



# On the Sums Running over Reduced Residue Classes Evaluated at Polynomial Arguments

Mehdi Hassani\*, Mahmoud Marie

Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, 45371-38791, Zanjan, Iran  
E-mail: mehdi.hassani@znu.ac.ir

**Abstract:** For a given polynomial  $G$  we study the sums  $\varphi_m(n) := \sum' k^m$  and  $\varphi_G(n) = \sum' G(k)$  where  $m \geq 0$  is a fixed integer and  $\sum'$  runs through all integers  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ . Although, for  $m \geq 1$  the function  $\varphi_m$  is not multiplicative, analogue to the Euler function, we obtain expressions for  $\varphi_m(n)$  and  $\varphi_G(n)$ . Also, we estimate the averages  $\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi_m(n)$  and  $\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi_G(n)$ , the alternative averages  $\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi_m(n)$  and  $\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi_G(n)$ .

**Keywords:** Euler function, residue systems, arithmetic function, alternating sum

## 1. Introduction

The Euler function  $\varphi(n)$  is defined as the number of positive integers  $k$  with  $k \leq n$  and  $(k, n) = 1$ , where  $(k, n)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of the integers  $k$  and  $n$ . For a given integer  $m \geq 0$  let

$$\varphi_m(n) := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = 1}} k^m.$$

In 2006, Apostol [1] obtained a formula for  $\varphi_m(n)$  (relation (8) on the page 278 of [1], where the inner sum should corrected as product). In this note we provide a classical study of  $\varphi_m(n)$  as an arithmetic function in  $n$ , more precisely focusing on its asymptotic behaviour and its average order. To keep completeness of our note, first we reprove Apostol's result in the following neat form.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $m \geq 0$  be fixed integer. Then for  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\varphi_m(n) = \sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n), \tag{1}$$

where for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$\beta_j = (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \frac{B_j}{m+1-j},$$

with  $B_j$  denoting the  $j^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli number, and for any integer  $j \geq 0$  the arithmetic function  $\mathcal{K}_j$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_j(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^{j-1} = \prod_{p|n} (1 - p^{j-1}). \tag{2}$$

For any integer  $n \geq 1$  let  $\delta(n) = [\frac{1}{n}]$ . We will use this expression for the arithmetic function  $\delta$  throughout the paper. Since  $\mathcal{K}_0(n) = \frac{\varphi(n)}{n}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_1(n) = \delta(n)$ , we have  $\varphi_0(n) = \varphi(n)$  and  $\varphi_1(n) = \frac{1}{2} n \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2} n \delta(n)$ . Moreover,

Copyright ©2020 Mehdi Hassani, et al.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.152020546>  
This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license  
(Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

$$\varphi_2(n) = \frac{1}{3}n^2 \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n^2 \delta(n) + \frac{1}{6}n\mathcal{K}_2(n),$$

$$\varphi_3(n) = \frac{1}{4}n^3 \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n^3 \delta(n) + \frac{1}{4}n^2\mathcal{K}_2(n),$$

$$\varphi_4(n) = \frac{1}{5}n^4 \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n^4 \delta(n) + \frac{1}{3}n^3\mathcal{K}_2(n) - \frac{1}{30}n\mathcal{K}_4(n),$$

$$\varphi_5(n) = \frac{1}{6}n^5 \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n^5 \delta(n) + \frac{5}{12}n^4\mathcal{K}_2(n) - \frac{1}{12}n^2\mathcal{K}_4(n).$$

**Remark 1.2** In the above expressions the term  $\delta(n)$  will drop very soon for  $n > 1$ . Also, for  $m \geq 2$  we have

$$\varphi_m(n) = \frac{1}{m+1}n^m \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n^m \delta(n) + \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n). \quad (3)$$

Moreover,  $B_{2j-1} = 0$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Thus,  $\beta_{2j-1} = 0$ , and

$$\varphi_m(n) = \frac{1}{m+1}n^m \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n^m \delta(n) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2}} \beta_{2j} n^{m+1-2j} \mathcal{K}_{2j}(n).$$

**Proposition 1.3** Let  $m \geq 0$  be fixed integer. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\varphi_m(n) = \frac{1}{m+1}n^m \varphi(n) + O(n^m), \quad (4)$$

where the constant of  $O$ -term doesn't exceed  $\sum_{j=0}^m |\beta_j|$ .

While the function  $\varphi_0$ , which coincides with the Euler function  $\varphi$  is known to be multiplicative, we deduce the following result examining the multiplicative property of the function  $\varphi_m$  for a given integer  $m \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 1.4** Let  $m \geq 1$  be fixed integer. The function  $\varphi_m(n)$  is not multiplicative.

The relation (4) is the key to study average order of the arithmetic function  $\varphi_m(n)$ . Because of the deep connection to the Euler function, we expect a result similar to

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) = \frac{3}{\pi^2}x^2 + O(xw(x)), \quad (5)$$

which holds as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , with

$$w(x) = (\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log \log x)^{\frac{4}{3}},$$

providing the best error term known to date, due to Walfisz<sup>[2]</sup>. We will use this expression for  $w(x)$  throughout the paper. Indeed, the following generalizations is an immediate corollary of (4) and (5).

**Theorem 1.5** Let  $m \geq 0$  be fixed integer. Then, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi_m(n) = \frac{6}{(m+1)(m+2)\pi^2}x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1}w(x)). \quad (6)$$

In 2017, Tóth <sup>[3]</sup> developed a method to obtain asymptotic expansion for alternating sums of certain arithmetical functions, including  $\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi(n)$ , for which he proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi(n) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} x^2 + O(xw(x)). \tag{7}$$

In this paper, we use his result to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.6** Let  $m \geq 0$  be fixed integer. Then, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi_m(n) = \frac{2}{(m+1)(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)). \tag{8}$$

The following corollary asserts that the sum of the values of  $\varphi_m(n)$  over odd arguments is approximately twice the sum of the values of  $\varphi_m(n)$  over even arguments.

**Corollary 1.7** Let  $m \geq 0$  be fixed integer. Then, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \text{ odd}}} \varphi_m(n) = \frac{4}{(m+1)(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \text{ even}}} \varphi_m(n) = \frac{2}{(m+1)(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)).$$

Finally, as a generalization, for a given polynomial  $G(x) = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$  with  $a_m \neq 0$  we define

$$\varphi_G(n) := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k,n)=1}} G(k).$$

**Corollary 1.8** We have  $\varphi_G(n) = a_m \varphi_m(n) + a_{m-1} \varphi_{m-1}(n) + \dots + a_1 \varphi_1(n) + a_0 \varphi_0(n)$ , and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\varphi_G(n) = \frac{a_m}{m+1} n^m \varphi(n) + O(n^m).$$

Also, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi_G(n) = \frac{6a_m}{(m+1)(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)),$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} \varphi_G(n) = \frac{2a_m}{(m+1)(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)).$$

## 2. Proofs

To prove Theorem 2.1, and then other average results, we follow Apostol <sup>[1]</sup> to obtain the following more general and key result.

**Lemma 2.1** Assume that  $f$  is an arbitrary arithmetic function. Then for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k,n)=1}} f(k) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq \frac{n}{d}}} f(dq). \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** The result is valid for  $n = 1$ . We assume that  $n > 1$ , for which we have  $\delta(n) = 0$ .

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k,n)=1}} f(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k) \delta((k,n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k) \sum_{d|(k,n)} \mu(d) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d|k, d|n} \mu(d) f(k).$$

By taking  $k = dq$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d|k, d|n} \mu(d) f(k) = \sum_{1 \leq dq < n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(dq) = \sum_{1 \leq q < \frac{n}{d}} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(dq) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq q < \frac{n}{d}} f(dq).$$

Now, we note that if  $q = \frac{n}{d}$ , then  $f(dq) = f(n)$ , and since  $n > 1$ , we imply that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(n) = f(n) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \right] = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain (9), and the proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The classical identity

$$\sum_{q=1}^N q^m = \sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j N^{m+1-j}, \quad (10)$$

which is known in literature holds for integers  $m \geq 0$  and  $N \geq 1$ . By using the relations (10) and (9) we get

$$\varphi_m(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq q \leq \frac{n}{d}} (dq)^m = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^m \sum_{1 \leq q \leq \frac{n}{d}} q^m = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j d^{j-1} n^{m+1-j}.$$

Changing the order of sums gives (1).

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Let  $m \geq 2$ . We write

$$\mathcal{K}_j(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)} \kappa(n)^{j-1} \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{j-1}} \right),$$

where as usual  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ , and  $\kappa(n) = \prod_{p|n} p$  denotes the square-free kernel of  $n$ . Thus, for  $j \geq 2$  we have  $|\mathcal{K}_j(n)| \leq n^{j-1}$ , and

$$\left| \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n) \right| \leq n^m \sum_{j=2}^m |\beta_j|.$$

Considering (3) we get

$$\left| \varphi_m(n) - \frac{1}{m+1} n^m \varphi(n) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} n^m \delta(n) + n^m \sum_{j=2}^m |\beta_j|.$$

Note that for each integer  $m \geq 1$  we have  $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{m+1}$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, for any integer  $m \geq 0$  we obtain

$$\left| \varphi_m(n) - \frac{1}{m+1} n^m \varphi(n) \right| \leq n^m \sum_{j=0}^m |\beta_j|.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. For each odd prime  $p$  the relation (4) gives

$$\varphi_m(p) = \frac{1}{m+1} p^m (p-1) + O(p^m),$$

and

$$\varphi_m(2p) = \frac{1}{m+1} (2p)^m (p-1) + O((2p)^m).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\substack{p \rightarrow \infty \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{\varphi_m(2p)}{\varphi_m(p)} = 2^m.$$

Since  $m \geq 1$  is fixed integer and  $\varphi_m(2) = 1$ , thus  $\varphi_m(2p) > \varphi_m(2)\varphi_m(p)$  for prime  $p$  sufficiently large.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By using the expansion (5) and Abel summation<sup>[4]</sup>, for each  $m \geq 0$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} n^m \varphi(n) = \frac{6}{(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)). \quad (11)$$

Considering (4) concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By using the expansion (7) and Abel summation, for each  $m \geq 0$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (-1)^{n-1} n^m \varphi(n) = \frac{2}{(m+2)\pi^2} x^{m+2} + O(x^{m+1} w(x)).$$

Considering (4) concludes the proof.

**Remark 2.2** We take a deep look at the error term in (6) and the missing role of the function  $\mu$  in its estimating. Since  $\varphi_0(n) = \varphi(n)$  and  $\varphi_1(n) = \frac{1}{2}n\varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2}n\delta(n)$ , the approximation (6) holds for  $m = 0$  and  $m = 1$  due to (11). Let  $m \geq 2$  and  $x \geq 1$ . The relation (3) gives

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi_m(n) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{n \leq x} n^m \varphi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} n^m \delta(n) + \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n).$$

The first sum is approximated due to (11). Clearly,  $\sum_{n \leq x} n^m \delta(n) = 1$ . For the third double sum, for which  $j \geq 2$ , we write

$$\sum_{n \leq x} n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} n^{m+1-j} \sum_{d|n} d^{j-1} \mu(d) = \sum_{d \leq x} \sum_{\substack{k \leq \frac{x}{d} \\ k \leq \frac{x}{d}}} (kd)^{m+1-j} d^{j-1} \mu(d) = \sum_{d \leq x} d^m \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{k \leq \frac{x}{d}}} k^{m+1-j}.$$

Note that  $2 \leq j \leq m$ , or  $1 \leq m+1-j \leq m-1$ . The truncated version of (10) gives

$$\sum_{\substack{k \leq \frac{x}{d}}} k^{m+1-j} = \frac{1}{m+2-j} \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{m+2-j} + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{m+1-j}\right).$$

So,

$$\sum_{d \leq x} d^m \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{k \leq \frac{x}{d}}} k^{m+1-j} = \frac{x^{m+2-j}}{m+2-j} \sum_{d \leq x} d^{j-2} \mu(d) + O(x^{m+1}).$$

We recall the approximation  $\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \ll x e^{-c(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{5}}}$ , where  $c > 0$  is constant, and the error term is best known to date, due to Walfisz [2]. This expansion and Abel summation give

$$\sum_{d \leq x} d^{j-2} \mu(d) \ll x^{j-1} e^{-c(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{5}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n) = \sum_{d \leq x} d^m \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{k \leq \frac{x}{d}}} k^{m+1-j} \ll x^{m+1} e^{-c(\log x)^{\frac{3}{5}} (\log \log x)^{\frac{1}{5}}} + x^{m+1} \ll x^{m+1},$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n) = \sum_{j=2}^m \beta_j \sum_{n \leq x} n^{m+1-j} \mathcal{K}_j(n) \ll x^{m+1}.$$

## References

---

- [1] T. M. Apostol. Bernoulli's power-sum formulas revisited. *Math. Gaz.* 2006; 90: 276-279.
- [2] A. Walfisz. *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie*. Berlin: VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften; 1963.
- [3] L. Tóth. Alternating sums concerning multiplicative arithmetic functions. *J. Integer Seq.* 2017; 20: 41.
- [4] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*. 3rd ed. American Mathematical Society; 2015.