Research Article



Interconnection Between Schur Stability and Structured Singular Values

M. Rehman¹, J. Alzabut^{2,3*}, M. Tayyab⁴, F. Amir¹

¹Center for Research and Innovation, Asia International University, Yangiobod MFY, G'ijduvon Street, 2001, Bukhara, Uzbekistan ²Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, 12435, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Industrial Engineering, OSTIM Technical University, Ankara, 06374, Turkey

⁴School of Computing Sciences, Pak-Austria Fachhochschule Institute of Applied Sciences and Technology, Mang, 22621, Haripur, Pakistan

E-mail: jehad.alzabut@ostimteknik.edu.tr

Received: 11 September 2024; Revised: 13 December 2024; Accepted: 26 December 2024

Abstract: In this paper, we present new results on the interconnections between Schur stability and structured singular values of real-valued matrices, denoted as $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Most new findings are obtained for n = 2 and n = 3. These novel insights into the relationship between Schur stability and structured singular values are developed by applying various tools from linear algebra, system theory, and matrix analysis. Schur stability ensures that all eigenvalues lie within the unit circle in the complex plane, which is fundamental for the boundedness and stability of system responses. Structured singular values, on the other hand, provide a measure of robustness, stability, and performance against structured perturbations in system parameters, offering valuable insights into the stability margins and performance limits under such uncertainties.

Keywords: Schur stability, Schur D-stability, linear dynamics, singular values, structured singular values

MSC: 15A18, 14C20, 37C75

1. Introduction

The Schur stable matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is the one whose all eigenvalues $\sigma(A)$ lie in the open unit disk. Furthermore, for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ to be a Schur stable matrix, its spectral radius $\rho(A) < 1$. The concept of Schur stability is closely related to Schur *D*-stability in the sense that *A* is Schur *D*-stable if and only if for each *D*, a positive diagonal matrix, the matrix *DA* is Schur stable [1].

For a given concrete problem (see [2]) $x_{k+1} = Ax_k$, with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, x_k is the state of the linear dynamical system at a given time *k*. The natural choice of initial state x = 0 acts as an arbitrary, on the other hand, if the linear dynamical system has different equilibrium points, so there is a need to make a shift in the origin by an affine change of coordinates.

Stability analysis is a fundamental concept in various areas of science and, in particular, engineering. Linear algebra plays an important role in studying the stability analysis of linear dynamical system $x_{k+1} = Ax_k$. For instance, the computation of eigenvalues and singular values discusses the stability of such a system. But, once such systems are subject to external perturbations in the form of structured or unstructured uncertainties, then one needs the computation of structured singular values to analyze the stability and instability of such systems.

Copyright ©2024 J. Alzabut, et al.

DÓÍ: https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.6120255707 This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

In literature [3], the most common mathematical technique to deal with Schur stability is bi-linear transformation [4, 5], followed by the use of Hurwitz stability tools. On the other hand, we can use bi-quadratic transformation [6] to study and discuss the Schur stability of the linear dynamical system. There is a vast amount of literature to study Schur stability, for instance, see [7–19] and the references therein.

A comprehensive and detailed analysis of the necessary and sufficient conditions for Schur stability, using the Schur-Cohn criterion, is presented in [20]. The investigation into the robust Schur stability of *n*-dimensional matrix segments, employing the bi-alternate product of matrices, is discussed in [21]. Furthermore, the authors demonstrated that the problem under consideration allows us to examine and analyze the negative spectrum (the eigenvalues) in two out of three constructed matrices, as well as the presence of the spectrum in the interval $[1, \infty)$ in a third matrix.

The structured singular value introduced by Doyle [22] describes both the stability and performance of linear dynamical systems. Unfortunately, the exact determination of structured singular values is NP-hard [23]. Due to this limitation, various mathematical techniques have been developed [24–26] to specifically address the problem in a lower-dimensional linear dynamical system.

The *n*-dimensional diagonal matrix is defined as:

$$diag(\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n) : \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For a given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, the largest singular value is denoted by $\sigma_1(A)$. The uncertainty set, that is, the set of diagonal matrices, \mathbb{B}_1 , is defined by

$$\mathbb{B}_1 := \{ diag(\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n) : \delta_i \in \mathbb{R}, \forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}.$$

For each $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta \ge 0$, we define the set X_{δ} as

 $X_{\delta} = \{ diag(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n, \dots, \Delta_n,) : \sigma_1(\Delta_j) \le \delta, \quad \forall j = 1 : n \},\$

and $\Delta_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m_j, m_j}, \forall j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

For a given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, and \mathbb{B}_1 , as defined above, the structured singular value is denoted by $\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A)$. The quantity $\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A) = 0$ if there there exists no $\Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$ such that $det(I - A\Delta) = 0$, $\forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$: otherwise,

$$\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A) := (min\{\sigma_1(\Delta) : det(I - A\Delta) = 0\})^{-1}, \ \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1,$$

where minimum is over all perturbations $\Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$.

The spectral radius of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$ is denoted by $\rho(\cdot)$ and is defined as

$$\rho(A) := max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \cdots, |\lambda_n|\},\$$

with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of matrix *A*. The main contribution of this article is to present some new results on the interconnection between Schur stability and structured singular values for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$. Our results on Schur stability are more general in the sense that they apply to both complex-valued matrices as well as the real-valued matrices.

2. Preliminaries results on $\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A)$

In this section, we recall some important properties and results on structured singular values.

2.1 Properties of structured singular values

Some of the basic properties of structured singular values are taken from [22] (properties P_1 to P_4) and from [27] (properties P_5 to P_8), and they are as follows:

 $P_1: \mu(\alpha A) = |\alpha|\mu(A)$ for a square matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$.

*P*₂: $\mu(I) = 1$, for an identity matrix *I*.

 $P_3: \mu(AB) \leq \sigma_1(A)\mu(B)$, where $\sigma_1(\cdot)$ is the largest singular value of matrix, and B is also a square matrix.

- $P_4: \ \mu(\Delta) = \sigma_1(\Delta), \ \forall \ \Delta \in X_{\delta}.$
- *P*₅: Let $\Delta_0 = {\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}}$, then $\mu(A) = \rho(A)$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radius of *A*.

 $P_{6}: \text{Let } \Delta = \{ diag(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \dots, \Delta_{n}) : \Delta_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{n, n} \}, \text{ then } \mu_{\Delta}(A) = \mu_{\Delta}(D^{-1}AD), \text{ where } D = \{ diag(d_{1}, \dots, d_{n}), |d_{i}| > 0 \}.$ $P_{7}: \text{Let } \Delta_{0} = diag(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \dots, \Delta_{n}), \Delta_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{n, n}, \text{ then } \rho(A) < \mu(A) < \sigma_{1}(A).$

 P_8 : From P_6 and P_7 , we have that

$$\mu(A) = \mu(D^{-1}AD) \leq \inf \sigma_1(D^{-1}AD),$$

where **inf** is taken over *D*.

2.2 Results on the computation of structured singular values

Next, we recall some of the well-known results on the computation of structured singular values. There is an alternative expression concerning the computation of structured singular values for a given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, and \mathbb{B}_1 . This fact is provided in Lemma 3.7 taken from [28], which shows that the computation of structured singular values is equivalent to the computation of the spectral radius of an admissible perturbation from \mathbb{B}_1 times the given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$.

Lemma 1 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$, then

$$\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A) = \max \rho(\Delta A),$$

where $\Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$, and the **max** is taken over all such Δ 's.

For $Q \in \mathbb{B}_1$, we define a set \mathbb{Q}_1 as

$$\mathbb{Q}_1 = \{ Q \in \mathbb{B}_1 : Q^*Q = I_n \},\$$

and consider a positive diagonal matrix D. Then, the computation of structured singular value is given by following Theorem 3.8, taken from [28].

Theorem 2 Let $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_1$, and D > 0, a positive diagonal matrix. Then

$$\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(AQ) = \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(QA) = \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A) = \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(D^{\frac{1}{2}}AD^{\frac{-1}{2}}).$$

1 1

3. New results on Schur stability and $\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A)$

In this section, we present some new results on the interconnection between Schur stability, and $\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A)$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$. The set \mathbb{B}_1 , the set of uncertainties is defined in the introductory section.

Assumption 3 For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$, the spectrum $\sigma(A) = {\lambda_i}_{i=1}^2$ does not contain the zero eigenvalue.

The following Theorem 4 discusses an interconnection between 2-dimensional Schur stable matrices, and structured singular value $\mu_{\mathbb{B}_1}(A)$, where \mathbb{B}_1 , is the set of block diagonal matrices.

Theorem 4 Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. Then A is Schur stable if

$$0 \le \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1} \left[(I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \right] < 1,$$

where I_2 is a 2-dimensional identity matrix.

Proof. Let $\Delta = (I_2 - D)(I_2 + D)^{-1}$ is with 2-dimensional diagonal structure. The matrix $D = diag(d_{ii})$, for all i = 1, 2, is a real positive diagonal matrix. The matrix D in term of $\Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$ is of the form

$$D = (I_2 + \Delta)^{-1} (I_2 - \Delta), \ \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1.$$

To show that $0 \le \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1} \left[(I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \right] < 1$, it is necessary to show that

$$\lambda_1 \left[I_2 - (I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \Delta \right] \neq 0, \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$$

and

$$\lambda_2 \left[I_2 - (I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \Delta \right] \neq 0, \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1.$$

The rank $[A + (I_2 + \Delta)^{-1}(I_2 - \Delta)]$ will lead us to proof, that is,

$$rank\left[A + (I_2 + \Delta)^{-1}(I_2 - \Delta)\right] = rank\left[(I_2 + A) - (I_2 - A)\Delta\right], \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$$

This leads us to matrix $(I_2 - (I_2 + A)^{-1}(I_2 - A)\Delta), \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$. From this, it is further obvious that

$$\lambda_1 \left[I_2 - (I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \Delta \right] \neq 0, \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1,$$

and

$$\lambda_2 \left[I_2 - (I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \Delta \right] \neq 0, \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1,$$

which is the necessary condition that

Contemporary Mathematics

$$0 \le \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1} \left[(I_2 + A)^{-1} (I_2 - A) \right] < 1.$$

Theorem 5 provides an interconnection between Schur stable matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$ and the structured singular value of $(I_2 + DA + A^T D)^{-1}(I_2 - DA - A^T D)$, where A^T is the transpose of A.

Theorem 5 Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. Then A is Schur Stable if

$$0 \le \mu_{\mathbb{B}_1} \left[(I_2 + DA + A^T D)^{-1} (I_2 - DA - A^T D) \right] < 1,$$

where $D = diag(d_{ii}), d_{ii} > 0, \forall i = 1, 2.$

Proof. Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$, a block diagonal structure, that is, $\Delta = (I_2 - D)(I_2 + D)^{-1}$, $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ such that $d_{11} > 0$, $d_{22} > 0$. As, $\lambda_1(DA + A^TD) \neq 0$, $\forall D$, and $\lambda_2(DA + A^TD) \neq 0$, $\forall D$. This allows us to have that

$$\lambda_{1,2} \left[DA + A^T D + (\mathbf{i}I_2 + \Delta)^{-1} (I_2 - \Delta) \right] \neq 0, \ \forall D, \ \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1$$

This implies

$$\lambda_{1,2}\left[(I_2 + DA + A^TD) - (I_2 - DA - A^TD)\Delta\right] \neq 0, \ \forall D, \ \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1.$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_{1,2}\left[I_2 - (I_2 + DA + A^T D)^{-1}(I_2 - DA - A^T D)\Delta\right] \neq 0, \ \forall D, \ \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{B}_1.$$

The last expression for $\lambda_{1,2}$ is precisely the necessary condition that

$$0 \le \mu_{\mathbf{B}_1} \left[(I_2 + DA + A^T D)^{-1} (I_2 - DA - A^T D) \right] < 1.$$

In Theorem 6, the inequalities present the conditions for Schur stability and structured singular values for a threedimensional real-valued matrix.

Theorem 6 Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{3,3}$. Then A is Schur stable if 1. $|\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) - (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33})| < 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A)$, and 2. $0 \le \mu_S(A_S(v)) < \sigma_1(A_S(v)) \le 1$, where $\sigma_1(A_S(v))$ is the largest singular value of $A_S(v) := S^{-1}A$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & v^T v \end{pmatrix}$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

Volume 6 Issue 1|2025| 67

Contemporary Mathematics

Proof. Consider that $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) - (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33}) \ge 0$, and $\prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) > 0$. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3,3}$, we know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) + \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) - 1 < (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33})$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) + \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) < 1 + (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33})$$

subtract $(m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33})$ from the last inequality, we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}(A) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}(A) - (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33})$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}(A) + \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}(A)(m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33}) - (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33}) - \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{2}(A)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}(A) - (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33}).$$

This imply

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A) - (m_{11} + m_{22} + m_{33}) < 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i^2(A).$$

Since, $1 - \prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i^2(A) > 0$ because $|\prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i(A)| < 1$. (*ii*) The matrix decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$, (n = 3 can be taken) can be written as

$$A = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} V^T,$$

where U, V are unitary matrices. Let $\sigma_1(A_S) = ||AQ_1||_2 = ||A||_2$, $||Q_1||_2 = 1$, with $||\cdot||_2$ is matrix 2-norm defined over

the real-valued matrix. Let $u_1 = \frac{AQ_1}{\sigma_1}$, this yields $||u_1||_2 = \frac{||AQ_1||_2}{||A||_2} = 1$. Also, take $U = (u_1|U_2)$, and $V = (v_1|V_2)$. Then the matrix product $U^T A V$ becomes

$$(u_1|U_2)A(v_1|V_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & a^T \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

Contemporary Mathematics

68 | J. Alzabut, et al.

where $u_1^T u_1 = 1$, $U_2^T u_1 = 0$, $a = V_2^T A u_1$, and $B = U_2^T A V_2$. Take a = 0, yields

$$\sigma_1^2(A_S) = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & u^T \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} x \right\|_2^2}{||x||_2^2}$$

Replacing $x \rightarrow a$, gives

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_1^2 + a^T a, \implies a = 0$$

and thus,

$$U^T A V = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$A = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} V^T.$$

To show that $0 \le \mu_S(A_S(v)) \le \sigma_1(A_S(v))$, we have that

$$A_S(v)$$
: = $\begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ \frac{1}{v}M_{11} & \frac{1}{v}M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.

Also,

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & A_S(v) \\ A_S^T(v) & I \end{pmatrix} > 0 \iff I - A_S(v)IA_S^T(v) \ge 0.$$

From this, it follows that

$$\lambda_i(I - A_S(v)A_S^T(v)) \ge 0, \ \forall i.$$

implies $0 \leq \sigma_1(A_S(v)) \leq 1$.

The following Theorem 7 show that the given real-value d Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3,3}$ is Schur stable if it can decomposed as A = P - Q.

Theorem 7 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3,3}$ be a singular matrix, and let A = P - Q. Then, the matrix $P^{-1}Q$ is Schur stable, that is,

Volume 6 Issue 1|2025| 69

Contemporary Mathematics

$$\max_i |\lambda_i(P^{-1}Q)| < 1.$$

Proof. As A = P - Q, with P, Q being as the Hermitian matrices such that P^{-1} exists, then $A^{-1}Q$ can be written as

$$A^{-1} = (P - Q)^{-1} = (I_3 - P^{-1}Q)P^{-1}Q.$$

The expression for $P^{-1}Q$ is

$$P^{-1}Q = (A+Q)^{-1}Q = (I_3 + A^{-1}Q)^{-1}A^{-1}Q.$$

For $x \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3, 1}$, we have

$$A^{-1}Px = \frac{1}{1 - \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(P^{-1}Q)|} x.$$

Finally,

$$A^{-1}Qx \ge P^{-1}Qx$$

$$\iff \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(P^{-1}Q)| x \left(1 - \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(P^{-1}Q)|\right)^{-1} \ge \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(P^{-1}Q)| x$$

$$\iff \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(P^{-1}Q)| < 1.$$

Theorem 8 gives the condition for Schur stability of given matrix while taking into account the computations of its eigenvectors, and an admissible perturbation $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$.

Theorem 8 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then, A is Schur stable for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon x - Ax > 0$, where x > 0, is an eigenvector, such that

$$\max_{i} |\lambda_i(A)| < \varepsilon, \ \varepsilon \in [0, 1).$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward by letting y > 0, the left eigenvector such that

$$y^{T}(\varepsilon x - Ax) > 0 \iff \left(\varepsilon - \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(A)|\right) y^{T}x > 0.$$

Since, x > 0, $y^T > 0$, the last inequality becomes

Contemporary Mathematics

4. Conclusion

The present work introduces novel findings on the relationship between Schur stability and structured singular values of real-valued *n*-dimensional matrices. We derive these findings by using a range of mathematical techniques from linear algebra, matrix analysis, and system theory. Both Schur's stability and structured singular values play a pivotal role in modern system theory and the design of robust systems. Schur stability ensures the boundedness and stability of system responses by requiring that all eigenvalues lie within the unit circle. In contrast, structured singular values provide a means to quantify a system's resilience, stability, and performance under organized disturbances in system parameters. This quantification offers critical insights into the stability margins and performance boundaries in the presence of uncertainties.

Acknowledgement

M. Rehman and F. Amir express their gratitude to Asia International University for its assistance. M. Tayyab extends his sincere appreciation to the Pak-Austria Fachhochschule Institute of Applied Sciences and Technology for their invaluable encouragement. J. Alzabut acknowledges the ongoing contributions of Prince Sultan University and OSTIM Technical University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- Bhaya A, Kaszkurewicz E. On discrete-time diagonal and D-stability. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 1993; 187: 87-104. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(93)90129-C.
- [2] Laurent M, Vallentin F. Semidefinite Optimization. Citeseer; 2012.
- [3] Garza LE, Martínez N, Romero G. New stability criteria for discrete linear systems based on orthogonal polynomials. *Mathematics*. 2020; 8(8): 1322. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/math8081322.
- [4] Åström KJ, Wittenmark B. Computer-Controlled Systems: Theory and Design. MA: Courier Corporation; 2013.
- [5] Out H. Digital Control Systems. 1992.
- [6] Jalili-Kharaajoo M, Araabi BN. The Schur stability via the Hurwitz stability analysis using a biquadratic transformation. *Automatica*. 2005; 41(4): 173-176. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2004.09. 004.
- [7] Fleming R, Grossman G, Lenker T, Narayan S, Ong SC. On Schur D-stable matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. 1998; 279(1-3): 39-50. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2004.09.004.
- [8] Xu H, Datta A, Bhattacharyya SP. Computation of all stabilizing PID gains for digital control systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.* 2001; 46(4): 647-652. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ACC.2001. 946041.
- [9] Jury E. A stability test for linear discrete systems in table form. *Proceedings of the IRE*. 1961; 50: 1947-1948.

- [10] Jury EI, Blanchard J. A stability test for linear discrete systems using a simple division. *Proceedings of the IRE*. 1961; 49: 1949.
- [11] Choo Y. An elementary proof of the Jury test for real polynomials. *Automatica*. 2011; 47(1): 249-252. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2010.10.040.
- [12] Perez F, Abdallah C, Docampo D. Extreme-point stability tests for discrete-time polynomials. In: Proceedings of the 31st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control. Tucson, AZ, USA: IEEE; 1992. p.1552-1553. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.1992.371470.
- [13] Shiomi K, Otsuka N, Inaba H, Ishii R. The property of bilinear transformation matrix and Schur stability for a linear combination of polynomials. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*. 1999; 336(3): 533-541. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-0032(98)00039-8.
- [14] Ackermann JE, Barmish BR. Robust Schur stability of a polytope of polynomials. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 1998; 33(10): 984-986. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/9.7261.
- [15] Bose N, Jury E, Zeheb E. On robust Hurwitz and Schur polynomials. In: 25th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control. Athens, Greece: IEEE; 1986. p.739-744. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.1986.267453.
- [16] Greiner R. Necessary conditions for Schur-stability of interval polynomials. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 2004; 49(5): 740-744. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2004.825963.
- [17] Kraus F, Mansour M, Jury EI. Robust Schur-stability of interval polynomials. In: *Proceedings of the 28th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. IEEE; 1989. p.1908-1910. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC. 1989.70493.
- [18] Shih MH, Pang CT. Simultaneous Schur stability of interval matrices. Automatica. 2008; 44(10): 2621-2627. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2008.02.026.
- [19] Pastravanu O, Matcovschi MH. Sufficient conditions for Schur and Hurwitz diagonal stability of complex interval matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 2015; 467: 149-173. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa. 2014.11.005.
- [20] Oaxaca-Adams G, Villafuerte-Segura R, Aguirre-Hernández B. On Schur stability for families of polynomials. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*. 2024; 361(4): 106644. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2024.01. 045.
- [21] Yilmaz S. Schur stability of matrix segment via bialternate product. arXiv:240618973. 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.18973.
- [22] Doyle J. Analysis of feedback systems with structured uncertainties. *IEEE Proceedings D (Control Theory and Applications)*. 1982; 129(6): 242-250. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1049/ip-d.1982.0053.
- [23] Braatz RP, Young PM, Doyle JC, Morari M. Computational complexity of μ-calculation. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 1994; 39(5): 1000-1002. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/9.284879.
- [24] De Gaston RR, Safonov MG. Exact calculation of the multiloop stability margin. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 1988; 33(2): 156-171. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/9.383.
- [25] Rehman MU, Tayyab M, Anwar MF. Computing μ-values for real and mixed μ problems. *Mathematics*. 2019; 7(9): 0821. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/math7090821.
- [26] Newlin MP, Young PM. Mixed μ problems and branch and bound techniques. International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control: IFAC-Affiliated Journal. 1997; 7(2): 145-164.
- [27] Dahleh M, Dahleh M, Verghese G. *Dynamic Systems and Control*. Cambridge: Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology; 2011.
- [28] Packard A, Doyle J. The complex structured singular value. Automatica. 1993; 29(1): 71-109.