

Research Article

An Averaging Limit Theorem for Impulsive Delay Stochastic Fractional Differential Equations

Mahmoud Abouagwa^{1,2} 

¹ College of Business, City University Ajman, Ajman, 18484, United Arab Emirates

² Department of Mathematical Statistics, Faculty of Graduate Studies for Statistical Research, Cairo University, Giza, 12613, Egypt
 E-mail: mahmoud.aboagwa@cu.edu.eg; m.abouagwa@cu.ac.ae

Received: 6 May 2025; **Revised:** 1 July 2025; **Accepted:** 1 September 2025

Abstract: In this article, we present an averaging limit theorem for impulsive delay Caputo fractional stochastic differential equations. In contrast to the present literature, a new technique is adopted to overcome the difficulties hired by the impulsive term based on impulsive-type Grönwall inequality. As a result, it is proved that the solution of the non-impulsive averaged delay Caputo fractional stochastic differential equations converges to that of the standard impulsive delay Caputo fractional stochastic differential equations in L^q -sense. Finally, an example is constructed to enhance the analytical result.

Keywords: Averaging limit theorem, Fractional Stochastic Differential Equations (FSDEs) with delay, impulsive effects, L^q approximation, Caputo fractional derivative

MSC: 34C29, 34A08, 60H10, 60J65

1. Introduction

The aim of this article is to investigate the fractional averaging method for the following Impulsive Delay Caputo Fractional Stochastic Differential Equations (IDCFSDs):

$$\begin{cases} {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\nu} \mathfrak{R}(\rho) = \xi(\rho, \mathfrak{R}(\rho), \mathfrak{R}(\rho - \iota)) + \zeta(\rho, \mathfrak{R}(\rho), \mathfrak{R}(\rho - \iota)) \frac{dw(\rho)}{d\rho}, & \rho \in [0, b], \quad \rho \neq \rho_k, \\ \mathfrak{R}(\rho_k^+) = \mathfrak{R}(\rho_k^-) + I_k(\mathfrak{R}(\rho_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \mathfrak{R}(\rho) = \vartheta(\rho), & \rho \in [-\iota, 0], \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where ${}^C\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\nu}$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\nu \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $\xi: [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $\zeta: [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l \times d}$ are continuous functions. \mathbb{R}^l is the Euclidean space with norm $|\cdot|$ and $w(\cdot)$ refers to the d -dimensional Brownian motion

defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $0 = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_m < \rho_{m+1} = b$ and $I_k: \mathbb{R}^l \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ are the impulsive functions which characterize the jump of solutions at impulsive points ρ_k . $\mathfrak{R}(\rho_k^+)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\rho_k^-)$ represent the right and left limits of $\mathfrak{R}(\rho)$ at time ρ_k , respectively. \mathbb{E} denotes the mathematical expectation and $\vartheta(\rho) \in C([-t, 0]; \mathbb{R}^l)$, where $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta(0)$.

The theory of fractional differential equations has been emerged as a beneficial tool in modeling many practical, signal, physical, medical, biological, control theory, engineering and image processing problems, etc. [1–8]. Particularly, Fractional order differential systems are generalizations of classical integer order systems and are widely used to describe phenomena in fluid dynamics, finance and physics [9]. Bedi et al. [10] established the existence and approximate controllability of Hilfer fractional evolution equations with almost sectorial operators. Bhat et al. [11] introduced a comparative analysis of nonlinear Urysohn functional integral equations via Nyström method. Khalil et al. [12] provided a new definition of fractional derivative. Kumar and Pandey [13] proved the existence of mild solution of Atangana-Baleanu fractional differential equations with delay. For more details on this field, one can refer to relevant monographs [14, 15].

Noise or random fluctuations are unavoidable in nature as well as in man-made systems, therefore it seems important to consider stochastic fractional systems rather than deterministic fractional systems. The fractional differential systems which involve noise term in the mathematical model of a given phenomenon are called Fractional Stochastic Differential Equations (FSDEs). In recent years, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to FSDEs in both finite and infinite dimensions have attracted more interest in different fields due to the applications in describing various phenomenon in population dynamics, physics, electrical engineering, ecology, medicine, biology, and other fields of science and engineering. Recently, the existence and uniqueness of solutions for different FSDEs models are investigated. For example, Abouagwa and Li [16] introduced the approximation properties for solutions to Itô-Doob FSDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients; Abouagwa et al. [17] provided the existence, uniqueness and averaging principle for mixed neutral Caputo fractional stochastic evolution equations with infinite delay; Makhoul et al. [18] introduced the existence, uniqueness and averaging principle for Hadamard Itô-Doob stochastic delay fractional integral equations; Tian and Luo [19] derived the existence and finite-time stability results for impulsive Caputo-type FSDEs with time delays; Zou et al. [20] proved the existence and averaging principle for FSDEs with impulses.

The theory of stochastic averaging principle is important technique for studying the applications of SDEs in many interesting areas. It seeks to obtain an equivalent averaged stochastic system to replace the original one, which reduces the complexity and numerical computations in practical applications. The first systematic study of mean-square averaging principle was reported by Khasminskii [21], then numerous studies of stochastic averaging were obtained. Abouagwa and Li [22] introduced the averaging principle for neutral SDEs with variable delay driven by G -Brownian motion. Xu et al. [23, 24] studied the averaging principle for stochastic dynamical systems driven by fractional Brownian motion under Lipschitz and non-Lipschitz conditions. Recently, researchers extended the method of averaging principle to FSDEs. Ahmed and Zhu [25] derived the averaging principle for Hilfer FSDEs with delay and Poisson jumps; Luo et al. [26] established the averaging principle for FSDEs with time-delays; Luo et al. [27] provided a novel averaging principle for Hilfer-type fractional system under non-Lipschitz condition. For more details on averaging principle for different types of FSDEs with different perturbations, we refer to [28–34] and their cited references.

Recently, impulsive effects play a crucial role in practical situations, which cause abrupt and short changes to the system state. Impulsive effects are unavoidable and even not ignorable sometimes. Therefore, researchers started to describe the existence and averaging method for impulsive SDEs and FSDEs more accurately. For example, Tian and Luo [19] derived the existence and finite-time stability results for impulsive Caputo FSDEs with delays; Abouagwa et al. [35] proved the existence and uniqueness for a class of mixed neutral Caputo FSDEs with impulses and variable delay; Abouagwa et al. [36] studied the averaging principle for multivalued impulsive SDEs driven by G -Brownian noise; Khalaf et al. [37] proved the periodic averaging method for non-Lipschitz impulsive stochastic dynamical system driven by fractional Brownian motion; Zou et al. [20] introduced the existence and averaging method of FSDEs with impulses. For more developments on averaging method for different types of FSDEs with impulses, we mention [38, 39] and their references.

Due to the non-local property of the Caputo fractional derivatives in time, Caputo fractional differential systems are an important model in various areas of science and engineering. Referring to the definition of Caputo fractional derivatives, the memory effect or nonlocal property is presented by a convolution integral with a power-law memory kernel, which makes the Caputo fractional differential systems an excellent tool in complex systems. Inspired by the above discussions and due to the lack of existing results on averaging principle for impulsive Caputo-type FSDEs with delay, we will extend the fractional stochastic averaging method to IDCFSDEs (1). The advantages and major contributions of this article are highlighted as following.

- The convergence in q -th moment between the solution of the non-impulsive DCFSEs and that of the standard IDCFSDEs will be proved, which is more technical and gives the parameter q a greater degree of freedom to possess a good robustness.

- Compared with the existing results in [20, 36–39], a new technique to overcome the difficulties hired by the impulsive term $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-)) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} \bar{I}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau)) d\tau|^q)$ is applied, which enriches the relevant literature on the averaging theory for other types of FSDEs with impulsive. It should be mentioned that the limitation when seeking the boundedness of expectation in [20, 36–39] due to the impulsive term has been broken by using Lemma 1.

- Moreover, the results are still new even when the parameter $q \equiv 2$ and generalize theorem 3.1 in [32], which is a particular case of our results when $q \equiv 2$, $\mathfrak{R}(\rho - \iota) \equiv 0$ and $I_k(0) \equiv 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

The remaining of this paper proceeds as follows. We introduce some preliminary definitions, assumptions and lemma in Section 2. Section 3 is aimed to derive the main fractional averaging limit theorem to IDCFSDEs. An illustrative example is carried out to enhance our theoretical findings in Section 4 and the paper is concluded in Section 5.

2. Preparations

In this section, we introduce some preliminary definitions, assumptions and lemma needed to establish our main results

Definition 1 ([15]) For any $v > 0$ and a function $\gamma(\rho): [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator is defined as

$$I_{0+}^v \gamma(\rho) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \iota)^{v-1} \gamma(\iota) d\iota, \quad \rho > 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Euler's Gamma function defined by $\Gamma(v) = \int_0^\infty s^{v-1} e^{-s} ds$.

Definition 2 ([15]) For any $v > 0$, the Caputo fractional derivative for a function $\gamma(\rho): [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given as

$${}^C \mathcal{D}_{0+}^v \gamma(\rho) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(j-v)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \iota)^{j-v-1} \gamma^{(j)}(\iota) d\iota, \quad (3)$$

where $j-1 < v < j, j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for $0 < v < 1$, we have

$$I_{0+}^v {}^C \mathcal{D}_{0+}^v \gamma(\rho) = \gamma(\rho) - \gamma(0). \quad (4)$$

To prove the averaging limit theorem for Eq. (1), the following assumptions are needed.

Assumption 1 Assume for all $\rho \in [0, b]$ and for any $\phi_1, \phi_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$,

$$|\xi(\rho, \phi_1, \varphi_1) - \xi(\rho, \phi_2, \varphi_2)| \vee |\zeta(\rho, \phi_1, \varphi_1) - \zeta(\rho, \phi_2, \varphi_2)| \leq C_1(|\phi_1 - \phi_2| + |\varphi_1 - \varphi_2|), \quad (5)$$

and

$$|\xi(\rho, \phi, \varphi)| \vee |\zeta(\rho, \phi, \varphi)| \leq C_2(1 + |\phi| + |\varphi|), \quad (6)$$

where $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ are two constants.

Assumption 2 Assume for any $\phi, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^l$, there exist $c_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$|I_k(\varphi) - I_k(\phi)| \leq c_k |\varphi - \phi|, \quad |I_k(\varphi)| \leq \hat{n},$$

where $\hat{n} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is a constant.

Remark 1 Using the method given in [19], the existence and uniqueness theorem of solution for Eq. (1) can be derived in L^q -sense by adopting Assumptions 1 and 2, which is a generalization of theorem 3.1 in [19] (when $q \equiv 2$).

We close this section by borrowing the following impulsive-type Grönwall inequality from [19], which is important to demonstrate the main results.

Lemma 1 ([19]) Assume for any positive and piecewise continuous function $\eta(\rho)$, we have

$$\eta(\rho) \leq \beta + \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} \mathcal{V}(\iota) \eta(\iota) d\iota + \sum_{\rho_0 < \iota_i < \rho} \sigma_i \eta(\iota_i), \quad \rho \geq \rho_0, \quad (7)$$

where $\beta \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $\mathcal{V}(\iota) > 0$, and ι_i denote the impulsive points of $\eta(\rho)$. Then the following inequality is true

$$\eta(\rho) \leq \beta \prod_{\rho_0 < \iota_i < \rho} (1 + \sigma_i) \exp \left[\int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} \mathcal{V}(\iota) d\iota \right]. \quad (8)$$

3. Averaging limit theorem

The objective of the this section is to present the main averaging limit theorem for IDCFSDEs. Assume the standard form of Eq. (1) is given by

$$\begin{cases} {}^C \mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\nu} \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \varepsilon \xi(\rho, \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho), \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho - \iota)) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \zeta(\rho, \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho), \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho - \iota)) \frac{dw(\rho)}{d\rho}, & t \in [0, \rho], \rho \neq \rho_k, \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^+) = \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-) + \varepsilon I_k(\mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \vartheta(\rho), & \rho \in [-\iota, 0], \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*]$ is a small positive parameter with a fixed constant ε^* . Moreover, the coefficients ξ and ζ satisfy the Assumptions 1 and 2. According to [19] and the properties of fractional calculus, the equivalent integral form of Eq. (9) can be written as:

$$\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) = \begin{cases} \vartheta(\rho), & \rho \in [-\iota, 0], \\ \vartheta_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) d\tau \\ \quad + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) dw(\tau), & \rho \in (0, \rho_1], \\ \vartheta_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) d\tau \\ \quad + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) dw(\tau) \\ \quad + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-)), & \rho \in (\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Next, we assume the following averaged form which corresponds to the standard Eq. (10):

$$\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho) = \begin{cases} \vartheta(\rho), & \rho \in [-\iota, 0], \\ \vartheta_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) d\tau \\ \quad + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \bar{\zeta}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) dw(\tau), & \rho \in (0, \rho_1], \\ \vartheta_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) d\tau \\ \quad + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \bar{\zeta}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) dw(\tau) \\ \quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \bar{I}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau)) d\tau, & \rho \in (\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\bar{\xi}: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $\bar{\zeta}: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l \times d}$ and $\bar{I}: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ are measurable functions, which satisfy Assumptions 1, 2 and the following averaging assumption:

Assumption 3 For all $b_1 \in [0, b]$, $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and $q \geq 2$, there exists a positive bounded function $\lambda(b_1)$, such that

(i)

$$\frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\xi(\rho, \phi, \psi) - \bar{\xi}(\phi, \psi)|^q d\rho \vee \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\zeta(\rho, \phi, \psi) - \bar{\zeta}(\phi, \psi)|^q d\rho \leq \lambda(b_1)(1 + |\phi|^q + |\psi|^q),$$

(ii)

$$\bar{I}(\phi) \leq \frac{1}{b_1} \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(\phi),$$

where $\lim_{b_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(b_1) = 0$.

In order to study the L^q -convergence of the solution process \mathfrak{R}_ε of Eq. (10) towards the solution process $\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*$ of the averaged system (11) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2 Under Assumptions 1 and 3, for $\forall b_1 \in [0, b]$, the function $\bar{\xi}$ satisfies the following growth condition

$$|\bar{\zeta}(\phi, \psi)|^q \leq C_3(1 + |\phi| + |\psi|)^q, \quad (12)$$

where $C_3 = 2^{q-1}\lambda(b_1) + 2^{q-1}C_2^q$.

Proof. Applying Jensen's inequality and Assumptions 1 and 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\zeta}(\phi, \psi)|^q &\leq \frac{2^{q-1}}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\bar{\zeta}(\phi, \psi) - \zeta(\rho, \phi, \psi)|^q d\rho + \frac{2^{q-1}}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\zeta(\rho, \phi, \psi)|^q d\rho \\ &\leq 2^{q-1}\lambda(b_1)(1 + |\phi|^q + |\psi|^q) + 2^{q-1}C_2^q(1 + |\phi| + |\psi|)^q \\ &\leq (2^{q-1}\lambda(b_1) + 2^{q-1}C_2^q)(1 + |\phi| + |\psi|)^q. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

□

Theorem 1 Assume Assumptions 1-3 are true. Then, for arbitrary small parameter $\theta > 0$ and $q \in [2, 2(1 - \nu)^{-1})$, $\exists \ell > 0$, $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon^*]$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{\rho \in [-\ell, \ell\varepsilon^{-\alpha}]} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) \leq \theta, \quad (14)$$

for $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$.

Proof. On account of Eqs. (10)-(11), it follows for $\forall \nu \in [0, b]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq \nu} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) \\ &\leq \frac{3^{q-1}\varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(\nu))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq \nu} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} [\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] d\tau \right|^q \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3^{q-1}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}}}{(\Gamma(\nu))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq \nu} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} [\zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\zeta}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] dw(\tau) \right|^q \right) \\ &\quad + 3^{q-1}\varepsilon^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq \nu} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-)) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{\nu-1} \bar{I}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau)) d\tau \right|^q \right) \\ &:= \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Employing Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1 &\leq \frac{6^{q-1}\varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} [\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] d\tau \right|^q \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{6^{q-1}\varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} [\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] d\tau \right|^q \right) \\
&:= \mathcal{J}_{11} + \mathcal{J}_{12}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then, by using Hölder's inequality and Assumption 1, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{11} &\leq \frac{6^{q-1}\varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} [\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] d\tau \right|^q \right) \\
&\leq \frac{(6v)^{q-1}\varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} |\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))|^q d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{(6v)^{q-1}(C_1\varepsilon)^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(|\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau)| + |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)| \right)^q d\tau \\
&\leq \Pi_{11} \varepsilon^q v^{q-1} \left[\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1)|^q \right) d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1 - \iota) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1 - \iota)|^q \right) d\tau \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\Pi_{11} = \frac{6^{q-1}C_1^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q}$.

By calling Assumption 3 and similar to \mathcal{J}_{11} , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{12} &\leq \frac{6^{q-1}\varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left(\left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} [\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{6^{q-1}\varepsilon^q v^{q v - \frac{q}{2}}}{(\Gamma(v))^q (2v - 1)^{\frac{q}{2}}} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left(\int_0^\rho |\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{6^{q-1}\varepsilon^q v^{q v - 1}}{(\Gamma(v))^q (2v - 1)^{\frac{q}{2}}} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \int_0^\rho |\xi(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))|^q d\tau \right) \\
&\leq \Pi_{12} \varepsilon^q v^{q v},
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\Pi_{12} = \frac{6^{q-1}}{(\Gamma(v))^q(2v-1)^{\frac{q}{2}}} (\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \lambda(\rho)) \mathbb{E}(1 + \sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q + \sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho - \iota)|^q)$.

Again, due to Jensen's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &\leq \frac{6^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}}}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} [\zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] dw(\tau) \right|^q \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{6^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}}}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} [\zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\zeta}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))] dw(\tau) \right|^q \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$:= \mathcal{J}_{21} + \mathcal{J}_{22}.$$

Using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy, Hölder and Doob's martingale inequalities, it concludes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{21} &\leq \frac{6^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{2(v-1)} |\zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{6^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} |\zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau - \iota)) - \zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))|^q d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \frac{(12)^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} C_1^q C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \left[\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |Y_\varepsilon(\tau_1) - Y_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1)|^q \right) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1 - \iota) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1 - \iota)|^q \right) d\tau \right] \\ &\leq \Pi_{21} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \left[\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1)|^q \right) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1 - \iota) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1 - \iota)|^q \right) d\tau \right], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\Pi_{21} = \frac{(12)^{q-1} C_1^q C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q}$.

Taking Assumption 1 and Lemma 2 into account and similar to \mathcal{J}_{21} , one may get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{22} &\leq \frac{6^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{2(v-1)} |\zeta(\tau, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota)) - \bar{\zeta}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau - \iota))|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{6^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \left(\frac{q-2}{qv-2} \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} v^{\frac{qv-2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^v (v-\tau)^{\frac{q(v-1)}{2}} |\zeta(\tau, \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau), \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau-\iota)) - \bar{\zeta}(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau), \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau-\iota))|^q d\tau \right) \\
& \leq \frac{(12)^{q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} C_q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \left(\frac{q-2}{qv-2} \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} v^{\frac{qv-2}{2}} \\
& \times \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^v (v-\tau)^{\frac{q(v-1)}{2}} (|\zeta(\tau, \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau), \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau-\iota))|^q + |\bar{\zeta}(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau), \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau-\iota))|^q) d\tau \right) \\
& \leq \Pi_{22} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\Pi_{22} = \frac{2(36)^{q-1} C_q (C_3 + C_2^q)}{(q(v-1)+2)(\Gamma(v))^q} \left(\frac{q-2}{qv-2} \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \mathbb{E} (1 + \sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho)|^q + \sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho-\iota)|^q)$.

Now, the plus and minus technique and Jensen's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_3 & \leq 6^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)) - \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-)) \right|^q \right) \\
& + 6^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-)) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_0^{\rho} (\rho-\tau)^{v-1} \bar{I}(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\tau)) d\tau \right|^q \right) \\
& := \mathcal{J}_{31} + \mathcal{J}_{32}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using Jensen's inequality, Hölder's inequality and Assumption 2, one may conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{31} & \leq 6^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)) - I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-))| \right)^q \right) \\
& \leq 6^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k |\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-) - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-)| \right)^q \right) \\
& \leq (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-) - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-)|^q \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{32} &\leq (12)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)) \right|^q \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(12)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho - \tau)^{v-1} \bar{I}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau)) d\tau \right|^q \right) \\
&\leq (12m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-))|^q \\
&\quad + \frac{(12)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q}{(\Gamma(v))^q} \left(\frac{q-1}{qv-1} \right)^{q-1} v^{qv-1} \int_0^v \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{b_1} \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau)) \right|^q d\tau \\
&\leq (12)^{q-1} (m\varepsilon)^q (\widehat{n})^q + \frac{(12)^{q-1} (m\widehat{n}\varepsilon)^q}{b_1^q (\Gamma(v))^q} \left(\frac{q-1}{qv-1} \right)^{q-1} v^{qv} \\
&\leq \Pi_{32} \varepsilon^q,
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\Pi_{32} = (12)^{q-1} (m\widehat{n})^q + \frac{(12)^{q-1} (m\widehat{n})^q}{b_1^q (\Gamma(v))^q} \left(\frac{q-1}{qv-1} \right)^{q-1} v^{qv}$.

Taking (15), (17), (18), (20), (21), (23), (24) into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) &\leq \Pi_{12} \varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32} \varepsilon^q + \left(\Pi_{11} \varepsilon^q v^{q-1} + \Pi_{21} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1)|^q \right) d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^v (v - \tau)^{q(v-1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1 - \iota) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1 - \iota)|^q \right) d\tau \right) \\
&\quad + (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)|^q \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Letting $\Phi(v) = \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right)$ and $\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{-t \leq \rho \leq 0} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) = 0$, then we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\tau_1 - \iota) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\tau_1 - \iota)|^q \right) = \Phi(\tau - \iota). \tag{26}$$

It concludes from Eq. (25) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(v) &\leq \Pi_{12}\varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32}\varepsilon^q + \left(\Pi_{11}\varepsilon^q v^{q-1} + \Pi_{21}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right) \\
&\times \left(\int_0^v (v-\tau)^{q(v-1)} \Phi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^v (v-\tau)^{q(v-1)} \Phi(\tau-\iota) d\tau \right) \\
&+ (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \Phi(v_k^-).
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Letting $\Lambda(v) = \sup_{\rho \in [-\iota, v]} \Phi(\rho)$, $\forall v \in [0, b]$, then $\Phi(\tau) \leq \Lambda(\tau)$, and $\Phi(\tau - \iota) \leq \Lambda(\tau)$. Therefore, we have from Eq. (27)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(v) &\leq \Pi_{12}\varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32}\varepsilon^q + 2 \left(\Pi_{11}\varepsilon^q v^{q-1} + \Pi_{21}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right) \\
&\times \int_0^v (v-\tau)^{q(v-1)} \Lambda(\tau) d\tau + (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \Lambda(v_k^-).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

For $\forall \rho \in [0, v]$, one may get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\rho) &\leq \Pi_{12}\varepsilon^q \rho^{q\rho} + \Pi_{22}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} \rho^{\frac{q(2\rho-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32}\varepsilon^q + 2 \left(\Pi_{11}\varepsilon^q \rho^{q-1} + \Pi_{21}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} \rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right) \\
&\times \int_0^\rho (\rho-\tau)^{q(v-1)} \Lambda(\tau) d\tau + (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \Lambda(\rho_k^-) \\
&\leq \Pi_{12}\varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32}\varepsilon^q + 2 \left(\Pi_{11}\varepsilon^q v^{q-1} + \Pi_{21}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right) \\
&\times \int_0^v (v-\tau)^{q(v-1)} \Lambda(\tau) d\tau + (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \Lambda(v_k^-).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Then, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(v) &= \sup_{\rho \in [-\iota, v]} \Phi(\rho) \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{\rho \in [-\iota, 0]} \Phi(\rho), \sup_{\rho \in [0, v]} \Phi(\rho) \right\} \\
&\leq \Pi_{12}\varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32}\varepsilon^q + 2 \left(\Pi_{11}\varepsilon^q v^{q-1} + \Pi_{21}\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_0^v (v-\tau)^{q(v-1)} \Lambda(\tau) d\tau + (6m)^{q-1} \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m (c_k)^q \Lambda(v_k^-). \quad (30)$$

Thanks to Lemma 1, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(v) &\leq \left(\Pi_{12} \varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32} \varepsilon^q \right) \prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 + (6m)^{q-1} (\varepsilon c_k)^q \right) \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{2(\Pi_{11} \varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{21} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{q(1-\frac{1}{2})})}{q(v-1)+1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq v} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(t) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) &\leq [\Pi_{12} \varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{22} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} + \Pi_{32} \varepsilon^q] \prod_{k=1}^m (1 + (6m)^{q-1} (\varepsilon c_k)^q) \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{2(\Pi_{11} \varepsilon^q v^{qv} + \Pi_{21} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}} v^{q(1-\frac{1}{2})})}{q(v-1)+1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Taking $\ell > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $\forall \rho \in [0, \ell \varepsilon^{-\alpha}] \subseteq [0, b]$, it is obtained that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq \ell \varepsilon^{-\alpha}} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) \leq \mathcal{Q} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &= [\Pi_{12} \ell^{qv} \varepsilon^{q+\alpha(1-qv)-1} + \Pi_{22} \ell^{\frac{q(2v-1)}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2}(1+\alpha)+\alpha(1-qv)-1} + \Pi_{32} \varepsilon^{q+\alpha-1}] \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^m (1 + (6m)^{q-1} (\varepsilon c_k)^q) \exp \left\{ \frac{2(\Pi_{11} \ell^{qv} \varepsilon^{q(1-\alpha v)} + \Pi_{21} \ell^{q(1-\frac{1}{2})} \varepsilon^{q(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})})}{q(v-1)+1} \right\} \\ &:= \text{const}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Hence, for a given $\theta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon^*]$ such that for $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ and $\rho \in [-\iota, \ell \varepsilon^{-\alpha}]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{\rho \in [-\iota, \ell \varepsilon^{-\alpha}]} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho) - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*(\rho)|^q \right) \leq \theta. \quad (35)$$

□

Remark 2 If $\Re(\rho - \iota) \equiv 0$ in Eq. (1), the proof process in this article is still applicable and the results are new.

Remark 3 Letting $\Re(\rho - \iota) \equiv 0$ and $I_k(\cdot) \equiv 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$) in Eq. (1), then Theorem 1 will be consistent with Theorem 3.1 in [32] when $q = 2$. Therefore, Theorem 1 in this article extends the results obtained in [32].

4. Example

Assume the following IDCFSDEs driven by Brownian motion:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\frac{4}{5}}\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \varepsilon(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\cos^2\rho - \rho\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\sin(\rho - \pi)) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\sin^2\rho - \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\cos(\rho - \pi))\frac{dw(\rho)}{d\rho}, \rho \in [0, b], \\ \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^+) = \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-) + \varepsilon k^3 \arctan(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)), \quad \rho = \rho_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \vartheta(\rho), \quad \rho \in [-\iota, 0], \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

where $\nu = \frac{4}{5}$, $\xi(\rho, \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho), \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho - \iota)) = \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\cos^2\rho - \rho\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\sin(\rho - \pi)$, $\zeta(\rho, \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho), \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho - \iota)) = \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\sin^2\rho - \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\cos(\rho - \pi)$ and $I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)) = k^3 \arctan(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-))$.

Now, we check that the Assumptions 1-2 are satisfied. For any $(\Re_{\varepsilon}, \Re_{\varepsilon}^*) \in \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\xi(\rho, \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho), \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho - \iota)) - \xi(\rho, \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho), \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho - \iota))| \\ &= |\Re_{\varepsilon}\cos^2\rho - \rho\Re_{\varepsilon}\sin(\rho - \pi) - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*\cos^2\rho + \rho\Re_{\varepsilon}^*\sin(\rho - \pi)| \\ &\leq |(\Re_{\varepsilon} - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*)(\cos^2\rho + \rho\sin\rho)| \\ &\leq (1 + \rho)|\Re_{\varepsilon} - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*|, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\zeta(\rho, \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho), \Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho - \iota)) - \zeta(\rho, \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho), \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho - \iota))| \\ &= |\Re_{\varepsilon}\sin^2\rho - \Re_{\varepsilon}\cos(\rho - \pi) - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*\sin^2\rho + \Re_{\varepsilon}^*\cos(\rho - \pi)| \\ &\leq |(\Re_{\varepsilon} - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*)(\sin^2\rho + \cos(\rho))| \\ &\leq 2|\Re_{\varepsilon} - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*|. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Applying the mean value theorem for any constant $c > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)) - I_k(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-))| &= k^3 |\arctan(\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-)) - \arctan(\Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-))| \\ &= k^3 |\arctan'(c)| |\Re_{\varepsilon}(\rho_k^-) - \Re_{\varepsilon}^*(\rho_k^-)| \end{aligned}$$

$$= k^3 \left| \frac{1}{1+c^2} \right| |\Re_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-) - \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)| \quad (39)$$

$$\leq k^3 |\Re_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-) - \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)|,$$

where $\arctan'(c)$ is the derivative of $\arctan(c)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(\rho, \Re_\varepsilon(\rho), \Re_\varepsilon(\rho - \iota))| &= |\Re_\varepsilon \cos^2 \rho - \rho \Re_\varepsilon \sin(\rho - \pi)| \\ &\leq |\Re_\varepsilon \cos^2 \rho + \rho \Re_\varepsilon \sin \rho| \\ &\leq (1 + \rho) |\Re_\varepsilon|, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta(\rho, \Re_\varepsilon(\rho), \Re_\varepsilon(\rho - \iota))| &= |\Re_\varepsilon \sin^2 \rho - \Re_\varepsilon \cos(\rho - \pi)| \\ &\leq |\Re_\varepsilon \sin^2 \rho + \Re_\varepsilon \cos \rho| \\ &\leq 2 |\Re_\varepsilon|, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$|I_k(\Re_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-))| = |k^3 \arctan(\Re_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-))| = k^3 |\arctan(\Re_\varepsilon(\rho_k^-))| \leq \frac{k^3 \pi}{2}. \quad (42)$$

Therefore, Assumptions 1-2 are satisfied with $C_1 = C_2 = 2 \vee (1 + \rho)$, $\hat{n} = \frac{k^3 \pi}{2}$ and Eq. (36) has a unique solution \Re_ε . Define

$$\bar{\xi}(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho), \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho - \iota)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \xi(\rho, \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho), \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho - \iota)) d\rho = \frac{3\Re_\varepsilon^*}{2}, \quad (43)$$

$$\bar{\zeta}(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho), \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho - \iota)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \zeta(\rho, \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho), \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho - \iota)) d\rho = \frac{\Re_\varepsilon^*}{2}, \quad (44)$$

$$\bar{I}(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m k^3 \arctan(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)) = \frac{m^2(m+1)^2}{4\pi} \arctan(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)). \quad (45)$$

Hence, we conclude the following averaged DCFSDs without impulsive:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C \mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\frac{4}{3}} \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho) = \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho) + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2} \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho) \frac{dw(\rho)}{d\rho} + \frac{m^2(m+1)^2}{4\pi} \arctan(\Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho_k^-)), & \rho \in [0, b], \\ \Re_\varepsilon^*(\rho) = \vartheta(\rho), & \rho \in [-\iota, 0]. \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Now, we check that the Assumption 3 is satisfied. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\xi(\rho, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho - \iota)) - \bar{\xi}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho - \iota))|^q d\rho \\
&= \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon \cos^2 \rho - \rho \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon \sin(\rho - \pi) - \frac{3\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon}{2}|^q d\rho \\
&\leq \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} (\rho^q + (\frac{1}{2})^q) |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon|^q d\rho \\
&\leq \frac{(2b_1)^q + q + 1}{2(q+1)} (1 + |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon|^q), \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\zeta(\rho, \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho - \iota)) - \bar{\zeta}(\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho), \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon(\rho - \iota))|^q d\rho \\
&= \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon \sin^2 \rho - \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon \cos(\rho - \pi) - \frac{\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon}{2}|^q d\rho \\
&\leq \frac{1}{b_1} \int_0^{b_1} |\frac{3}{2} \mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon|^q d\rho \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2} (1 + |\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon|^q). \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Assumption 3 is satisfied with $\lambda(b_1) = \frac{3}{2} \vee (\frac{(2b_1)^q + q + 1}{2(q+1)})$, $b_1 > 0$.

According to the above discussions, we can get that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Therefore, the standard solution \mathfrak{R}_ε of Eq. (36) approximates the averaged solution $\mathfrak{R}_\varepsilon^*$ of Eq. (46) in L^q -sense as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we present a fractional stochastic averaging limit theorem for IDCFSDEs. It is proved that the solution of the averaged DCFSEs without impulsive approximates that of the standard IDCFSDEs in L^q -sense. Additionally, different from the previous studies in [20, 36–39], a new technique is applied to overcome the difficulty hired by the impulsive term based on impulsive-type Grönwall inequality, which enriches the relevant literature on the averaging theory for FSDEs with impulsive. It should be mentioned that according to Remark 3, the obtained results generalize the results obtained in [32]. The proof process adopted in this article can be applied for developing the averaging method for other types of impulsive FSDEs. For future research, the averaging principle for FSDEs in the sense of a newly defined OBC-fractional derivative, which is introduced recently by Zaid Odibat and Dumitru Baleanu.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for their valuable comments and suggestions, which greatly improved the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no competing financial interest.

References

- [1] Abd-Elhameed WM, Youssri YH, Atta AG. Tau algorithm for fractional delay differential equations utilizing seventh-kind Chebyshev polynomials. *Journal of Mathematical Modeling*. 2024; 21(2): 277-299. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.22124/jmm.2024.25844.2295>.
- [2] Abdul Huessein GA, Ziane D. Solving biological population model by using FADM within Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative. *Journal of Education for Pure Science-University of Thi-Qar*. 2024; 14(2): 76-88. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.32792/jeps.v14i2.434>.
- [3] Agarwal S, Mishra LN. Attributes of residual neural networks for modeling fractional differential equations. *Heliyon*. 2024; 10: 1-23. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e38332>.
- [4] Baleanu D, Jassim HK, Al Qurashi M. Approximate analytical solutions of Goursat problem within local fractional operators. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*. 2016; 9(6): 4829-4837. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.06.118>.
- [5] Almeida R, Bastos NR, Teresa M, Monteiro T. Modeling some real phenomena by fractional differential equations. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 2016; 39: 4846-4855. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.3818>.
- [6] Qureshi S, Yusuf A, Shaikh AA, Inc M, Baleanu D. Fractional modeling of blood ethanol concentration system with real data application. *Chaos*. 2019; 29: 013143. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5082907>.
- [7] Baleanu D, Mohammadi H, Rezapour S. A fractional differential equation model for the COVID-19 transmission by using the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2020; 2020: 1-27. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02762-2>.
- [8] Bas E, Ozarslan R. Real world applications of fractional models by Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*. 2018; 116: 121-125. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2018.09.019>.
- [9] Youssri YH, Ismail MI, Atta AG. Chebyshev Petrov-Galerkin procedure for the time-fractional heat equation with nonlocal conditions. *Physica Scripta*. 2024; 99: 1-14. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ad1700>.
- [10] Bedi P, Kumar A, Abdeljawad T, Khan ZA, Khan A. Existence and approximate controllability of Hilfer fractional evolution equations with almost sectorial operators. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2020; 2020(1): 1-15. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-03074-1>.
- [11] Bhat IA, Mishra LN, Mishra VN. Comparative analysis of nonlinear Urysohn functional integral equations via Nyström method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2025; 494: 1-23. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2025.129287>.
- [12] Khalil R, Horani MA, Yousef A, Sababheh M. A new definition of fractional derivative. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2014; 264: 65-70. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2014.01.002>.
- [13] Kumar A, Pandey DN. Existence of mild solution of Atangana-Baleanu fractional differential equations with delay. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*. 2019; 128: 51-57. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.109551>.
- [14] Kilbas A, Srivastava H, Trujillo J. *Theory and Applications of Differential Equations*. New York: Elsevier; 2006.
- [15] Diethelm K. *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations, vol. 2004 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Berlin, Germany: Springer; 2010.
- [16] Abouagwa M, Li J. Approximation properties for solutions to Itô-Doob stochastic fractional differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Stochastic and Dynamics*. 2019; 19(4): 1-19. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219493719500291>.

- [17] Abouagwa M, Aljoufi LS, Bantan RAB, Khalaf AD, Elgarhy M. Mixed neutral Caputo fractional stochastic evolution equations with infinite delay: Existence, uniqueness and averaging principle. *Fractal and Fractional*. 2022; 6(2): 1-18. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6020105>.
- [18] Makhoulf AB, Mehiri L, Mtiri F. Existence, uniqueness, and averaging principle for Hadamard Itô-Doob stochastic delay fractional integral equations. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 2023; 46(14): 14814-14827. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.9346>.
- [19] Tian M, Luo DF. Existence and finite-time stability results for impulsive Caputo-type fractional stochastic differential equations with time delays. *Mathematica Slovaca*. 2023; 73(2): 387-406. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2023-0030>.
- [20] Zou J, Luo DF, Li M. The existence and averaging principle for stochastic fractional differential equations with impulses. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Science*. 2023; 46(6): 6857-6874. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.8945>.
- [21] Khasminskii R. On the principle of averaging the Itô stochastic differential equations. *Cybernetics*. 1968; 4(3): 260-279. Available from: <http://eudml.org/doc/27787>.
- [22] Abouagwa M, Li J. G-neutral stochastic differential equations with variable delay and non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B*. 2020; 25(4): 1583-1606. Available from: <https://www.aims sciences.org/article/doi/10.3934/dcdsb.2019241>.
- [23] Xu Y, Guo R, Liu D, Zhang H, Duan J. Stochastic averaging principle for dynamical systems with fractional Brownian motion. *Discrete Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B*. 2014; 19(4): 1197-1212. Available from: <https://www.aims sciences.org/article/doi/10.3934/dcdsb.2014.19.1197>.
- [24] Xu Y, Pei B, Wu J. Stochastic averaging principle for differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic and Dynamics*. 2017; 17(2): 1-16. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219493717500137>.
- [25] Ahmed HM, Zhu Q. The averaging principle of Hilfer fractional stochastic delay differential equations with Poisson jumps. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2021; 112: 1-7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.106755>.
- [26] Luo DF, Zhu Q, Luo Z. An averaging principle for stochastic fractional differential equations with time-delays. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2020; 105: 1-8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.106290>.
- [27] Luo DF, Zhu Q, Luo Z. A novel result on averaging principle of stochastic Hilfer-type fractional system involving non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2021; 122: 1-7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2021.107549>.
- [28] Wang W, Cheng S, Guo Z, Yan X. A note on the continuity for Caputo fractional stochastic differential equations. *Chaos*. 2021; 30(7): 073106. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5141485>.
- [29] Wang Z, Lin P. Averaging principle for fractional stochastic differential equations with L^p convergence. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2022; 130: 1-7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2022.108024>.
- [30] Wu J, Wei W, Wang J, Xu W. Limit behavior of the solution of Caputo-Hadamard fractional stochastic differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2023; 140: 1-6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2023.108586>.
- [31] Xiao G, Feckan M, Wang J. On the averaging principle for stochastic differential equations involving Caputo fractional derivative. *Chaos*. 2022; 32(10): 101105. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0108050>.
- [32] Xu W, Xu W, Zhang S. The averaging principle for stochastic differential equations with Caputo fractional derivative. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2019; 93: 79-84. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2019.02.005>.
- [33] Xu W, Duan J, Xu W. An averaging principle for fractional stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise. *Chaos*. 2020; 30(8): 083126. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0010551>.
- [34] Xu W, Xu W, Lu K. An averaging principle for stochastic differential equations of fractional order $0 < \alpha < 1$. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*. 2020; 23(3): 908-919. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2020-0046>.
- [35] Abouagwa M, Bantan RAB, Almutiry W, Khalaf AD, Elgarhy M. Mixed Caputo fractional neutral stochastic differential equations with impulses and variable delay. *Fractal and Fractional*. 2021; 5(4): 1-19. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract5040239>.
- [36] Abouagwa M, Khalaf AD, Gul N, Alyobi S, Mohamed AS. Multivalued impulsive SDEs driven by G-Brownian noise: Periodic averaging result. *Complexity*. 2022; 2022(1): 1-16. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2022/5619693>.

- [37] Khalaf AD, Abouagwa M, Wang X. Periodic averaging method for impulsive stochastic dynamical systems driven by fractional Brownian motion under non-Lipschitz condition. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2019; 526: 1-15. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2466-9>.
- [38] Ahmed HM. Impulsive conformable fractional stochastic differential equations with Poisson jumps. *Evolution Equations and Control Theory*. 2022; 11(6): 2073-2080. Available from: <https://www.aims sciences.org/article/doi/10.3934/eect.2022012>.
- [39] Liu J, Xu W. An averaging result for impulsive fractional neutral stochastic differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2021; 114: 1-8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.106892>.