

Research Article

On a General Subclass of q -Starlike and q -Convex Analytic Functions

Amnah E. Shammaky¹, Tamer M. Seoudy^{2,3*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics, Jamoum University College, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fayoum University, Fayoum 63514, Egypt

E-mail: tmsaman@uqu.edu.sa

Received: 10 September 2024; **Revised:** 25 October 2024; **Accepted:** 4 November 2024

Abstract: We introduce a certain subclass of analytic functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} involving the q -derivative operator. Some convolution results and Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the analytic functions belonging to this class are derived. We have also provided some results as corollaries of our theorems.

Keywords: univalent function, convolution, q -starlike, q -convex, fekete-szegő problem

MSC: 30C45

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions of the form:

$$\psi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \rho_k \xi^k \quad (1)$$

in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1\}$. If $\psi(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ are analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that $\psi(\xi)$ is subordinate to $\phi(\xi)$, written $\psi(\xi) \prec \phi(\xi)$ if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which (by definition) is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(\xi)| < 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$, such that $\psi(\xi) = \phi(\omega(\xi))$, $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$ (see [1] and [2]). For functions $\psi(\xi)$ given by (1) and $\phi(\xi)$ given by

$$\phi(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k \xi^k, \quad (2)$$

the Hadamard product or convolution of $\psi(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ is defined by

$$(\psi * \phi)(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \rho_k \sigma_k \xi^k = (\phi * \psi)(\xi). \quad (3)$$

For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, we introduce the subclasses $\mathcal{S}[A, B]$ and $\mathcal{C}[A, B]$ of \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}[A, B] = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\xi \psi'(\xi)}{\psi(\xi)} \prec \frac{1+A\xi}{1+B\xi} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}[A, B] = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{(\xi \psi'(\xi))'}{\psi'(\xi)} \prec \frac{1+A\xi}{1+B\xi} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{S}[A, B]$ and $\mathcal{C}[A, B]$ are defined by Janowski [3, 4] (see also [5–11]). We note that $\mathcal{S}[1-2\alpha, -1] = \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}[1-2\alpha, -1] = \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), where $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of \mathcal{A} that consists, respectively, of starlike of order α and convex of order α in \mathbb{U} (see [12, 13]).

Recently, the q -derivative and q -integral defined by Jackson [14, 15] has played a crucial role in the theory of univalent analytic functions especially in defining operators and classes of analytic functions, and studying interesting properties which are related to the Geometric Function Theory (see [16–31]). For $0 < q < 1$, the q -derivative of a function ψ is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) = \frac{\psi(\xi) - \psi(q\xi)}{(1-q)\xi} \quad (\xi \neq 0; 0 < q < 1), \quad (6)$$

and $\mathcal{D}_q \psi(0) = \psi'(0)$ provided that $\psi(\xi)$ is differentiable at 0. From (1) and (6), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \rho_k \xi^{k-1}, \quad (7)$$

where $[k]_q$ is the q -number given by

$$[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} = 1 + q + \dots + q^{k-2} + q^{k-1}. \quad (8)$$

We note that, if $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{A}$, then

(i) $\mathcal{D}_q [\gamma_1 \psi(\xi) \pm \gamma_2 \phi(\xi)] = \gamma_1 \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) \pm \gamma_2 \mathcal{D}_q \phi(\xi)$, where γ_1, γ_2 are constants;

(ii) $\mathcal{D}_q [\psi(\xi)\phi(\xi)] = \phi(\xi) \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \psi(q\xi) \mathcal{D}_q \phi(\xi)$;

(iii) $\mathcal{D}_q \left[\frac{\psi(\xi)}{\phi(\xi)} \right] = \frac{\phi(\xi) \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) - \psi(\xi) \mathcal{D}_q \phi(\xi)}{\phi(\xi)\phi(q\xi)}$.

Also, the q -integral of the function ψ on $[0, \xi]$ is defined as

$$\int_0^\xi \psi(t) d_q t = (1-q)\xi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \psi(q^k \xi) \quad (0 < q < 1). \quad (9)$$

In particular, the q -integral of the function $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1) is given by

$$\int_0^\xi \psi(t) d_q t = \frac{\xi^2}{[2]_q} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \rho_k \frac{\xi^{k+1}}{[k+1]_q}. \quad (10)$$

Again, since $[k+1]_q \rightarrow k+1$ as $q \rightarrow 1^-$, therefore for $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we have

$$\int_0^\xi \psi(t) d_q t \rightarrow \int_0^\xi \psi(t) dt,$$

which is the ordinary integral of the function $\psi(\xi)$ on $[0, \xi]$.

Making use of the q -derivative $\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)$ given by (6) and the definition of the subordination, we introduce the subclass $\mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ of \mathcal{A} for as follows:

Definition 1 A function $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in $\mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} \prec \frac{1+A\xi}{1+B\xi} \quad (11)$$

$$(\xi \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < q < 1; \alpha, \beta \geq 0; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1).$$

The class $\mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ is not empty since the function $\psi(\xi) = z + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2(\alpha + [2]_q \beta)} z^2$ belongs to $\mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$.

We note that

1. $\mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, 0; A, B] = \mathcal{S}_q[A, B]$ (see [32])

$$\mathcal{S}_q[A, B] = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)}{\psi(\xi)} \prec \frac{1+A\xi}{1+B\xi} \right\}.$$

2. $\mathcal{SC}_q[0, \beta; A, B] = \mathcal{C}_q[A, B]$ (see [32])

$$\mathcal{C}_q[A, B] = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} \prec \frac{1+A\xi}{1+B\xi} \right\}.$$

3. $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B] = \mathcal{SC}[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$

$$\mathcal{SC}[\alpha, \beta; A, B] = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{\alpha \xi \psi'(\xi) + \beta \xi (\xi \psi'(\xi))'}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \psi'(\xi)} \prec \frac{1+A\xi}{1+B\xi} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}[\alpha, 0; A, B] = \mathcal{S}[A, B]$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}[0, \beta; A, B] = \mathcal{C}[A, B]$ (see [3, 4]).

4. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; 1-2\gamma, -1] = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$)

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} \right) > \gamma \right\},$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$)

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha \xi \psi'(\xi) + \beta \xi (\xi \psi'(\xi))'}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \psi'(\xi)} \right) > \gamma \right\}.$$

5. For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, 0; \gamma) = \mathcal{S}_q(\gamma)$ (see [33])

$$\mathcal{S}_q(\gamma) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)}{\psi(\xi)} \right) > \gamma \right\},$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{S}_q(\gamma) = \mathcal{S}(\gamma)$ (see [12]).

6. For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(0, \beta; \gamma) = \mathcal{C}_q(\gamma)$ (see [33])

$$\mathcal{C}_q(\gamma) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} \right) > \gamma \right\},$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{C}_q(\gamma) = \mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ (see [12]).

7. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; (1-2\gamma)\delta, -\delta] = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1$)

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} - 1}{\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} + 1 - 2\gamma} \right| < \delta \right\}$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1$)

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{\frac{\alpha \xi \psi'(\xi) + \beta \xi (\xi \psi'(\xi))'}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \psi'(\xi)} - 1}{\frac{\alpha \xi \psi'(\xi) + \beta \xi (\xi \psi'(\xi))'}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \psi'(\xi)} + 1 - 2\gamma} \right| < \delta \right\}.$$

8. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, 0; \gamma, \delta) = \mathcal{S}_q(\gamma, \delta)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1$)

$$\mathcal{S}_q(\gamma, \delta) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{\frac{\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)}{\psi(\xi)} - 1}{\frac{\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)}{\psi(\xi)} + 1 - 2\gamma} \right| < \delta \right\};$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{S}_q(\gamma, \delta) = \mathcal{S}(\gamma, \delta)$ (see [34]).

$$9. \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(0, \beta; \gamma, \delta) = \mathcal{C}_q(\gamma, \delta) (0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_q(\gamma, \delta) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} - 1}{\frac{\mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} + 1 - 2\gamma} \right| < \delta \right\},$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{C}_q(\gamma, \delta) = \mathcal{C}(\gamma, \delta)$ (see [34]).

The aim of the present investigation is to define a general subclass $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ of q -starlike and q -convex analytic functions by using the q -derivative operator. We then investigate some convolution properties and coefficient estimates for functions belonging to this subclass. Furthermore, Fekete-Szegő problems and several inequalities are studied. Various corollaries and consequences of most of our results are connected with earlier works related to the field of investigation here.

2. Convolution properties and coefficient estimates

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 < q < 1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1).

Theorem 1 $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - \left(\frac{\alpha q - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha + \beta(1+q)}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega \right) q \xi^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega q^3 \xi^3}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (12)$$

where Ω is given by

$$\Omega = \Omega(\theta, A, B) = \frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A - B}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$, then there is a Schwarz function $\omega(\xi)$ in \mathbb{U} such that

$$\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} = \frac{1 + A\omega(\xi)}{1 + B\omega(\xi)}, \quad (14)$$

hence

$$\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} \neq \frac{1 + Ae^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}} \quad (0 \leq \theta < 2\pi),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\left(1 + Be^{i\theta}\right) \left\{ \alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)) \right\} - \left(1 + Ae^{i\theta}\right) \left\{ \alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) \right\} \right] \neq 0. \quad (15)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi} = \psi(\xi), \quad (16)$$

$$\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)} = \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi), \quad (17)$$

and

$$\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi(1 + q\xi)}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} = \xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)). \quad (18)$$

Using (16), (17) and (18), Eq. (15) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\xi} \left[\left(1 + Be^{i\theta}\right) \left\{ \psi(\xi) * \frac{\alpha \xi}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)} + \psi(\xi) * \frac{\beta \xi(1 + q\xi)}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right\} \right. \\ & \left. - \left(1 + Ae^{i\theta}\right) \left\{ \psi(\xi) * \frac{\alpha \xi}{1 - \xi} + \psi(\xi) * \frac{\beta \xi}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)} \right\} \right] \\ & = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)(B - A)e^{i\theta}}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - \left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha + \beta(1 + q)}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A - B} \right) q\xi^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{e^{-i\theta} + A}{A - B} q^3 \xi^3}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right] \\ & = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)(B - A)e^{i\theta}}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - \left(\frac{\alpha q - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha + \beta(1 + q)}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega \right) q\xi^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega q^3 \xi^3}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right] \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (12), which proves the necessary condition of Theorem 1.

Reversely, since, it was shown in the first part of the proof that the assumption (15) is equivalent to (12), we obtain that

$$\frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q (\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)} \neq \frac{1 + Ae^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}} \quad (0 \leq \theta < 2\pi), \quad (19)$$

if we denote

$$\varphi(\xi) = \frac{\alpha \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q (\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi))}{\alpha \psi(\xi) + \beta \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)}$$

and

$$\psi(\xi) = \frac{1 + A\xi}{1 + B\xi},$$

the relation (19) shows that $\varphi(\mathbb{U}) \cap \psi(\partial\mathbb{U}) = \emptyset$. Thus, the simply-connected domain $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$ is included in a connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \psi(\partial\mathbb{U})$. From here, using the fact that $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$ together with the univalence of the function ψ , it follows that $\varphi(\xi) \prec \psi(\xi)$, which represents in fact the subordination (13), i.e. $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$. This complete the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 1, we obtain

Corollary 1 $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha + 2\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega \right) \xi^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega \xi^3}{(1 - \xi)^3} \right] \neq 0,$$

where Ω is given by (13).

Putting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain

Corollary 2 [32, Theorem 1] $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_q[A, B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - \Omega q \xi^2}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)} \right] \neq 0,$$

where Ω is given by (13).

Remark 1 Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Corollary 2, we derive the convolution result of the subclass $\mathcal{S}[A, B]$ which improves the result in [11, Theorem 1] (see also [10, Theorem 7]).

Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain

Corollary 3 [32, Theorem 5] $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_q[A, B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - [\Omega(1+q) - 1] q \xi^2}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right] \neq 0,$$

where Ω is given by (13).

Remark 2 Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Corollary 3, we obtain the convolution result of the subclass $\mathcal{C}[A, B]$ which improves the result in [11, Theorem 2].

Theorem 2 $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ if and only if

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta [k]_q + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{([k]_q - 1) (e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{(A - B)} \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \neq 0. \quad (20)$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, we have $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \frac{\xi - \left[\frac{\alpha q - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha + \beta(1+q)}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega \right] q \xi^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \Omega q^3 \xi^3}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right] \neq 0 \quad (21)$$

for all Ω given by (13). The left hand side of (21) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\xi} \left[\psi(\xi) * \left(\frac{\alpha \Omega}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi} + \frac{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta) \Omega}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\xi}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)} + \frac{\beta(1 - \Omega)}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\xi(1 + q\xi)}{(1 - \xi)(1 - q\xi)(1 - q^2\xi)} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi} \left[\frac{\alpha \Omega}{\alpha + \beta} \psi(\xi) + \frac{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta) \Omega}{\alpha + \beta} \xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi) + \frac{\beta(1 - \Omega)}{\alpha + \beta} \xi \mathcal{D}_q (\xi \mathcal{D}_q \psi(\xi)) \right] \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta(\Omega - 1)}{\alpha + \beta} ([k]_q)^2 + \frac{(\alpha - \beta) \Omega - \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} [k]_q - \frac{\alpha \Omega}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta [k]_q + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{([k]_q - 1) (e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{A - B} \rho_k \xi^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 2, we obtain

Corollary 4 $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ if and only if

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta k}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{(k - 1) (e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{A - B} \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \neq 0.$$

Taking $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 2, we get

Corollary 5 [32, Theorem 9] $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_q[A, B]$ if and only if

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - 1) (e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{A - B} \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \neq 0.$$

Taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 2, we get

Corollary 6 [32, Theorem 13] $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_q[A, B]$ if and only if

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \frac{([k]_q - 1)(e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{A - B} \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \neq 0.$$

Theorem 3 If $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta [k]_q + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \{ ([k]_q - 1)(1 - B) + A - B \} |\rho_k| \leq A - B,$$

then $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta [k]_q + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{([k]_q - 1)(e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{A - B} \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \right| \\ & \geq 1 - \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta [k]_q + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{([k]_q - 1)(e^{-i\theta} + B) - A + B}{A - B} \rho_k \xi^{k-1} \right| \\ & \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta [k]_q + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{([k]_q - 1)(1 - B) + A - B}{A - B} |\rho_k| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result follows from Theorem 2. □

Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 3, we obtain

Corollary 7 If $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + k\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \{ (k - 1)(1 - B) + A - B \} |\rho_k| \leq A - B,$$

then $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$.

Remark 3

- (i) Taking $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3, we get the coefficient estimates for the subclass $\mathcal{S}_q[A, B]$ (see [32, Theorem 17]);
- (ii) Taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3, we get the coefficient estimates for the subclass $\mathcal{C}_q[A, B]$ (see [32, Theorem 21]);

3. Fekete-Szegő problems

In this section, we study the Fekete-Szegő problems for the subclass $\mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$. In order to establish our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [35] If

$$\varphi(\xi) = 1 + \varkappa_1 \xi + \varkappa_2 \xi^2 + \dots$$

is an analytic function with positive real part in \mathbb{U} and ν is a complex number, then

$$|\varkappa_2 - \nu \varkappa_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\nu - 1|\}.$$

The result is sharp for

$$\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi^2}{1 - \xi^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}.$$

Lemma 2 [35] If

$$\varphi(\xi) = 1 + \varkappa_1 \xi + \varkappa_2 \xi^2 + \dots$$

is an analytic function with a positive real part in \mathbb{U} , then

$$|\varkappa_2 - \kappa \varkappa_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\kappa + 2 & (\kappa \leq 0), \\ 2 & (0 \leq \kappa \leq 1), \\ 4\kappa - 2 & (\kappa \geq 1), \end{cases}$$

when $\kappa < 0$ or $\kappa > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \kappa < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi^2}{1 - \xi^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $\kappa = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$\varphi(\xi) = \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \xi} \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$$

or one of its rotations. If $\kappa = 1$, the equality holds if and only if $\varphi(\xi)$ is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of $\kappa = 0$.

Also the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \kappa < 1$:

$$|\varkappa_2 - \kappa \varkappa_1^2| + \kappa |\varkappa_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(0 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$|\varkappa_2 - \kappa \varkappa_1^2| + (1 - \kappa) |\varkappa_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa \leq 1\right).$$

Theorem 4 If $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \frac{(A-B)(\alpha+\beta)}{q(1+q)[\alpha+(1+q+q^2)\beta]} \max \left\{ 1; \left| B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{q(1+q)(\alpha+\beta)[\alpha+(1+q+q^2)\beta]}{q[\alpha+(1+q)\beta]^2} \mu \right) \right| \right\}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. If $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$, then there is a Schwarz function $\omega(\xi)$ in \mathbb{U} such that

$$\frac{\alpha\xi \mathcal{D}_q\psi(\xi) + \beta\xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q\psi(\xi))}{\alpha\psi(\xi) + \beta\xi \mathcal{D}_q\psi(\xi)} = \frac{1+A\omega(\xi)}{1+B\omega(\xi)}. \quad (23)$$

Define $\varphi(\xi)$ by

$$\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1+\omega(\xi)}{1-\omega(\xi)} = 1 + \varkappa_1\xi + \varkappa_2\xi^2 + \dots. \quad (24)$$

Since $\omega(\xi)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\Re\{\varphi(\xi)\} > 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+A\omega(\xi)}{1+B\omega(\xi)} &= \frac{1-A+(1+A)\varphi(\xi)}{1-B+(1+B)\varphi(\xi)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{(A-B)}{2}\varkappa_1\xi + \frac{(A-B)}{2} \left[\varkappa_2 - \frac{(1+B)}{2}\varkappa_1^2 \right] \xi^2 + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Substituting (25) in (23), we have

$$\frac{\alpha\xi \mathcal{D}_q\psi(\xi) + \beta\xi \mathcal{D}_q(\xi \mathcal{D}_q\psi(\xi))}{\alpha\psi(\xi) + \beta\xi \mathcal{D}_q\psi(\xi)} = 1 + \frac{(A-B)}{2}\varkappa_1\xi + \frac{(A-B)}{2} \left[\varkappa_2 - \frac{(1+B)}{2}\varkappa_1^2 \right] \xi^2 + \dots. \quad (26)$$

From (26), we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha+(1+q)\beta}{\alpha+\beta}\rho_2 = \frac{(A-B)}{2}\varkappa_1$$

and

$$\frac{q(1+q)[\alpha+(1+q+q^2)\beta]}{\alpha+\beta}\rho_3 - \frac{q[\alpha+(1+q)\beta]^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}\rho_2^2 = \frac{(A-B)}{2} \left[\varkappa_2 - \frac{(1+B)}{2}\varkappa_1^2 \right],$$

or,

$$\rho_2 = \frac{(A-B)(\alpha+\beta)}{2q[\alpha+(1+q)\beta]} \varkappa_1$$

and

$$\rho_3 = \frac{(A-B)(\alpha+\beta)}{2q(1+q)[\alpha+(1+q+q^2)\beta]} \left[\varkappa_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(1+B - \frac{A-B}{q} \right) \varkappa_1^2 \right].$$

Hence, we have

$$\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2 = \frac{(A-B)(\alpha+\beta)}{2q(1+q)[\alpha+(1+q+q^2)\beta]} \{ \varkappa_2 - \kappa\varkappa_1^2 \}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \left[1+B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{q(1+q)(\alpha+\beta)[\alpha+(1+q+q^2)\beta]}{q[\alpha+(1+q)\beta]^2} \mu \right) \right]. \quad (28)$$

Our result (22) now follows from Lemma 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 4, we obtain

Corollary 8 If $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \frac{(A-B)(\alpha+\beta)}{2(\alpha+3\beta)} \max \left\{ 1; \left| B - (A-B) \left(1 - \frac{2(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+3\beta)}{(\alpha+2\beta)^2} \mu \right) \right| \right\}.$$

Putting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 4, we obtain

Corollary 9 If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_q[A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)} \max \left\{ 1; \left| B - \frac{A-B}{q} (1 - (1+q)\mu) \right| \right\}.$$

Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 4, we obtain

Corollary 10 If $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_q[A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} \max \left\{ 1; \left| B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1+q+q^2}{1+q} \mu \right) \right| \right\}. \quad (29)$$

Theorem 5 Let

$$\chi_1 = \frac{[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]^2 [A - (1+q)B - q]}{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta](A-B)},$$

$$\chi_2 = \frac{[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]^2 [A - (1+q)B + q]}{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta](A-B)},$$

$$\chi_3 = \frac{[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]^2 [A - (1+q)B]}{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta](A-B)}.$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(A-B)(\alpha + \beta)}{q(1+q)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]} \right. \\ \left. \left[B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]\mu}{[\alpha + q(1+q)\beta]^2} \right) \right] \right] & (\mu \leq \chi_1), \\ \frac{(A-B)(\alpha + \beta)}{q(1+q)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]} & (\chi_1 \leq \mu \leq \chi_2), \\ \left[\frac{(A-B)(\alpha + \beta)}{q(1+q)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]} \right. \\ \left. \left[B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]\mu}{[\alpha + q(1+q)\beta]^2} \right) \right] \right] & (\mu \geq \chi_2). \end{cases}$$

Further, if $\chi_1 \leq \mu \leq \chi_3$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{q[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]^2}{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]} \left[\frac{1+B}{A-B} - \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]\mu}{[\alpha + q(1+q)\beta]^2} \right) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{(A-B)(\alpha + \beta)}{q(1+q)[\alpha + q(1+q)\beta]}.$$

If $\chi_3 \leq \mu \leq \chi_2$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{q[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]^2}{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q+q^2)\beta]} \left[\frac{1-B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{(1+q)(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + (1+q)\beta]\mu}{[\alpha + q(1+q)\beta]^2} \right) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{(A-B)(\alpha + \beta)}{q(1+q)[\alpha + q(1+q)\beta]}.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2 to (27) and (28), we can obtain our results asserted by Theorem 5. □

Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 5, we obtain

Corollary 11 Let

$$\chi_4 = \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 \{A - 2B - 1\}}{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)(A - B)},$$

$$\chi_5 = \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 \{A - 2B + 1\}}{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)(A - B)},$$

$$\chi_6 = \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 (A - 2B)}{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)(A - B)}.$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{SC}[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(A - B)(\alpha + \beta)}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} & (\mu \leq \chi_4), \\ \left[B - (A - B) \left(1 - \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} \mu \right) \right] & (\mu \leq \chi_4), \\ \frac{(A - B)(\alpha + \beta)}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} & (\chi_4 \leq \mu \leq \chi_5), \\ \frac{(A - B)(\alpha + \beta)}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)} & (\chi_4 \leq \mu \leq \chi_5), \\ \left[B - (A - B) \left(1 - \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} \mu \right) \right] & (\mu \geq \chi_5). \end{cases}$$

Further, if $\chi_4 \leq \mu \leq \chi_6$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)(\alpha + \beta)} \left[\frac{1 + B}{A - B} - \left(1 - \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} \mu \right) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{(A - B)(\alpha + \beta)}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)}.$$

If $\chi_6 \leq \mu \leq \chi_5$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)(\alpha + \beta)} \left[\frac{1 - B}{A - B} + 1 - \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + 3\beta)}{(\alpha + 2\beta)^2} \mu \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{(A - B)(\alpha + \beta)}{2(\alpha + 3\beta)}.$$

Putting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 5, we obtain

Corollary 12 Let

$$\chi_7 = \frac{A - (1+q)B - q}{(1+q)(A-B)},$$

$$\chi_8 = \frac{A - (1+q)B + q}{(1+q)(A-B)},$$

$$\chi_9 = \frac{A - (1+q)B}{(1+q)(A-B)}.$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_q[A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} -\frac{A-B}{q(1+q)} \left[B - \frac{A-B}{q} (1 - (1+q)\mu) \right] & (\mu \leq \chi_7), \\ \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)} & (\chi_7 \leq \mu \leq \chi_8), \\ \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)} \left[B - \frac{A-B}{q} (1 - (1+q)\mu) \right] & (\mu \geq \chi_8). \end{cases}$$

Further, if $\chi_7 \leq \mu \leq \chi_9$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{q}{1+q} \left[\frac{1+B}{A-B} - \frac{1}{q} (1 - (1+q)\mu) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)}.$$

If $\chi_9 \leq \mu \leq \chi_8$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{q}{1+q} \left[\frac{1-B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{q} (1 - (1+q)\mu) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)}.$$

Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 5, we obtain

Corollary 13 Let

$$\chi_{10} = \frac{(1+q)[A - (1+q)B - q]}{A-B},$$

$$\chi_{11} = \frac{(1+q)[A - (1+q)B + q]}{A-B},$$

$$\chi_{12} = \frac{(1+q)[A - (1+q)B]}{A-B}.$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_q[A, B]$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} -\frac{A-B}{q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} \left[B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1+q+q^2}{1+q} \mu \right) \right] & (\mu \leq \chi_{10}), \\ \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} & (\chi_{10} \leq \mu \leq \chi_{11}), \\ \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} \left[B - \frac{A-B}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1+q+q^2}{1+q} \mu \right) \right] & (\mu \geq \chi_{11}). \end{cases}$$

Further, if $\chi_{10} \leq \mu \leq \chi_{12}$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{q(1+q)}{1+q+q^2} \left[\frac{1+B}{A-B} - \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1+q+q^2}{1+q} \mu \right) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)}.$$

If $\chi_{12} \leq \mu \leq \chi_{11}$, then

$$|\rho_3 - \mu\rho_2^2| + \frac{q(1+q)}{1+q+q^2} \left[\frac{1-B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1+q+q^2}{1+q} \mu \right) \right] |\rho_2|^2 \leq \frac{A-B}{q(1+q)(1+q+q^2)}.$$

Remark 4 For different choices of the parameters α, β, A, B , and q in the above theorems, we can get the corresponding results for each of the following subclasses $\mathcal{S}[A, B], \mathcal{C}[A, B], \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma), \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma), \mathcal{S}_q(\gamma), \mathcal{S}(\gamma), \mathcal{C}_q(\gamma), \mathcal{C}(\gamma), \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta), \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta), \mathcal{S}_q(\gamma, \delta), \mathcal{S}(\gamma, \delta), \mathcal{C}_q(\gamma, \delta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\gamma, \delta)$ which are defined in Introduction section.

4. Conclusions

In our present investigation, we have defined a general subclass $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}_q[\alpha, \beta; A, B]$ of normalized analytic functions associated with q -derivative operator. For functions belonging to this subclass, we have derived some interesting results such as convolution properties, coefficient estimates and the Fekete-Szegő problems the estimates. Furthermore, interesting corollaries and particular cases are shown for each of those results for particular choices of parameters found in the definition of this subclass. Our results are connected with those in several earlier works, which are related to the Geometric Function Theory.

For future studies, we can define the same subclass in the case of multivalent analytic functions by using q -derivative operator and study the same properties that we studied in this paper.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] Bulboacă T. *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations: Recent Results*. Casa Cărții de Știință [House of the Science Book]; 2005.
- [2] Miller SS, Mocanu PT. *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications*. Boca Raton, CRC Press; 2000.
- [3] Janowski W. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences*. 1973; 21: 17-25.
- [4] Janowski W. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*. 1973; 28: 297-326.
- [5] Ahuja OP. Families of analytic functions related to Ruscheweyh derivatives and subordinate to convex functions. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*. 1993; 41: 39-50.
- [6] Goel RM, Mehrotra BS. On the coefficients of a subclass of starlike functions. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 1981; 12: 634-647.
- [7] Goswami P, Bulut S, Bulboacă T. Certain properties of a new subclass of close-to-convex functions. *Arabian Journal of Mathematics*. 2012; 1(3): 309-317.
- [8] Goyal SP, Goswami P, Cho NE. Argument estimates for certain analytic functions associated with the convolution structure. *Journal of Inequalities and Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 2009; 10(1): 1-13.
- [9] Silverman H, Silvia EM, Telage D. Convolution conditions for convexity, starlikeness and spiral-likeness. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*. 1978; 162: 125-130.
- [10] Silverman H, Silvia EM. Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*. 1985; 1: 48-61.
- [11] Aouf MK, Seoudy TM. Classes of analytic functions related to the Dziok-Srivastava operator. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*. 2011; 22(6): 423-430.
- [12] Robertson MS. On the theory of univalent functions. *Annals of Mathematics*. 1936; 37: 374-408.
- [13] Silverman H. Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 1975; 51: 109-116.
- [14] Jackson FH. On q -definite integrals. *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 1910; 41: 193-203.
- [15] Jackson FH. q -difference equations. *American Journal of Mathematics*. 1910; 32: 305-314.
- [16] Abubaker AA, Matarneh K, Khan MF, Al-Shaikh SB, Kamal M. Study of quantum calculus for a new subclass of q -starlike bi-univalent functions connected with vertical strip domain. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2024; 9(5): 11789-11804.
- [17] Adegani EA, Mohammed NH, Bulboacă T. Majorizations for subclasses of analytic functions connected with the q -difference operator. *Reports of the Circolo Matematico di Palermo*. 2024; 2: 1-18.
- [18] Ali EE, Oros GI, Shah SA, Albalahi AM. Applications of q -calculus multiplier operators and subordination for the study of particular analytic function subclasses. *Mathematics*. 2023; 11: 2705.
- [19] Ali EE, Oros GI, Albalahi AM. Differential subordination and superordination studies involving symmetric functions using a q -analogue multiplier operator. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2023; 8(11): 27924-27946.
- [20] Ali EE, El-Ashwah RM, Albalahi AM, Sidaoui R, Moumen A. Inclusion properties for analytic functions of q -analogue multiplier-ruscheweyh operator. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2024; 9(3): 6772-6783.
- [21] Aouf MK, Frasin BA, Murugusundaramoorthy G. Subordination results for a class of analytic functions. *Moroccan Journal of Pure and Applied Analysis*. 2021; 7(1): 30-42.
- [22] Aouf MK, Seoudy TM. Convolution properties for classes of bounded analytic functions with complex order defined by q -derivative operator. *Journal of the Royal Academy of Precision Physics and Natural Sciences*. 2019; 113: 1279-1288.
- [23] Aouf MK, Seoudy TM. Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic functions with complex order defined by q -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator. *Constructive Mathematics and Analysis*. 2020; 3(1): 36-44.
- [24] Aral A, Gupta V, Agarwal RP. *Applications of q -Calculus in Operator Theory*. New York: Springer; 2013.
- [25] Cofirlă LI, Murugusundaramoorthy G. Starlike functions based on ruscheweyh q -differential operator defined in Janowski domain. *Fractal and Fractional*. 2023; 7(2): 148.
- [26] Jabeen K, Saliu A, Gong J, Hussain S. Majorization problem for q -general family of functions with bounded radius rotations. *Mathematics*. 2024; 12(17): 2605.
- [27] Kac VG, Cheung P. *Quantum Calculus*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2002.

- [28] Khan MF, AbaOud M. New applications of fractional q -calculus operator for a new subclass of q -starlike functions related with the cardioid domain. *Fractal and Fractional*. 2024; 8(1): 71.
- [29] Mohammed NH, Adegani EA. Majorization problems for class of q -starlike functions. *African Mathematics*. 2023; 34: 66.
- [30] Seoudy TM, Shammaky AE. Certain subclasses of spiral-like functions associated with q -analogue of Carlson-Shaffer operator. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2021; 6(3): 2525-2538.
- [31] Srivastava HM, Seoudy TM, Aouf MK. A generalized conic domain and its applications to certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with the basic (or q -) calculus. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2021; 6(6): 6580-6602.
- [32] Seoudy TM, Aouf MK. Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by q -derivative operator. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 2014; 2014: 846719.
- [33] Seoudy TM, Aouf MK. Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*. 2016; 10(1): 135-145.
- [34] Gupta VP, Jain PK. Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients II. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*. 1976; 15(3): 467-473.
- [35] Ma WC, Minda D. A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*. Cambridge, MA: Internat Press; 1992. p.157-169.