



## Research Article

# Some Properties of Analytic Functions Associated with Erdély-Kober Integral Operator

Niranjan Hari<sup>1</sup>, Chandrasekaran Nataraj<sup>2\*</sup>, Thirupathi Reddy<sup>1</sup>, Sathish Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Vellore Institute of Technology: Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Asia Pacific University of Technology and Innovation, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia  
E-mail: [chandrasekharan@apu.edu.my](mailto:chandrasekharan@apu.edu.my)

**Received:** 5 November 2024; **Revised:** 25 December 2024; **Accepted:** 3 January 2025

**Abstract:** The target of this paper is to discuss a new subclass  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}_{\delta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  of schlicht mappings with negative coefficients correlated to Erdély-Kober Integral Operator, in the unit disk  $U = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$ . For mappings in our class, we learn fundamental properties like the Hadamard product, the coefficient inequality, the distortion and covering theorem, the radii of starlikeness, the convexity and close-to-convexity, the extreme points, and the closure theorems.

**Keywords:** starlike, convex, integral operator, coefficient estimates, convolution

**MSC:** 30C45, 30C50

## 1. Introduction

The theory of regular mapping undermines a field that is still actively reviewed today despite being an old subject. Using the classes of analytical mappings, numerous studies on the privileged topic of inequalities in complex analysis have been carried out. The interaction of geometry and analysis in complex mapping theory is its most attractive characteristic. These connections between geometric behaviour and analytical structure have been the key area of attention for rapid development. The current work, which developed a new subclass of regular mappings related to the Erdély-Kober Integral Operator, was inspired by this tactic. Many researchers have looked into the characteristics of regular mapping subclasses and shown how their research has numerous uses in signal theory, engineering and hydrodynamics. The extremal difficulties are one of the main issues with geometric mapping theory. Geometric mapping theory, the discovery of coefficient bounds, sharp estimates, and an extremal mapping all depend heavily on extremal problems. Understanding the theory of analytical schlicht mappings is crucial to comprehending the time development of the free boundary of a viscous fluid for planar flows in Hele-Shaw cells under injection. The findings we came to in this study could potentially be applicable in other pure and applied disciplines of mathematics.

The objectives of the Erdély-Kober integral operator in the context of analytic functions are wide-ranging and include generalization of classical transforms, advancing the theory of fractional calculus, and providing a robust framework for the study of special functions. It allows mathematicians to explore deeper connections in analysis and to solve complex problems in areas such as mathematical physics, engineering, and applied mathematics.

The Erdély-Kober integral operator is a powerful and versatile tool that has applications across a broad spectrum of fields, including special functions, mathematical physics, control theory, signal processing, finance, and engineering. Its ability to generalize classical operators and provide fractional-order solutions makes it indispensable for modeling complex phenomena that traditional integer-order models cannot adequately describe. The operator is fundamental in advancing research in these areas and in developing more accurate and effective models for real-world systems.

Let  $A$  indicate the class of all mappings  $\eta(w)$  of the type

$$\eta(w) = w + \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v w^v, \quad (a_v \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (1)$$

in the open unit disc  $U = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$ . Assume  $S$  is the subclass of  $A$  that only contains schlicht mapping and fulfils the normal normalization condition  $\eta(0) = \eta'(0) - 1 = 0$ . By  $S$ , we designate the subclass of  $A$  made up of mapping  $\eta(w)$  that are all schlicht in  $U$ . A mapping  $\eta \in A$  is a starlike mapping of the order  $\rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , if it fulfils

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{w\eta'(w)}{\eta(w)} \right\} > \rho, \quad w \in U. \quad (2)$$

We denote this class with  $S^*(\rho)$ . A mapping  $\eta \in A$  is a convex mapping of the order  $\rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , if it fulfils

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{w\eta''(w)}{\eta'(w)} \right\} > \rho, \quad w \in U. \quad (3)$$

We use  $K(\rho)$  to represent this class. Keep in mind that the typical classes of starlike and convex mapping in  $U$  are  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and  $K(0) = K$ , accordingly. For  $\eta \in A$  provided by (1) and  $g(w)$  provided by

$$g(w) = w + \sum_{v \geq 2} b_v w^v, \quad (4)$$

their convolution, specified by  $(\eta * g)$ , is described as

$$(\eta * g)(w) = w + \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v b_v w^v = (g * \eta)(w), \quad (w \in U). \quad (5)$$

Note that  $\eta * g \in A$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of mappings of the form

$$\eta(w) = w - \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v w^v, \quad a_v \geq 0 \quad (w \in U), \quad (6)$$

and let  $\mathcal{F} \cap S^*(\rho) = \mathcal{F}^*(\rho)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \cap K(\rho) = C(\rho)$ . The class  $\mathcal{F}^*(\rho)$  and related classes have been significantly deliberated for their intriguing properties reviewed by Silverman [1].

The Erdély-Kober type ([2] Ch 5) integral operator definition should be recalled, and it will be used throughout the paper as indicated below.

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q} : A \rightarrow A$ , an Erdély-Kober type integral operator be such that for  $\vartheta > 0$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(q-p) \geq 0$ , and  $\Re(p) > -\vartheta$  be specified by

$$\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w) = \frac{\Gamma(q+\vartheta)}{\Gamma(p+\vartheta)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-p)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{q-p-1} \eta(wt^\vartheta) dt, \quad \vartheta > 0. \quad (7)$$

For  $\vartheta > 0$ ,  $\Re(q-p) \geq 0$ ,  $\Re(p) > -\vartheta$  and  $\eta \in A$  of the type (1) we have

$$\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w) = w + \sum_{v \geq 2} \mathcal{B}_\vartheta^{p,q}(v) a_v w^v. \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_\vartheta^{p,q}(v) = \frac{\Gamma(q+\vartheta)\Gamma(p+v\vartheta)}{\Gamma(p+\vartheta)\Gamma(q+v\vartheta)} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_\vartheta^{p,q}(2) = \frac{\Gamma(q+\vartheta)\Gamma(p+2\vartheta)}{\Gamma(p+\vartheta)\Gamma(q+2\vartheta)} \quad (9)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,p}\eta(w) = \eta(w)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w)$  summarises to a number of well-known operators that have already been discussed, and some of the most intriguing particular cases are presented below:

- (i). We acquire the operator  $\mathcal{Q}_\kappa^\zeta \eta(w)$  ( $\zeta \geq 0$ ;  $\kappa > 1$ ) reviewed by Jung et al. [3], for  $p = \kappa$ ;  $q = \zeta + \kappa$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .
- (ii). We acquire the operator  $\mathcal{L}_\zeta, \kappa \eta(w)$  ( $\zeta, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0$ ;  $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ ) reviewed by Carlson and Shafer [4] for  $p = \zeta - 1$ ;  $q = \kappa - 1$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .
- (iii). We acquire the operator  $\mathcal{S}_{\zeta, \ell}$  ( $\zeta > 0$ ;  $\ell > 0$ ) reviewed by Choi et al [5] for  $p = \zeta - 1$ ;  $q = \ell$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .
- (iv). we acquire the operator  $\mathcal{D}^\zeta$  ( $\zeta > -1$ ) reviewed by Ruschweyh [6] for  $p = \zeta$ ;  $q = 0$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .
- (v). we acquire the operator  $\mathcal{I}_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) reviewed by Noor [7], Noor and Noor [8] for  $p = 1$ ;  $q = n$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .
- (vi). We acquire the integral operator  $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa, 1}$  which reviewed by Bernardi [9] for  $p = \kappa$ ;  $q = \kappa + 1$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .
- (vii). We acquire the integral operator  $\mathcal{I}_{1, 1} = I$  which reviewed by Libera [10] and Livingston [11] for  $p = 1$ ;  $q = 2$  and  $\vartheta = 1$ .

Inspired by the work of several researchers [12–19], we describe a new subclass of mappings belonging to the class  $A$ .

**Definition 2** For  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$  and  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , we let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  be the subclass of  $\eta$  comprising of mappings of the type (6) and its geometrical condition satisfy

$$\left| \frac{\hbar \left( (\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w))' - \frac{\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w)}{w} \right)}{\sigma (\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w))' + (1-\hbar) \frac{\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}\eta(w)}{w}} \right| < \zeta, \quad w \in U,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}$  is provided by (8).

## 2. Coefficient inequality

We acquire the necessary and adequate requirements for act being assigned to the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  in the subsequent theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{I}_\vartheta^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} [\hbar(v-1) + \varsigma(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v \leq \varsigma(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)), \quad (10)$$

where  $0 < \varsigma < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . The result (10) is sharp for the mapping

$$\eta(w) = w - \frac{\varsigma(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \varsigma(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)} w^v, \quad v \geq 2.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that the inequality (10) holds true and  $|w| = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \hbar \left( (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w))' - \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w)}{w} \right) \right| - \varsigma \left| \sigma \left( (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w))' + (1 - \hbar) \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w)}{w} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| -\hbar \sum_{v \geq 2} (v-1) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v w^{v-1} \right| \\ & \quad - \varsigma \left| \sigma + (1 - \hbar) - \sum_{v \geq 2} (v\sigma + 1 - \hbar) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v w^{v-1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{v \geq 2} [\hbar(v-1) + \varsigma(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v - \varsigma(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by maximum modulus principle,  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \varsigma)$ . Now adopt that  $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \varsigma)$  so that

$$\left| \frac{\hbar \left( (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w))' - \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w)}{w} \right)}{\sigma (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w))' + (1 - \hbar) \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w)}{w}} \right| < \varsigma, \quad w \in U.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \hbar \left( (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w))' - \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w)}{w} \right) \right| < \varsigma \left| \sigma \left( (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w))' + (1 - \hbar) \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta}^{p, q} \eta(w)}{w} \right) \right|.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\left| - \sum_{v \geq 2} \hbar(v-1) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v w^{v-1} \right|$$

$$< \zeta \left| \sigma + (1 - \hbar) - \sum_{v \geq 2} v \sigma + 1 - \hbar \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v w^{v-1} \right|.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} [\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v \leq \zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)).$$

Therefore, we get the required inequality (2.1) of Theorem 1. □

**Corollary 1** Let  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then

$$a_v \leq \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}.$$

### 3. Distortion properties

In this section, we present the growth and distortion theorems for mapping  $\eta$  belonging to class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $0 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . If the the mapping  $\eta$  given by (6) is in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then

$$|w| - \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} |w|^2 \leq |\eta(w)| \leq |w| + \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} |w|^2.$$

The result is sharp and attained

$$\eta(w) = w - \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} w^2.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} [\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v \leq \zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)),$$

then, we have

$$[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2) \leq \sum_{v \geq 2} [\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) a_v \leq \zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)),$$

then,

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} a_v \leq \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(w)| &\leq |w| + \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v w^2 \\ &\leq |w| + |w|^2 \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v \\ &\leq |w| + \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} |w|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(w)| &\geq |w| - \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v w^2 \\ &\geq |w| + |w|^2 \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v \\ &\geq |w| + \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} |w|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3** Let  $0 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . If the the mapping  $\eta$  given by (6) is in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then

$$1 - \frac{2\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} |w| \leq |\eta'(w)| \leq 1 + \frac{2\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} |w|$$

with equality for

$$\eta(w) = w - \frac{2\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]} w^2.$$

**Proof.** Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v \\
& \leq \sum_{v \geq 2} v[\hbar(v - 1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{p, q}(v) a_v \\
& \leq \zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)),
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

from Theorem 1. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta'(w)| &= \left| 1 - \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v z^{v-1} \right| \\
&\leq 1 + \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v |w|^{v-1} \\
&\leq 1 + |w| \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v \\
&\leq 1 + |w| \frac{2\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta'(w)| &= \left| 1 - \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v z^{v-1} \right| \\
&\geq 1 - \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v |w|^{v-1} \\
&\geq 1 - |w| \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v \\
&\geq 1 - |w| \frac{2\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{p, q}(2)[\hbar + \zeta(2\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Combining (12) and (13), we get the result.  $\square$

## 4. Radii properties

The radius of starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity for the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  is given by the following theorems.

**Theorem 4** Let  $0 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . If the the mapping  $\eta$  given by (6) is in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then  $\eta$  is starlike in  $|w| < R_1$  of order  $\varpi$ ,  $0 \leq \varpi < 1$ ,

$$R_1 = \inf_{\nu} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \varpi)(\hbar(\nu - 1) + \zeta(\nu\sigma + 1 - \hbar))\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\nu)}{(\nu - \varpi)\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}, \nu \geq 2. \quad (14)$$

**Proof.**  $\eta$  is starlike of order  $\varpi$ ,  $0 \leq \varpi < 1$  if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{w\eta'(w)}{\eta(w)} \right\} > \varpi.$$

Therefore, demonstrating that

$$\left| \frac{w\eta'(w)}{\eta(w)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{\nu \geq 2} (\nu - 1)a_{\nu}w^{\nu-1}}{1 - \sum_{\nu \geq 2} a_{\nu}w^{\nu-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{\nu \geq 2} (\nu - 1)a_{\nu}|w|^{\nu-1}}{1 - \sum_{\nu \geq 2} a_{\nu}|w|^{\nu-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \frac{w\eta'(w)}{\eta(w)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \varpi \text{ if } \sum_{\nu \geq 2} \frac{(\nu - \varpi)}{(1 - \varpi)} a_{\nu}|w|^{\nu-1} \leq 1. \quad (15)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (15) will be true if

$$\frac{\nu - \varpi}{1 - \varpi} |w|^{\nu-1} \leq \frac{(\hbar(\nu - 1) + \zeta(\nu\sigma + 1 - \hbar))\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\nu)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))},$$

or if

$$|w| \leq \left[ \frac{(1 - \varpi)(\hbar(\nu - 1) + \zeta(\nu\sigma + 1 - \hbar))\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\nu)}{(\nu - \varpi)\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}, \nu \geq 2. \quad (16)$$

The theorem follows easily from (16).  $\square$

**Theorem 5** Let  $0 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . If the the mapping  $\eta$  given by (6) is in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then  $\eta$  is convex in  $|w| < R_2$  of order  $\varpi$ ,  $0 \leq \varpi < 1$ , where



$$R_2 = \inf_v \left\{ \frac{(1-\varpi)(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{v(v-\varpi)\zeta(\sigma + (1-\hbar))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{v-1}}, \quad v \geq 2. \quad (17)$$

**Proof.**  $\eta$  is convex of order  $\varpi$ ,  $0 \leq \varpi < 1$  if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{w\eta''(w)}{\eta'(w)} \right\} > \varpi.$$

Therefore, demonstrate that

$$\left| \frac{w\eta''(w)}{\eta'(w)} \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{v \geq 2} v(v-1)a_v w^{v-1}}{1 - \sum_{v \geq 2} va_v w^{v-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{v \geq 2} v(v-1)a_v |w|^{v-1}}{1 - \sum_{v \geq 2} va_v |w|^{v-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \frac{w\eta''(w)}{\eta'(w)} \right| \leq 1 - \varpi \text{ if } \sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{v(v-\varpi)}{(1-\varpi)} a_v |w|^{v-1} \leq 1. \quad (18)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (18) will be true if

$$\frac{v(v-\varpi)}{1-\varpi} |w|^{v-1} \leq \frac{(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1-\hbar))},$$

or if

$$|w| \leq \left[ \frac{(1-\varpi)(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{v(v-\varpi)\zeta(\sigma + (1-\hbar))} \right]^{\frac{1}{v-1}}, \quad v \geq 2. \quad (19)$$

The theorem follows easily from (19).  $\square$

**Theorem 6** Let  $0 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . If the the mapping  $\eta$  given by (6) is in the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then  $\eta$  is close-to-convex in  $|w| < R_3$  of order  $\varpi$ ,  $0 \leq \varpi < 1$ , where

$$R_3 = \inf_v \left\{ \frac{(1-\varpi)(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{v \zeta(\sigma + (1-\hbar))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{v-1}}, \quad v \geq 2. \quad (20)$$

**Proof.**  $\eta$  is close-to-convex of order  $\varpi$ ,  $0 \leq \varpi < 1$  if

$$\Re \{ \eta'(w) \} > \varpi.$$

Consequently, proving that

$$|\eta'(w) - 1| = \left| - \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v w^{v-1} \right| \leq \sum_{v \geq 2} v a_v |w|^{v-1}.$$

Thus,

$$|\eta'(w) - 1| \leq 1 - \varpi \text{ if } \sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{v}{(1 - \varpi)} a_v |w|^{v-1} \leq 1. \quad (21)$$

Hence, by Theorem 2, (21) will be true if

$$\frac{v}{1 - \varpi} |w|^{v-1} \leq \frac{(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))},$$

or if

$$|w| \leq \left[ \frac{(1 - \varpi)(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{v \zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \right]^{\frac{1}{v-1}}, \quad v \geq 2. \quad (22)$$

Theorem easily implies from (22). □

## 5. Extreme points

In the following theorem, we acquire extreme points for the class  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ .

**Theorem 7** Let  $\eta_1(w) = w$  and

$$\eta_v(w) = w - \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)} w^v, \text{ for } v = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then  $\eta \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ , ( $0 < \zeta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \hbar < 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ ),  $\Leftrightarrow$  it can be described by the type of

$$\eta(w) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \theta_v \eta_v(w), \text{ where } \theta_v \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \theta_v = 1.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $\eta(w) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \theta_v \eta_v(w)$ , hence we get

$$\eta(w) = w - \sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar)) \theta_v}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)} w^v.$$

Now,  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \\ & \times \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))\theta_v}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)} \\ & = \sum_{v \geq 2} \theta_v = 1 - \theta_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then we show that  $\eta$  can be written in the type  $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \theta_v \eta_v(w)$ .

Now  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  implies from Theorem 1

$$a_v \leq \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}.$$

Setting  $\theta_v = \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} a_v$ ,  $v = 2, 3, \dots$  and  $\theta_1 = 1 - \sum_{v \geq 2} \theta_v$ , we acquire  $\eta(w) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \theta_v \eta_v(w)$ . □

## 6. Hadamard product

We receive the convolution result for mapping that belongs to the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  in the subsequent theorem.

**Theorem 8** Let  $\eta, g \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then  $\eta * g \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  for

$$\eta(w) = w - \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v w^v, \quad g(w) = w - \sum_{v \geq 2} b_v w^v \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta * g)(w) = w - \sum_{v \geq 2} a_v b_v w^v,$$

where

$$\zeta \geq \frac{\zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))\hbar(v-1)}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]^2 \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v) - \zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))(n\sigma + 1 - \hbar)}.$$

**Proof.**  $\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  and so

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]\mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p,q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} a_v \leq 1, \tag{23}$$

and

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} b_v \leq 1. \quad (24)$$

We must determine the smallest number  $\zeta$  possible so that

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} a_v b_v \leq 1. \quad (25)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \sqrt{a_v b_v} \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

Consequently, proving that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} a_v b_v \\ & \leq \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \sqrt{a_v b_v}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\sqrt{a_v b_v} \leq \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \zeta}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \zeta}. \quad (27)$$

From (26)

$$\sqrt{a_v b_v} \leq \frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}.$$

Consequently, proving that

$$\frac{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)} \leq \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \zeta}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \zeta},$$

which simplifies to

$$\zeta \geq \frac{\zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))\hbar(\nu - 1)}{[\hbar(\nu - 1) + \zeta(\nu\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]^2 \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\nu) - \zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))(\nu\sigma + 1 - \hbar)}.$$

□

## 7. Closure theorems

The subsequent closure theorems will be demonstrated for the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ .

**Theorem 9** Let the mapping  $\eta_j$  be in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Then the mapping  $g$  defined by

$$g(w) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \eta_j(w)$$

is also in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  where  $\sum_{j=1}^s c_j = 1, (c_j \geq 0)$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} g(w) &= \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \eta_j(w) \\ &= w - \sum_{\nu \geq 2} \sum_{j=1}^s c_j a_{\nu, j} w^{\nu} \\ &= w - \sum_{\nu \geq 2} e_{\nu} w^{\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_{\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j a_{\nu, j}$ . Thus  $g(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$  if

$$\sum_{\nu \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(\nu - 1) + \zeta(\nu\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\nu)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} e_{\nu} \leq 1,$$

that is, if

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v \geq 2} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} c_j a_{v, j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} a_{v, j} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^s c_j = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 10** Let  $\eta, g \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ . Then

$$h(w) = w - \sum_{v \geq 2} (a_v^2 + b_v^2) w^v \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta),$$

where

$$\zeta \geq \frac{2\hbar(v-1)\zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]^2 \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) - 2\zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\eta, g \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta)$ , so Theorem 1 yields

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \left[ \frac{(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} a_v \right]^2 \leq 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \left[ \frac{(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} b_v \right]^2 \leq 1.$$

We acquire from the last two inequalities

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \right]^2 (a_v^2 + b_v^2) \leq 1. \quad (28)$$

But  $h(w) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{S}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(\hbar, \sigma, \zeta) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\sum_{v \geq 2} \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} (a_v^2 + b_v^2) \leq 1, \quad (29)$$

where  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , however (28) implies (29) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)] \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)) \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v)}{\zeta(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying, we get

$$\zeta \geq \frac{2\hbar(v-1)\zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))}{[\hbar(v-1) + \zeta(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)]^2 \mathcal{B}_{\vartheta}^{p, q}(v) - 2\zeta^2(\sigma + (1 - \hbar))(v\sigma + 1 - \hbar)}.$$

□

## 8. Conclusions

This research has introduced a new subclass of analytic functions involving Erdely-Kober integral operator and studied some basic properties of geometric function theory. Accordingly, some results related to obtained coefficient inequalities, distortion theorem, extreme points, Hadamard product and closure theorems for this class have also been considered, inviting future research for this field of study.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank anonymous referees and editor for their useful critical comments and suggestions for improving the research paper.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## References

- [1] Silverman H. Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 1975; 51: 109-116. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2039855>.
- [2] Kiryakova V. *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*. USA: Chapman and Hall/CRC; 1993.

- [3] Jung IB, Kim YC, Srivastava HM. The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 1993; 176(1): 138-147. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1204>.
- [4] Carlson BC, Shafer DB. Starlike and Prestarlike hypergeometric functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*. 1984; 15(4): 737-745. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1137/0515057>.
- [5] Choi JH, Saigo M, Srivastava HM. Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2002; 276(1): 432-445. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(02\)00500-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00500-0).
- [6] Ruscheweyh S. New criteria for starlike functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 1975; 49: 109-115. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1975-0367176-1>.
- [7] Noor KI. On new classes of integral operators. *Journal of Natural Geometry*. 1999; 16: 71-80.
- [8] Noor KI, Noor MA. On integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 1999; 238(2): 341-352. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1999.6501>.
- [9] Bernardi SD. Convex and starlike univalent functions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*. 1969; 135: 429-446. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1995025>.
- [10] Libera RJ. Some classes of analytic univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 1965; 16: 755-758. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1965-0178131-2>.
- [11] Livingston AE. On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 1966; 17: 352-357.
- [12] Lagad A, Ingle RN, Reddy PT. Some families analytic of functions to the Erdélyi-Kober integral operator. *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*. 2025; 16(1): 1-10. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.21608/jfca.2024.290640.1102>.
- [13] Alburaikan A, Murugusundaramoorthy G, El-Deeb SM. Certain subclasses of Bi-starlike function of complex order defined by Erdélyi-Kober-type integral operator. *Axioms*. 2022; 11(5): 237. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms11050237>.
- [14] Reddy KA, Gangadharan M. A unified class of analytic functions associated with Erdélyi-Kober integral operator. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*. 2023; 14(2): 385-397. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.22767.2710>.
- [15] Malathi V, Vijaya K. Subclass of analytic functions involving Erdely-Kober type integral operator in conic regions and applications to neutrosophic poission distribution. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*. 2022; 600: 127595. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2022.127595>.
- [16] Prathiba S, Rosy T. On certain subclass of starlike functions with negative oefficients associated with Erdélyi-Kober integral operator. *General Mathematics*. 2021; 29(2): 69-82. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2478/gm-2021-0015>.
- [17] Hadi SH, Darus M, Alamri B, Altinkaya S, Alatawi A. On classes of  $\zeta$ -uniformly  $q$ -analogue of analytic functions with some subordination results. *Applied Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. 2024; 32(1): 2312803. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/27690911.2024.2312803>.
- [18] Hadi SH, Darus M, Ibrahim RW. Third-order Hankel determinants for  $q$ -analogue analytic functions defined by a modified  $q$ -Bernardi integral operator. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*. 2024; 47(10): 2109-2131. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2024.2352873>.
- [19] Srivastava HM, Hadi SH, Darus M. Some subclasses of  $p$ -valent  $\gamma$ -uniformly type  $q$ -starlike and  $q$ -convex functions defined by using a certain generalized  $q$ -Bernardi integral operator. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*. 2023; 117(50): 1-3. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-022-01378-3>.