
(10)

2. Assuming that h is Caputo [(2) - gH] differentiable satisfying the conditions of the Cauchy problem (1), then

$$\begin{split} h(\wp) = & E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{G}}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{G}}^{\ell}) \odot h_1 \ominus \\ & (-1) \odot \int_0^{\wp} \int_{\theta}^{\wp} \frac{(\wp - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\wp) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 2** [27] Consider the Banach space H. If  $\mathcal{O}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  be mappings from  $\mathscr{B} \to H$ , then  $\mathscr{B}$  is a closed, bounded, and convex subset of a Banach space H such that

(a)  $\mho_1 h + \mho_2 g \in \mathscr{B}$  for all pair of  $h, g \in \mathscr{B}$ ,

- (b)  $\mho_1$  is contraction mapping,
- (c)  $\mathfrak{O}_2$  is compact and continuous,

then  $\mho_1(h) + \mho_2(h) = h$  has a solution in  $\mathscr{B}$ .

Now, let us introduce the pertinent operator for the approximate controllability,

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

(11)

$$\Gamma_0^b = \int_0^{\mathscr{D}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{D}} E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}^\ell}) BB^* E_{\ell,n}^*(\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}^\ell}) d\delta d\theta, \, \mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_0^b) = (\varpi I + \Gamma_0^b)^{-1}, \quad \varpi > 0.$$

Here,  $B^*$  and  $E^*_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell})$  are the adjoint of B and  $E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell})$ , and  $\Gamma^b_0$  be the linear bounded operator. Next, for every  $\varpi > 0$ , and  $h_1 \in H$ , take

$$u(\mathcal{O}) = B^* E^*_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathcal{O}^\ell) \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma^b_0) p(u(\cdot)),$$

where

$$\begin{split} p(h^{\beta}) = h_b - E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{G}}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \mathscr{G} \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{G}}^{\ell}) \odot h_1 \\ + \int_0^{\mathscr{G}} \int_{\theta_1}^{\mathscr{G}} \frac{(\mathscr{G} - \delta_1)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_1 - \theta_1)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta_1, h^{\beta}(\theta_1), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_1)) d\delta_1 d\theta_1. \end{split}$$

## 4. Existence of mild solutions

We define a function  $h \in \mathscr{C}([0, a], J)$  that fulfills (10) and (11) as a mild solution in types 1 and 2 of the Cauchy problem (1).

The next findings will make use of the following hypotheses:

(H1) Let  $\sup_{\rho \in [0,\infty)} ||E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda_{\delta} \mathcal{I})|| = M_E$ , where  $M_E \ge 1$ , and  $||R(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma_0^b)|| \le 1$  for every  $\boldsymbol{\varpi} > 0$ .

(H2) For any  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{P}$ , the function  $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{P} \times J \times J, J)$  is almost continuous, and for every  $z \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{P}, J)$ , the function  $f(\cdot, z, \stackrel{C}{\overset{e}_{eH}}D_{0+}^{\ell-1}z)$ :  $\mathbb{P} \to J$  is strongly measurable.

(H3) There exist  $\ell_2 \in [0, \ell)$ ,  $B_r := \{h \in J : d_{\infty}(h, \hat{0}) \leq r\} \subset J$ , r > 0, and  $\rho(\cdot) \in L^{\frac{1}{\ell_2}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that for any  $h, g \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{P}, B_r)$ , we have

$$d_{\infty}\big(f\big(\wp, h(\wp), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\wp)\big), f\big(\wp, g(\wp), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}g(\wp)\big)\big) \leq \rho(\wp)d_{\infty}(h(\wp), g(\wp)), \quad \wp \in \mathbb{P}.$$

(H4) There exists a constant  $\ell_1 \in [0, \ell)$  and  $m \in \rho(\cdot) \in L^{\frac{1}{\ell_1}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$d_{\infty}\left(f\left(\wp, h(\wp), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\wp)\right), \hat{0}\right) \leq m(\wp),$$

 $\forall z \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{P}, J)$  and for almost all  $\wp \in \mathbb{P}$ .

(H5) For each  $\wp > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell})$  is a compact operator.

**Theorem 3** The Cauchy problem (1) admits a mild fuzzy solution of type 1 in the space  $C(\mathbb{P}, J)$ , satisfying assumptions (H1)-(H5).

**Proof.** Assume,  $h \in C(\mathbb{P}, J)$ . A measurable function on  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))$ , since h is continuous with regard to  $\mathcal{P}$  and hypotheses (H1).

Let,

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3295

$$\mu = \frac{\ell - 1}{1 - \ell_1} \quad \text{and we consider} \quad 1 + \mu = \nu$$
$$M_1 = ||m||_{L^{\frac{1}{\ell_1}} \mathbb{P}}.$$

With Holder's inequality and (H4) applied for  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{P}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} &d_{\infty}(E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \mathscr{S}^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + t \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \mathscr{S}^{\ell}) \odot h_{1}) \leq M_{E}||h_{0}|| + aM_{E}||h_{1}||.\\ &d_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-1} \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\theta, \hat{0})\right)\\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-1} \odot d_{\infty}(Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)), \hat{0})d\theta\\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-1}d_{\infty}(Bu(\theta), \hat{0})d\theta + \int_{0}^{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-1}d_{\infty}(f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)), \hat{0})d\theta\\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-1}||m||_{L^{\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}}[0, a]}d\theta + \int_{0}^{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\ell-1}B[B^{*}E_{\ell, 1}^{*}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell})R(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{\delta})p(x(.))]d\theta. \end{split}$$

Considering,

$$\begin{split} &d_{\infty}\bigg(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{O}-\theta)^{\ell-1}\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)d\theta,\widehat{0})\bigg)\leq p_{1}+p_{2}.\\ &p_{1}=\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{O}-\theta)^{-\ell 1}||m||_{L^{\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}}\mathbb{P}}d\theta=\bigg(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}}(\mathscr{O}-\theta)^{\frac{-\ell 1}{1-\ell_{1}}}d\theta\bigg)^{1-\ell_{1}}||m||_{L^{\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}}[0,a]}\\ &\leq \frac{M_{1}a^{(\mathbf{v})(1-\ell_{1})}}{(\mathbf{v})^{1-\ell_{1}}}. \end{split}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{split} & d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} \odot E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h(\theta)) d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ & \leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} \odot d_{\infty}(E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h(\theta)), \hat{0}) d\delta d\theta \end{split}$$

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

$$\leq \frac{M_E}{\Gamma(\ell)} \odot \int_0^{\mathscr{P}} (\mathscr{P} - \theta)^{\ell-1} d_{\infty}(f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1} h(\theta)), \hat{0}) d\theta$$

$$\leq \frac{M_E M_1 a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell)(\nu)^{1-\ell_1}}, \text{ for all } \mathscr{P} \in \mathbb{P}.$$

$$p_2 = \int_0^{\mathscr{P}} (\mathscr{P} - \theta)^{\ell-1} B[B^* E_{\ell,1}^* (\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^\ell) R(\varpi, \Gamma_0^\delta) p(h(\cdot)) d\theta.$$

$$\leq \frac{M_B^2 M_E}{\varpi} \int_0^{\mathscr{P}} (\mathscr{P} - \theta)^{\ell-1} \left[ h_b - M_E ||h_0|| + aM_E ||h_1|| + \frac{M_E M_1 a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell)(\nu)^{1-\ell_1}} \right] d\theta.$$

Here,

$$\Delta = h_b - M_E ||h_0|| + aM_E ||h_1|| + \frac{M_E M_1 a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell)(\nu)^{1-\ell_1}}.$$

Since,

$$p_{2} \leq \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}\Delta}{\varpi} \left(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}} (\mathscr{O} - \theta)^{\frac{\ell-1}{1-\ell_{1}}} d\theta\right)^{1-\ell_{1}}$$
$$\leq \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}\Delta a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_{1})}}{\varpi(\nu)^{1-\ell_{1}}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{O}} \frac{(t-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} \odot E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot Bu(\theta) d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ & \leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{O}} \frac{(\mathscr{O}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} \odot d_{\infty}(E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot Bu(\theta), \hat{0}) d\delta d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{M_{E}}{\Gamma(\ell)} \odot \int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}} (\mathscr{O}-\theta)^{\ell-1} B[B^{*}E_{\ell,1}^{*}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})R(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{\delta})p(x(.)) d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{M_{E}}{\Gamma(\ell)} \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}\Delta a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_{1})}}{\varpi(\nu)^{1-\ell_{1}}} \\ & \leq \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}^{2}\Delta a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_{1})}}{\Gamma(\ell)\varpi(\nu)^{1-\ell_{1}}}. \end{split}$$

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3297

Then

$$\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{O}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta,h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta,$$

is bounded for any  $\wp \in \mathbb{P}$ . Regarding  $h \in C(\mathbb{P}, J)$ , we specify

$$(\mathfrak{O}_1h)(\wp) = E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \wp^\ell) \odot h_0 + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \wp^\ell) \odot h_1.$$

$$(\mho_2 h)(\mathscr{O}) = \int_0^{\mathscr{O}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{O}} \frac{(\mathscr{O} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta.$$

Set

$$\begin{split} K_{0} &= M_{E}||h_{0}|| + aM_{E}||h_{1}|| + \frac{M_{E}M_{1}a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_{1})}}{\Gamma(\ell)(\nu)^{1-\ell_{1}}} + \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}^{2}\Delta a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_{1})}}{\Gamma(\ell)\varpi(\nu)^{1-\ell_{1}}} \\ K_{0} &= ||h_{b}|| + \left(1 + \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}^{2}a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_{1})}}{\Gamma(\ell)\varpi(\nu)^{1-\ell_{1}}}\right)\Delta \end{split}$$

and  $B_{k_0}$ : = { $h(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{P}, J)$ :  $d_{\infty}(h(\mathcal{P}), \hat{0}) \leq k_0$  for all  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}$ }. We will prove that  $F_1h + F_2h$  has a fixed point on  $B_{k_0}$ . **Step-1** We demonstrate that for every  $h \in B_{k_0}$ ,  $F_1h + F_2h \in B_{k_0}$ . Indeed, with  $0 \leq \mathcal{P}_1 \leq \mathcal{P}_2 \leq a$ , we have

$$\begin{split} & d_{\infty}((F_{2}h)(\mathscr{P}_{2}),(F_{2}h)(\mathscr{P}_{1})) \\ = & d_{\infty}\bigg(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\frac{(\mathscr{P}_{2}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta, \\ & \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\frac{(\mathscr{P}_{1}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta\bigg) \\ = & d_{\infty}\bigg(\int_{\mathscr{P}_{1}}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\frac{(\mathscr{P}_{2}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta \\ & +\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\frac{(\mathscr{P}_{1}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta \\ & +\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\frac{(\mathscr{P}_{2}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta \\ & +\int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\frac{(\mathscr{P}_{2}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta, \widehat{\theta} \bigg) \end{split}$$

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

$$\begin{split} =& d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{\rho_{1}}^{\rho_{2}} \int_{\theta}^{\rho_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} \int_{\theta}^{\rho_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2} - (\wp_{1} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} \int_{\rho_{1}}^{\rho_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ = & d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{\rho_{1}}^{\rho_{2}} \int_{\theta}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ &+ d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} \int_{\theta}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ &+ d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} \int_{\theta}^{\varphi_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ &= \Xi_{1} + \Xi_{2} + \Xi_{3}, \end{split}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} \Xi_{1} &= d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{\vartheta_{1}}^{\vartheta_{2}} \int_{\theta}^{\vartheta_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta ds, \hat{0} \bigg). \\ \Xi_{2} &= d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\vartheta_{1}} \int_{\theta}^{\vartheta_{1}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2} - (\wp_{1} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \\ & \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg). \\ \Xi_{3} &= d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\vartheta_{1}} \int_{\vartheta_{1}}^{\vartheta_{2}} \frac{(\wp_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg). \end{split}$$

We have

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3299

$$\begin{split} \Xi_1 &= d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{\mathscr{P}_1}^{\mathscr{P}_2} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{P}_2} \frac{(\mathscr{P}_2 - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ &\leq \bigg( \frac{M_E M_1}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta}{\varpi \Gamma(\ell)} \bigg) \bigg( \int_{\mathscr{P}_1}^{\mathscr{P}_2} (\mathscr{P}_2 - \theta)^b d\theta \bigg)^{1-\ell_1} \\ &\leq \bigg( \frac{M_E M_1}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta}{\varpi \Gamma(\ell)} \bigg) \frac{(\mathscr{P}_2 - \mathscr{P}_1)^{(\nu)(1-\ell_1)}}{(\nu)^{1-\ell_1}}. \end{split}$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \Xi_2 &= d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_0^{\vartheta_1} \int_{\theta}^{\vartheta_1} \frac{(\pounds_2 - \delta)^{\ell-2} - (\pounds_1 - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{M_E}{\Gamma(\ell)} \bigg( \int_0^{\vartheta_1} \left[ (\pounds_2 - \theta)^{\ell-1} - (\pounds_1 - \theta)^{\ell-1} - (\pounds_2 - \pounds_1)^{\ell-1} \right] \odot d_{\infty}(Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)) d\theta, \hat{0}) \bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{M_E}{\Gamma(\ell)} \bigg( \int_0^{\vartheta_1} \left[ (\pounds_2 - \theta)^{\ell-1} - (\pounds_1 - \theta)^{\ell-1} \right] \odot d_{\infty}(Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)) d\theta, \hat{0}) \\ &- \int_0^{\vartheta_1} (\pounds_2 - \vartheta_1)^{\ell-1} \odot d_{\infty}(Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)) d\theta, \hat{0}) \bigg) \\ &\leq \bigg( \frac{M_E M_1}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta}{\varpi \Gamma(\ell)} \bigg) \frac{(-(\pounds_2 - \pounds_1)^{\nu} + \pounds_2^{\nu} - \pounds_1^{\nu} - (\pounds_2 - \vartheta_1)^{\ell-1}(\nu)^{1-\ell_1})}{(\nu)^{1-\ell_1}}. \end{split}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{split} \Xi_{3} &= d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}} \int_{\mathscr{P}_{1}}^{\mathscr{P}_{2}} \frac{(\mathscr{P}_{2} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{M_{E}}{\Gamma(\ell)} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}_{1}} (\mathscr{P}_{2} - \mathscr{P}_{1})^{\ell-1} \odot d_{\infty}(Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)) d\theta, \hat{0}) \bigg) \\ &\leq \bigg( \frac{M_{E}M_{1}}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}^{2}\Delta}{\varpi\Gamma(\ell)} \bigg) (\mathscr{P}_{2} - \mathscr{P}_{1})^{\ell-1} \mathscr{P}_{1}^{1-\ell_{1}}. \end{split}$$

Then, it is straightforward that  $\Xi_1$ ,  $\Xi_2$  and  $\Xi_3$  tend to 0 as  $(\mathscr{P}_2 - \mathscr{P}_1) \rightarrow 0$ . So,  $(\mho_2 h)(\mathscr{P})$  is continuous in  $\mathscr{P} \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $(\mho_1 h)(\mathscr{P})$  is likewise continuous in  $\mathscr{P} \in \mathbb{P}$ , as can be easily observed. Let us now take any  $h \in B_{k_0}$  and  $\mathscr{P} \in \mathbb{P}$  such that

$$d_{\infty}\big((\mho_1 h)(\mathscr{O}) + (\mho_2 h)(\mathscr{O}), \hat{0}\big) \leq ||h_b|| + \left(1 + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 a^{(\nu)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell)\varpi(\nu)^{1-\ell_1}}\right) \Delta \leq k_0.$$

Then  $\mho_1 + \mho_2$  is an operator from  $B_{k_0}$  into  $B_{k_0}$ .

**Step-2** We establish that  $\mathcal{O}_1(h(\mathcal{D}))$  acts as a contraction on  $B_{k_0}$ . Consider  $h, g \in B_{k_0}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} d_{\infty}\big(\mho_{1}(h(\wp)) - \mho_{1}(g(\wp)), \hat{0}\big) &\leq d_{\infty}\big(E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot h_{1} - E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot g_{0} \\ &- \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot g_{1}, \hat{0}\big) \\ &\leq d_{\infty}\big(E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot (h_{0} - g_{0}) + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot (h_{1} - g_{1}), \hat{0}\big) \\ &\leq d_{\infty}\big(M_{E} \odot (h_{0} - g_{0}) + a \odot M_{E} \odot (h_{1} - g_{1}), \hat{0}\big) \\ &\leq M_{E}d_{\infty}\big((h_{0} - g_{0}) + a \odot (h_{1} - g_{1}), \hat{0}\big) \\ &\leq M_{E}d_{\infty}\big(h(\wp) - g(\wp), \hat{0}\big). \end{split}$$

Thus,  $\mho_1(h(\mathcal{D}))$  is a contraction.

**Step-3** First, we show that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is Continuous on  $B_{k_0}$ . Let  $h_n, u_n \subseteq B_{k_0}$  with  $h_n \to h$  and  $u_n \to u$  on  $B_{k_0}$ . Applying hypothesis (H3), we get

$$\begin{split} &f\big(\theta, h_n(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h_n(\theta)\big) \to f\big(\theta, h(\theta), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)\big), \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty, \\ &Bu_n(\theta) \to Bu(\theta), \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty. \end{split}$$

Based on the hypothesis (H4), nearly everywhere  $\wp \in \mathbb{P}$ ,

$$d_{\infty}(f(\theta, h_n(\theta), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1}h_n(\theta)), f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))) \leq 2m(\theta).$$

Therefore, by the domination convergence theorem, we get

$$d_{\infty}((\mathfrak{O}_{2}h_{n})(\mathscr{O}),(\mathfrak{O}_{2}h)(\mathscr{O}))$$
$$=d_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}}\int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{O}}\frac{(\mathscr{O}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu_{n}(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h_{n}(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h_{n}(\theta))]d\delta d\theta,\right)$$

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3301

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\ell^{2}} \int_{\theta}^{\ell^{2}} \frac{(\wp - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta \bigg) \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\ell^{2}} (\wp - \theta)^{\ell-1} \frac{\ell M_{E}}{\Gamma(1+\ell)} \odot \\ &d_{\infty} \bigg( [Bu_{n}(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h_{n}(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h_{n}(\theta))], [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h(\theta))] \bigg) d\theta \\ &\longrightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

When  $n \to +\infty$ , This means  $\Im_2$  is continuous.

#### Step-4

Next, we demonstrate that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is equicontinuous. Let  $h \in B_{k_0}$ , where  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{P}$ , we proved this for all  $h \in B_{k_0}$  and  $0 \le \mathcal{O}_1 \le \mathcal{O}_2 \le a$ ,

$$d_{\infty}\big((\mathfrak{O}_{2}h)(\mathfrak{O}_{2}),(\mathfrak{O}_{2}h)(\mathfrak{O}_{1})\big) \leq \Xi_{1} + \Xi_{2} + \Xi_{3}.$$

We now have

$$\begin{split} \Xi_1 &\leq \left(\frac{M_E M_1}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta}{\varpi \Gamma(\ell)}\right) \frac{(\wp_2 - \wp_1)^{(u)(1-\ell_1)}}{(u)^{1-\ell_1}}.\\ \Xi_2 &\leq \left(\frac{M_E M_1}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta}{\varpi \Gamma(\ell)}\right) \frac{\left(-(\wp_2 - \wp_1)^u + \wp_2^{b+1} - \wp_1^{b+1} - (\wp_2 - \wp_1)^{\ell-1}(u)^{1-\ell_1}\right)}{(u)^{1-\ell_1}}.\\ \Xi_3 &\leq \left(\frac{M_E M_1}{\Gamma(\ell)} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta}{\varpi \Gamma(\ell)}\right) (\wp_2 - \wp_1)^{\ell-1} \wp_1^{1-\ell_1}. \end{split}$$

From Step-1, it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{O}_2(B_{k_0})$  is equicontinuous and independent of  $h \in B_{k_0}$  as  $\mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{O}_1$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is equicontinuous on  $B_{k_0}$ .

**Step-5** Lastly, we need to prove  $V(h) = (\mathcal{O}_2 h)(\mathcal{O}) : h \in B_{k_0}$  is relatively compact. For any given  $0 < \mathcal{O} \le a$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, t)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , let the operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$  be defined as

$$(\mho_{\varepsilon,\,\delta}h)(\wp)$$
  
=  $\int_{0}^{\wp-\varepsilon} \int_{\theta+\varpi-}^{\wp} \frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,\,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta,\,h(\theta),\,_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta$ 

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

$$= \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}-\varepsilon} \int_{\theta+\varpi}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell} - \Lambda(\varpi-\varepsilon) + \Lambda(\varpi-\varepsilon)) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta$$
$$= E_{\ell,1}\Lambda(\varpi-\varepsilon) \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}-\varepsilon} \int_{\theta+\varpi-}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P}-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell} - \Lambda(\varpi-\varepsilon)) \odot [Bu(\theta) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta.$$

Where  $h \in B_{k_0}$ . From hypotheses (H5),  $E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\varpi} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))$  is the compact operator, then  $V_{\varepsilon,\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp) = \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\boldsymbol{\varpi}}h : h \in B_{k_0}$  is relatively compact. Moreover, for all  $h \in B_{k_0}$ , and we have

$$\begin{split} & d_{\omega}((\mho_{2}h)(\wp),(F_{\varepsilon,\overline{w}}h)(\wp)) \\ = & d_{\omega}\bigg(\int_{0}^{\wp}\int_{\theta}^{\varrho}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta, \\ & \int_{0}^{\wp-\varepsilon}\int_{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}^{\varrho}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta\bigg) \\ = & d_{\omega}\bigg(\int_{0}^{\wp}\int_{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}^{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta \\ & +\int_{0}^{\wp-\varepsilon}\int_{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}^{\wp}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta \\ & -\int_{0}^{\wp-\varepsilon}\int_{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}^{\varphi}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta, \hat{0}\bigg) \\ \leq & d_{\omega}\bigg(\int_{0}^{\varrho}\int_{\theta}^{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta, \hat{0}\bigg) \\ & + d_{\omega}\bigg(\int_{\wp-\varepsilon}^{\varphi}\int_{\theta+\overline{\sigma}}^{\theta}\frac{(\wp-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)}E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell})\odot[Bu(\theta)\oplus f(\theta,h(\theta),\mathop{}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))]d\delta d\theta, \hat{0}\bigg) \\ \leq & \bigg(\frac{M_{E}M_{1}}{\Gamma(\ell)}+\frac{M_{B}^{2}M_{E}^{2}\Delta}{\overline{\sigma}\Gamma(\ell)}\bigg)\frac{\bigg[((-\overline{\sigma})^{u}-(a-\overline{\sigma})^{u}+a^{u})^{1-\ell_{1}}-((-\overline{\sigma})^{u}+(-\varepsilon-\overline{\sigma})^{u})^{1-\ell_{1}}\bigg]}{(u)^{1-\ell_{1}}} \\ & \longrightarrow 0, \end{split}$$

when  $\overline{\sigma}$ ,  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Then we obtain a relatively compact set arbitrarily that is close to  $V(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $\mathcal{O} > 0$ , which means that  $V(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $\mathcal{O} > 0$ , is also relatively compact. By applying the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we observe that  $\mathcal{O}_2(B_{k_0})$  is relatively compact. The function  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is fully continuous. Since,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{O}_2(B_{k_0})$  is significantly compact.

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3303

The function  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is continuous. Based on Theorem 2, we thus conclude that  $\mathcal{O}$  has a fixed point in  $B_{k_0}$ , the mild solution of system (1). Thus, the nonlocal Cauchy problem 10 has a mild fuzzy solution of type 1.

Set

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}[x](t) = E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \mathcal{O} \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^{\ell}) \odot h_1$$

$$\ominus (-1) \odot \int_0^{\mathscr{D}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{D}} \frac{(\mathscr{O} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu(\mathscr{O}) \oplus f(\theta, h(\theta), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))] d\delta d\theta$$

 $\hat{C}([0, a], J) = \{ h \in C(\mathbb{P}, J) : \hat{\mathcal{O}}[h](\mathcal{O}) \text{ exists for all } \mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{P} \}.$ 

The following results show that there exists a mild fuzzy solution for type 2 in the space  $C(\mathbb{P}, J)$ .

**Theorem 4** A mild fuzzy solution of the Cauchy problem (1) exists in type 2 in space  $C(\mathbb{P}, J)$  under the assumptions (H1)-(H5).

**Proof.** For  $h \in \hat{C}(\mathbb{P}, J)$ ,

$$\hat{\mathbb{O}}[h](\boldsymbol{\wp}) = (\mathbb{O}_1 h)(\boldsymbol{\wp}) \ominus (-1) \odot (\mathbb{O}_2 h)(\boldsymbol{\wp}).$$
$$K_0 = ||h_b|| + \left(1 + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 a^{(\Theta)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell)\boldsymbol{\varpi}(\Theta)^{1-\ell_1}}\right)\Delta.$$

Using the same procedure as before with the Caputo [(1) - gH] derivative, we get:  $(\mathfrak{V}_1 h) \ominus (-1) \odot (\mathfrak{V}_2 h) \in B_{k_0}$ , for any pair  $h, g \in B_{k_0} \subset \hat{C}(\mathbb{P}, J)$ , where  $\mathfrak{V}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{V}_2$  are continuous in  $\mathfrak{O} \in \mathbb{P}$ . We now obtain for each  $h, g \in B_{k_0}$ 

$$\begin{split} & d_{\infty} \left( (\mho_1 h)(\wp) \ominus (-1) \odot (\mho_2 h)(\wp), \hat{0} \right) \\ \leq & d_{\infty} ((\mho_1 h)(\wp), \hat{0}) + d_{\infty} ((\mho_2 h)(\wp), \hat{0}) \\ \leq & M_E ||h_0|| + a M_E ||h_1|| + \frac{M_E M_1 a^{(\Theta)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell)(\Theta)^{1-\ell_1}} + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 \Delta a^{(\Theta)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell) \varpi(\Theta)^{1-\ell_1}} \\ \leq & ||h_b|| + \left( 1 + \frac{M_B^2 M_E^2 a^{(\Theta)(1-\ell_1)}}{\Gamma(\ell) \varpi(\Theta)^{1-\ell_1}} \right) \Delta. \\ = & k_0. \end{split}$$

Which means that  $\mho_1 \ominus (-1) \odot \mho_2$  is an operator from  $B_{k_0}$  into  $B_{k_0}$ .

Given that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is a completely continuous operator, the Cauchy problem (1) has a mild fuzzy solution of type 2, since  $\mathcal{O}_1 \ominus (-1) \odot \mathcal{O}_2$  has a fixed point on  $B_{k_0}$ , as proved by Theorem 2.

# 5. Approximate controllability

**Theorem 5** The fuzzy fractional evolution system (1) is approximately controllable on  $\mathbb{P}$  in type 1, if hypotheses (H1)-(H5) are true.

**Proof.** Suppose there exists a fixed point  $\mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_2$  in  $B_{k_0}$  is  $h^{\beta}$ . The system (1) has a mild solution at any fixed point  $h^{\gamma}$ , according to Theorem 3, such that

$$\begin{split} h^{\beta}(\wp) = & E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \wp^{\ell}) \odot h_{1} + \int_{0}^{\wp} \int_{\theta}^{\wp} \frac{(\wp - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \\ & \odot [B^{*}E_{\ell,1}^{*}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell})\mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{b})p(h^{\beta}) \oplus f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h^{\beta}(\theta))] d\delta d\theta. \end{split}$$

Where

$$p(h^{\beta}) = h_b - E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \mathscr{P}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \mathscr{P} \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \mathscr{P}^{\ell}) \odot h_1 + \int_0^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta_1}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta_1)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_1 - \theta_1)^{\ell})$$
$$\odot f(\theta_1, h^{\beta}(\theta_1), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_1)) d\delta_1 ds_1.$$

We have  $[I - \Gamma_0^b \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma_0^b)] = \boldsymbol{\varpi} \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma_0^b)$ , then

$$\begin{split} h^{\beta}(b) &= E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + b \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{b} \int_{\theta}^{b} \frac{(b-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta)) d\delta d\theta \\ &+ \int_{0}^{b} \int_{\theta}^{b} \frac{(b-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) BB^{*} E_{\ell,1}^{*}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{b}) \\ &\times \left[ h_{b} - E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + b \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{b} \int_{\theta_{1}}^{b} \frac{(b-\delta_{1})^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta_{1})^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta_{1}, h^{\beta}(\theta_{1}), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_{1})) d\delta_{1} d\theta_{1} \right] d\delta d\theta. \\ &= E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + b \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_{1} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{b} \int_{\theta}^{b} \frac{(b-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta)) d\delta ds + \Gamma_{0}^{b} \mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{b}) p(h^{\beta}) \end{split}$$

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3305

$$\begin{split} &= E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + b \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda b^{\ell}) \odot h_1 \\ &+ \int_0^b \int_{\theta}^b \frac{(b-\delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta-\theta)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), {}^C_{gH} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(s)) d\delta ds \\ &+ p(h^{\beta}) - \varpi \mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_0^b) p(h^{\beta}) \\ &= h_b - \varpi \mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_0^b) p(h^{\beta}). \end{split}$$

According to the Dunford-Pettis theorem, there exists a subsequence  $f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), gH^{C}D^{\ell-1}h^{\beta}(\theta))$  that converges weakly to  $f(\theta, h(\theta), gH^{C}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta))$  in  $L^{1}[\mathbb{P}, J]$ . Consider

$$w = h_b - E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \mathscr{A}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \mathscr{O} \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \mathscr{A}^{\ell}) \odot h_1$$
  
+ 
$$\int_0^{\mathscr{O}} \int_{\theta_1}^{\mathscr{O}} \frac{(\mathscr{O} - \delta_1)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_1 - \theta_1)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta_1, h(\theta_1), {}^C_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta_1)) d\delta_1 d\theta_1.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{split} d_{\infty}(p(h^{\beta}) - w, \hat{0}) = & d_{\infty} \bigg( \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta_{1})^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_{1} - \theta_{1})^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta_{1}, h^{\beta}(\theta_{1}), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_{1})) d\delta_{1} d\theta_{1} \\ & - \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta_{1})^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_{1} - \theta_{1})^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta_{1}, h(\theta_{1}), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h(\theta_{1})) d\delta_{1} d\theta_{1}, \hat{0} \bigg) \\ & \leq \int_{0}^{\mathscr{P}} \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(\mathscr{P} - \delta_{1})^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_{1} - \theta_{1})^{\ell}) \odot d_{\infty} \bigg( \big[ f(\theta_{1}, h^{\beta}(\theta_{1}), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_{1})) d\delta_{1} d\theta_{1} \\ & - f(\theta_{1}, h(\theta_{1}), {}_{gH}^{C} D^{\ell-1} h(\theta_{1})) d\delta_{1} d\theta_{1} \big], \hat{0} \bigg). \end{split}$$

From the boundedness of  $f^{\beta}(\mathcal{D})$ , there exists some  $f(\mathcal{D}) \in L^{1}[\mathbb{P}, J]$ , such that

$$f(\theta_1, h^{\beta}(\theta_1), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_1)) d\delta_1 d\theta_1 \to f(\theta_1, h(\theta_1), {}_{gH}^C D^{\ell-1} h(\theta_1)) d\delta_1 d\theta_1, \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \to 0.$$

Furthermore, approaching the controllability of the system (1), we obtain  $\varpi R(\varpi, \Gamma_0^b) \to 0$  as  $\varpi \to 0^+$  in the topology of strong continuity. Consequently,  $\varpi \to 0^+$ , can be obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\infty}(h^{\beta}(b) - h_{b}, \hat{0}) \leq & d_{\infty}\big(\varpi R(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{b})(w) + \varpi R(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{b})(p(h^{\beta}) - w), \hat{0}\big) \\ \leq & d_{\infty}\big(\varpi R(\varpi, \Gamma_{0}^{b})w + (p(h^{\beta}) - w), \hat{0}\big) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the system (1) is approximately controllable for type 1.

**Theorem 6** The fuzzy fractional evolution system (1) is approximately controllable on  $\mathbb{P}$  in type 2 if the hypotheses (H1)-(H5) are true.

**Proof.** By theorem 4, for each fixed point in  $B_{k_0}$ , let  $h^{\beta}$  be a fixed point of  $\mathcal{O}_1 \ominus (-1) \odot \mathcal{O}_2$ . For the system (1),  $h^{\gamma}$  is a mild solution in which

$$\begin{split} h^{\beta}(\mathscr{O}) = & E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell}) \odot h_{0} + \mathscr{O} \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell}) \odot h_{1} \\ \\ \ominus (-1) \int_{0}^{\mathscr{O}} \int_{\theta}^{\mathscr{O}} \frac{(\mathscr{O} - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot [Bu^{\beta}(\mathscr{O}) \oplus f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), {}_{gH}^{C}D^{\ell-1}h^{\beta}(\theta))] d\delta d\theta, \end{split}$$

where

$$u^{\beta}(\wp) = B^* E^*_{\ell,1} (\Lambda(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \mathscr{R}(\varpi, \Gamma_0^b) \bigg[ h_b - E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda_{\wp}^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\Lambda_{\wp}^{\ell}) \odot h_1$$
$$\ominus (-1) \int_0^{\wp} \int_{\theta_1}^{\wp} \frac{(\wp - \delta_1)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell - 1)} E_{\ell,1}(\Lambda(\delta_1 - \theta_1)^{\ell}) \odot f(\theta_1, h^{\beta}(\theta_1), {}^C_{gH} D^{\ell-1} h^{\beta}(\theta_1)) d\delta_1 d\theta_1 \bigg].$$

Using a similar method as before with the Caputo [(1) - gH] derivative, we obtain  $f(\theta, h^{\beta}(\theta), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h^{\beta}(\theta))$  that convergent weakly to  $f(\theta, h(\theta), {}^{C}_{gH}D^{\ell-1}h(\theta)) L^{1}[\mathbb{P}, J]$  and we know that from 5 (i.e)

$$d_{\infty}(p(h^{\beta}) \oplus (-1)w, \hat{0}) \to 0, \text{ as } \beta \to 0.$$

Furthermore, we derive  $\varpi R(\varpi, \Gamma_0^b) \to 0$ , as  $\varpi \to 0^+$ , in the strong continuous topology based on the approximate controllability of the system (1). Consequently,  $\varpi \to 0^+$ , can be obtained.

$$d_{\infty}(h^{\beta}(b) \oplus (-1)h_{b}, \hat{0}) \leq d_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}R(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma_{0}^{b})(w) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}R(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma_{0}^{b})(p(h^{\beta}) \oplus (-1)w), \hat{0})$$
$$\leq d_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}R(\boldsymbol{\varpi}, \Gamma_{0}^{b})w + (p(h^{\beta}) \oplus (-1)w), \hat{0}) \to 0.$$

This shows that an operator from  $B_{k_0}$  into  $B_{k_0}$  is  $\mathfrak{V}_1 \ominus (-1) \odot \mathfrak{V}_2$ . In other words, the system (1) is approximately controllable of type 2 on  $\mathbb{P}$ .

# 6. Application

This section present two example for the proposed solution for the better understanding.

1. Consider the following equation;

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}}\overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp,h) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\wp}\overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp,h) + Bu(\wp) + \frac{e^{-\wp}}{9+e^{\wp}}\left(\frac{|\overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp,h)|}{1+|\overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp,h)|}\right), \quad (\wp,h) \in (0,1) \times (0,1), \\ \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp,h) = \overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(\wp,1) = 0, \quad \wp \in (0,1), \\ \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(0,h) = \wp(h), \quad h \in (0,1), \\ \\ {}^{C}D_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}}\overline{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}(0,h) = \varphi(h), \quad h \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$

$$(12)$$

We select  $\mathbb{H} = C([0, 1] \times J, J)$ , we have the function  $f : (0, 1) \times J \to J$  and remember to include the operator  $\Lambda : D(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ , which is indicated by

$$D(\Lambda) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varpi} \in \mathbb{H} : \frac{\partial}{\partial \wp} \boldsymbol{\varpi} \in \mathbb{H} \quad \text{and } \boldsymbol{\varpi}(0, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varpi}(0, 1) = 0 \right\},$$
$$\Lambda \boldsymbol{\varpi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \wp} \boldsymbol{\varpi} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\wp, H(\wp)) = \frac{e^{-\wp}}{9 + e^{\wp}} \left( \frac{|H(\wp)|}{1 + |H(\wp)|} \right).$$

Then, we get

$$\overline{D(\Lambda)} = \{ \boldsymbol{\varpi} \in \mathbb{H} : \boldsymbol{\varpi}(\boldsymbol{\wp}, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varpi}(\boldsymbol{\wp}, 1) = 0 \}.$$

This suggests that  $\Lambda$  fulfills every hypotheses.

As it is well known,  $\Lambda$  generates a compact  $C_0$  semigroup  $E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell})$  on  $\overline{D(\Lambda)}$  and  $E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell})$  compact operator. Thus, clearly  $||E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathscr{O}^{\ell})|| = M_E$ .

Assume that  $H(\mathcal{D}) = \varpi(\mathcal{D}, \cdot)$ , or  $H(\mathcal{D})(h) = \varpi(\mathcal{D}, h)$ , for any  $(\mathcal{D}, h) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ . We know that

$$f(\wp, H(\wp)) = \frac{e^{-\wp}}{9 + e^{\wp}} \left( \frac{|H(\wp)|}{1 + |H(\wp)|} \right).$$

Clearly, for everyone  $H, G \in \mathscr{C}([0, 1], B_r)$ , we have

$$d_{\infty}(f(\wp, H(\wp)), f(\wp, G(\wp))) \leq \rho(\wp) d_{\infty}(H(\wp), G(\wp)), \quad \text{where } \rho(\wp) = \frac{e^{-\wp}}{9 + e^{\wp}} \quad \forall \wp \in (0, 1)$$

and that

**Contemporary Mathematics** 

$$d_{\infty}(f(\mathcal{O}, H(\mathcal{O})), 0) = \leq m(\mathcal{O}), \quad \text{where } m(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{9 + e^{\mathcal{O}}} \quad \forall \mathcal{O} \in (0, 1).$$

Furthermore, it is also strongly measurable. Because f is continuous. Thus, the problem (12) admits two types of solutions, as stated below, it follows that 3 and the hypotheses.

$$\begin{split} H(\wp) = & E_{\ell,1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\wp}\wp^{\ell}\right) \odot h_0 + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\wp}\wp^{\ell}\right) \odot h_1 \\ & + \int_0^{\wp} \int_{\theta}^{\wp} \frac{(\wp - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell-1)} E_{\ell,1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\wp}(\delta - \theta)^{\ell}\right) \odot \left[Bu(\wp) \oplus \frac{e^{-\wp}}{9 + e^{\wp}}\left(\frac{|H(\wp)|}{1 + |H(\wp)|}\right)\right] d\delta d\theta. \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} H(\wp) = & E_{\ell,1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \wp} \wp^{\ell}) \odot h_0 + \wp \odot E_{\ell,2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \wp} \wp^{\ell}) \odot h_1 \\ \oplus (-1) \odot \int_0^{\wp} \int_{\theta}^{\wp} \frac{(\wp - \delta)^{\ell-2}}{\Gamma(\ell - 1)} E_{\ell,1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \wp} (\delta - \theta)^{\ell}) \odot \left[ Bu(\wp) \oplus \frac{e^{-\wp}}{9 + e^{\wp}} \left( \frac{|H(\wp)|}{1 + |H(\wp)|} \right) \right] d\delta ds \theta. \end{split}$$

2. Consider the following equation for another example;

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0}^{\frac{4}{3}}\kappa(\wp,h) = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2}\wp}\kappa(\wp,h) + Bu(\wp,h) + \wp^{\frac{-1}{3}}\sin\kappa(\wp,h), \quad (\wp,h) \in (0,1) \times (0,1), \\ \kappa(\wp,h) = \kappa(\wp,1) = 0, \quad \wp \in (0,1), \\ \kappa(0,h) = \wp(h), \quad h \in (0,1), \\ {}^{C}D_{0}^{\frac{4}{3}}\kappa(0,h) = \kappa(h), \quad h \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(13)

where  $D_0^{\ell}$  is a Caputo fractional derivative of order  $1 < \ell < 2$ . We have the function  $f: (0, 1) \times J \rightarrow J$  and remember to include the operator  $\Lambda : D(\Lambda) \subset C([0, 1] \times J, J) \to C([0, 1] \times J, J)$ . We define an operator  $\Lambda$  by  $\Lambda \kappa = \kappa''$  with the domain

$$D(\Lambda) = \{\kappa(\cdot) \in C([0, 1] \times J, J) : \kappa, \kappa' \text{ absolutely continuous, } \kappa'' \in C([0, 1] \times J, J), \ \kappa(0, 0) = \kappa(0, 1) = 0\}.$$

Then,  $\Lambda$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup  $E_{\ell,n}(\Lambda \mathcal{O}^{\ell})$  which is compact. For any  $(\mathcal{O}, h) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ 

$$f(\wp, \kappa(\wp, h)) = \wp^{\frac{-1}{3}} \sin \kappa(\wp, h).$$

Volume 5 Issue 3|2024| 3309

For every,  $\kappa(\cdot)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}(\cdot) \in \mathscr{C}([0, 1], B_r)$ , we obtain

$$d_{\infty}(f(\wp, \kappa(\cdot)), f(\wp, \mathfrak{h}(\cdot))) \leq \rho(\wp) d_{\infty}(\kappa(\cdot), \mathfrak{h}(\cdot)), \quad \text{where } \rho(\wp) = \wp^{\frac{-1}{3}} \quad \forall \wp \in (0, 1).$$

Since f is continuous, it is strongly measurable. Thus, the problem (13) admits two types of solutions.

## 7. Conclusion

This work addresses the approximate controllability of the nonlocal Cauchy problem for fuzzy evolution equations with order  $\ell \in (1, 2)$ . We have defined a mild solution and presented the idea of approximate controllability first. Then, we list some conditions and assumptions important to our main approximate controllability theorem. Using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, we rigorously established this primary controllability theorem. Finally, a framework has been developed to enhance theoretical applications. In the future, we will focus on investigating fuzzy fractional evolution systems with order  $\ell \in (2, 3)$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors expressed their gratitude to the editor and reviewer for their insightful feedback and suggestions on how to raise the standard of the article.

## **Authors contribution**

To the research, thoughts and creation of the manuscript, writers R. Hariharan and R. Udhayakumar made equal contributions.

## **Data availability**

For this article, data sharing is not applicable because no datasets were created or examined for this study.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## References

- [1] Lakshmikantham V, Vatsala AS. Basic theory of fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*. 2008; 69(8): 2677-2682.
- [2] Sadek L. Stability of conformable linear infinite-dimensional systems. *International Journal of Dynamics and Control.* 2023; 11(3): 1276-1284.
- [3] Zhou Y. Basic theory of fractional differential equations. World Scientific; 2014.
- [4] Ahmad B, Garout D, Ntouyas SK, Alsaedi A. Caputo fractional differential inclusions of arbitrary order with nonlocal integro-multipoint boundary conditions. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*. 2019; 20(2): 683-699.

- [5] Ahmad B, Alsaedi A, Ntouyas SK, Tariboon J. *Hadamard-type fractional differential equations, inclusions and inequalities.* Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2017.
- [6] Chalishajar DN, Karthikeyan K. Existence and uniqueness results for boundary value problems of higher order fractional integro-differential equations involving Gronwall's inequality in Banach spaces. *Acta Mathematica Scientia.* 2013; 33(3): 758-772.
- [7] Zhou Y. Fractional evolution equations and inclusions: Analysis and control. New York: Elsevier; 2015.
- [8] Sadek L. A cotangent fractional derivative with the application. *Fractal and Fractional*. 2023; 7(6): 444.
- [9] Sadek L, Lazar TA. On Hilfer cotangent fractional derivative and a particular class of fractional problems. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2023; 8(12): 28334-28352.
- [10] Ghazouani AE, Amir F, Elomaro M, Melliani S. On the existence and uniqueness of fuzzy mild solution of fractional evolution equations. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*. 2025; 49(6): 949-966.
- [11] Zadeh LA. Fuzzy sets. Information and Control. 1965; 8(3): 338-353.
- [12] Chang SS, Zadeh LA. On fuzzy mapping and control. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*. 1972; SMC-2(1): 30-34.
- [13] Kandel A, Byatt WJ. Fuzzy sets, fuzzy algebra, and fuzzy statistics. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 1978; 66(12): 1619-1639. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1978.11171.
- [14] Bede B. Fuzzy analysis. Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2013. p.137-170. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35221-8\_8.
- [15] Song S, Wu C. Existence and uniqueness of solutions to Cauchy problem of fuzzy differential equations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2000; 110(1): 55-67.
- [16] Seikkala S. On the fuzzy initial value problem. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 1987; 24(3): 319-330.
- [17] Kaleva O. Fuzzy differential equations. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 1987; 24(3): 301-317.
- [18] Karpagappriya S, Alessa N, Jayaraman P, Loganathan K. A vel approach for solving fuzzy differential equations using cubic spline method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. 2021; 2021(1): 5553732.
- [19] Agarwal RP, Lakshmikantham V, Nieto JJ. On the concept of solution for fractional differential equations with uncertainty. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*. 2010; 72(6): 2859-2862.
- [20] Allahviranloo T, Noeiaghdam Z, Noeiaghdam S, Nieto JJ. A fuzzy method for solving fuzzy fractional differential equations based on the generalized fuzzy Taylor expansion. *Mathematics*. 2020; 8(12): 2166.
- [21] Citil HG. On a fuzzy initial value problem. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer in Engineering*. 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2478/ijmce-2025-0002.
- [22] Bingül M, Safak SA, Akin O. Comparing some plant communities in a region of Türkiye via fuzzy similarity. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer in Engineering*. 2024; 2(2): 263-280.
- [23] Stefanini L, Bede B. Generalized Hukuhara differentiability of interval-valued functions and interval differential equations. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications. 2009; 71(3-4): 1311-1328.
- [24] Zhang H, Gan J, Gao W. Fuzzy fractional factors in fuzzy graphs-II. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer in Engineering. 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2478/ijmce-2024-0012.
- [25] Sivasankar S, Udhayakumar R, Muthukumaran V, Al-Omari S. Approximate controllability outcomes of impulsive second-order stochastic neutral differential evolution systems. *Contemporary Mathematics*. 2023; 4(4): 782-816. Available from: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.4420233253.
- [26] Chalishajar DN, Malar K, Karthikeyan K. Approximate controllability of abstract impulsive fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*. 2013; 2013(275): 1-21.
- [27] Gokul G, Udhayakumar R. Approximate controllability for Hilfer fractional stochastic non-instantaneous impulsive differential system with Rosenblatt process and Poisson jumps. *Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems*. 2024; 23(2): 56.
- [28] Bose CSV, Udhayakumar R. Approximate controllability of Ψ-Caputo fractional differential equation. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences. 2023; 46(17): 17660-17671.
- [29] Sadek L, Abouzaid B, Sadek EM, Alaoui HT. Controllability, observability and fractional linear-quadratic problem for fractional linear systems with conformable fractional derivatives and some applications. *International Journal* of Dynamics and Control. 2023; 11(1): 214-228.
- [30] Sadek L. Controllability and observability for fractal linear dynamical systems. *Journal of Vibration and Control*. 2023; 29(19-20): 4730-4740.

- [31] Sadek L. Controllability, observability, and stability of  $\psi$ -conformable fractional linear dynamical systems. *Asian Journal of Control*. 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/asjc.3348.
- [32] Dineshkumar C, Udhayakumar R, Vijayakumar V, Nisar KS, Shukla A. A note on the approximate controllability of Sobolev type fractional stochastic integro-differential delay inclusions with order 1 < r < 2. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*. 2021; 190: 1003-1026.
- [33] Vijayakumar V, Ravichandran C, Nisar KS, Kucche KD. New discussion on approximate controllability results for fractional Sobolev type Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential systems of order 1 < r < 2. Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations. 2024; 40(2): e22772.
- [34] Kumar K, Patel R, Vijayakumar V, Shukla A, Ravichandran C. A discussion on boundary controllability of nonlocal impulsive neutral integrodifferential evolution equations. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 2022; 45(13): 8193-8215.
- [35] Sakthivel R, Ganesh R, Anthoni SM. Approximate controllability of fractional nonlinear differential inclusions. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2013; 225: 708-717.
- [36] Bede B, Gal SG. Generalizations of the differentiability of fuzzy-number-valued functions with applications to fuzzy differential equations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2005; 151(3): 581-599.
- [37] Bede B, Stefanini L. Generalized differentiability of fuzzy-valued functions. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2013; 230: 119-141.
- [38] Allahviranloo T. Fuzzy fractional differential operators and equations. *Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*. Springer Cham; 2021. p.397. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51272-9.
- [39] Allahviranloo T, Gouyandeh Z, Armand A, Hasanoglu A. On fuzzy solutions for heat equation based on generalized Hukuhara differentiability. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2015; 265: 1-23.
- [40] Armand A, Gouyandeh Z. Fuzzy fractional integro-differential equations under generalized Caputo differentiability. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*. 2015; 10(5): 789-798.
- [41] Allahviranloo T, Ahmadi MB. Fuzzy Laplace transforms. Soft Computing. 2010; 14: 235-243.