

## Research Article

# Some Novel Integral Inequalities via the Generalized $(k, \Psi)$ -Conformable Fractional Integrals

Warda<sup>1</sup>, Gauhar Rahman<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Samraiz<sup>2</sup>, Salma Haque<sup>3</sup>, Nabil Mlaiki<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Hazara University, Mansehra 21300, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: drgauhar.rahman@hu.edu.pk

**Received:** 29 November 2025; **Revised:** 13 February 2026; **Accepted:** 27 February 2026

**Abstract:** The stability and uniqueness of the solutions depend on the inequalities. Bounding, simulating non-local behaviors, and resolving systems that integer-order algorithms are unable to handle are among their uses. In this paper, we examine the generalized  $(k, \Psi)$ -Conformable Fractional Integrals (CFIs), which are the  $k$ -analogues of the recently published generalized conformable fractional integrals. These integrals are reducible to other fractional integrals under specific parameter values. Finally, we present several integral inequalities pertaining to the generalized  $(k, \Psi)$ -Conformable Fractional Integrals. The classical integral inequalities can easily be restored by applying certain conditions on parameters  $k$  and  $\Psi$ .

**Keywords:**  $(k, \Psi)$ -Conformable Fractional Integral, Conformable Fractional Integral (CFI), inequalities

**MSC:** 26A33, 26D10, 35A23, 47A63

## 1. Introduction

Non-local fractional derivatives are known as conformable derivatives. Since we can derive them up to any arbitrary order, they can be referred to as fractional. The ability to use conformable derivatives, as well as other forms of local fractional derivatives or modified conformable derivatives in [1], to produce more generalized non-local fractional derivatives with singular kernels [2–4] can make them more significant. Fractional calculus is a generalized variant of classical derivatives and integrals that studies derivatives and integrals of non-integer order. Due to its applications in a variety of domains, including physics, biology, fluid dynamics, control theory, image processing, signal processing, and computer networking, it is as antique as classical calculus but has gained increased prominence in the last 20 years, refer to [5–10]. Through creative concepts and methods of fractional calculus, research has advanced in recent years to generalize existing inequalities. Using fractional integral operators is one of the most widely used strategies among scholars. The integral inequalities involving fractional integrals are significant because of their potential to be used to determine the presence of nontrivial and positive solutions of several classes of fractional differential equations.

The applications and generalizations of various inequalities using fractional integral operators are presented by [11–15]. The better form of generalized Grüss-type integral inequalities for  $k$ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals

Copyright ©2026 Warda, et al.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37256/cm.7320269306>

This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license

(Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

was presented by Mubeen and Iqbal [16], who contributed to the current study. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for generalized  $k$ -fractional integrals were obtained by Agarwal et al. [17]. An integral identity and generalized Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral were introduced by Set et al. [18]. Integral inequalities of Ostrowski type for  $k$ -fractional Riemann-Liouville integrals were defined by Mubeen et al. [19]. To obtain a generalized inequality, Sarikaya and Budak [20] used local fractional integrals. For fractional conformable integrals, Khan et al. [21] generated some significant generalized inequalities for a finite class of positive decreasing functions. A Hartman-Winter type inequality involving a fractional derivative associated with another function was identified by Jleli et al. [22]. Additional details on this subject can be found in the works [23–27] and their closely connected references.

Qi et al. [28] presented generalized  $k$ -fractional conformable integral and Sadek et al. [29] defined the  $\vartheta$ -analogue of conformable fractional differential and integral operators.

This study aims to extend some integral inequalities for a finite class of positive and decreasing functions described in [30] to ones utilizing  $k$ -fractional conformable integrals. We suggest readers refer to [31–36] for specifics on those inequalities, their uses, and their stability.

## 2. Notations

Abdeljawad [37] developed the idea of left and right fractional conformable derivatives for a differentiable function  $f$ , which may be written as

$$I_a^\lambda f(\theta) = (\theta - a)^{1-\lambda} f'(\theta),$$

and

$$I_b^\lambda f(\theta) = (b - \theta)^{1-\lambda} f'(\theta).$$

Accordingly, fractional conformable integrals on the left and right for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{M}_a^\lambda f(\theta) = \int_a^\theta \frac{f(x)}{(x - a)^{1-\lambda}} dx,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_b^\lambda f(\theta) = \int_a^\theta \frac{f(x)}{(b - x)^{1-\lambda}} dx.$$

**Definition 2.1** [4] The left and right Conformable Fractional Integral (CFI) operators of order  $\varpi \in C$  for  $\Re(\varpi) > 0$  are respectively given by

$${}_{\varpi_a} \mathcal{M}^\lambda f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(x - a)^\lambda - (\theta - a)^\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{\varpi-1} \frac{f(\theta) d\theta}{(\theta - a)^{1-\lambda}},$$

and

$${}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\varpi} \mathcal{M}^{\lambda} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_x^{\mathfrak{b}} \left( \frac{(\mathfrak{b}-x)^{\lambda} - (\mathfrak{b}-\theta)^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\varpi-1} \frac{f(\theta) d\theta}{(\mathfrak{b}-\theta)^{1-\lambda}},$$

where  $\Gamma(\varpi)$  for  $\Re(\varpi) > 0$  represents the classical gamma function [37, 38].

**Definition 2.2** [28] Assume that  $f$  is a continuous function on the finite real interval  $[a, b]$ . Next, for  $\Re(\varpi) > 0$ , the generalized left and right  $k$ -conformable fractional integrals of order  $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}$  are defined as

$${}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\varpi} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda} f(x) = \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\varpi)} \int_{\mathfrak{a}}^x \left( \frac{(x-\mathfrak{a})^{\lambda} - (\theta-\mathfrak{a})^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k}-1} \frac{f(\theta) d\theta}{(\theta-\mathfrak{a})^{1-\lambda}},$$

and

$${}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\varpi} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda} f(x) = \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\varpi)} \int_x^{\mathfrak{b}} \left( \frac{(\mathfrak{b}-x)^{\lambda} - (\mathfrak{b}-\theta)^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k}-1} \frac{f(\theta) d\theta}{(\mathfrak{b}-\theta)^{1-\lambda}},$$

where  $\lambda \in \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\{0\}}$ ,  $k > 0$ .

The semi-group property, the derivative of functions, the Laplace transforms of functions utilizing this derivative, and the solution of Initial Value Problems (IVP) are some characteristics of those concepts that are described in Definition 2.1 and can be seen in [37].

**Definition 2.3** [29] Let  $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(\varpi) > 0$ . The left and right  $\Psi$ -CFI are respectively given by

$${}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\varpi} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{\Psi} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_{\mathfrak{a}}^x \left( \frac{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\varpi-1} \frac{f(\theta) \Psi'(\theta) d\theta}{(\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{1-\lambda}},$$

and

$${}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\varpi} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{\Psi} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_x^{\mathfrak{b}} \left( \frac{(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(x))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\varpi-1} \frac{f(\theta) \Psi'(\theta) d\theta}{(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{1-\lambda}}.$$

Next, we consider the generalized  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI recently defined by Warda et al. [39].

**Definition 2.4** Let  $\varpi \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(\varpi) > 0$ . Then we define the  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI is defined as

$${}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\varpi} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} f(x) = \frac{(\lambda)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{k}}}{k\Gamma_k(\varpi)} \int_{\mathfrak{a}}^x \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k}-1} (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varpi-1} f(\theta) \Psi'(\theta) d\theta,$$

and

$${}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} f(x) = \frac{(\lambda)^{1-\frac{\sigma}{k}}}{k\Gamma_k(\sigma)} \int_x^{\mathfrak{b}} \left( (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(x))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{k}-1} (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda-1} f(\theta) \Psi'(\theta) d\theta.$$

**Remark 1**

1. Let us choose  $k = 1$  in Definition 2.4, we get Definition 2.3 recently defined by [29].
2. Let us choose  $\Psi(x) = x$  in Definition 2.4, we get Definition 2.2.
3. Let us choose  $k = 1$  and  $\Psi(x) = x$  in Definition 2.4, we get Definition 2.1.
4. Let us choose  $\Psi(x) = x, \lambda = 1$  in Definition 2.4, we get the  $k$ -Riemann-Liouville (RL) fractional operators.
5. Let us choose  $\Psi(x) = x, \lambda = 1,$  and  $k = 1$  in Definition 2.4, we get the RL fractional operators.
6. If we take  $a = 0, \eta \rightarrow 0, \Psi(x) = x, k = 1$  in Definition 2.4, then we get the Hadamard fractional operators.
7. If we take  $a = 0, \Psi(x) = x,$  and  $k = 1$  in Definition 2.4, then we get the Katugampola fractional operators [40].

### 3. Some inequalities related to generalized $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI's

There are numerous practical applications for the analysis of fractional integral inequalities. The occurrence of nontrivial solutions to fractional differential equations is among the most practical uses of such inequalities. Numerous applications for the presence of eigenvalue challenges with non-trivial solutions by inequalities can be found in the literature; see [13, 15]. Applying fractional integral operators to generalize pre-existing inequalities is getting more common in the field of research and view, for instance [38, 41–44].

In this section, we provide some refinements of integral inequalities for generalized  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI.

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that the function  $\hbar(x)$  be a continuous and increasing and let the sequence of continuous and positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Assume that  $a < x \leq b, \varrho > 0, \zeta \geq \delta_\rho > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m,$  then for the left  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  ${}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi},$  the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{{}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^{\zeta} (x) \right)}{{}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right)} \geq \frac{{}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^{\varrho} (x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^{\zeta} (x) \right)}{{}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^{\varrho} (x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right)}. \tag{1}$$

**Proof.** Under the specified conditions, we have

$$[\hbar^{\varrho}(\vartheta) - \hbar^{\varrho}(\theta)] \left[ g_\rho^{\zeta-\delta_\rho}(\theta) - g_\rho^{\zeta-\delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \right] \geq 0.$$

Define a function

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma} \mathbb{N}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} (\Psi(x), \Psi(\beta), \Psi(\theta)) &= \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\sigma)} \left( \frac{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda}}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{k}-1} \\ &\quad \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x)}{(\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{1-\sigma}} [\hbar^{\varrho}(\vartheta) - \hbar^{\varrho}(\theta)] \left[ g_\rho^{\zeta-\delta_\rho}(\theta) - g_\rho^{\zeta-\delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \right] \Psi'(\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the function  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{N}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(x), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta))$  is positive  $\forall \theta \in (a, b]$ . Integrating from  $a$  to  $x$  on both sides of Equation (2) w. r. t.  $\theta$  reveals

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_a^x \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{N}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(x), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\overline{\mathfrak{a}})} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\lambda - (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(a))^\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\overline{\mathfrak{a}}}{k} - 1} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) [\hbar^\rho(\vartheta) - \hbar^\rho(\theta)] \left[ g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\theta) - g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \right] \frac{\Psi'(\theta) d\theta}{(\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(a))^{1-\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Definition 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \hbar^\rho(\vartheta) \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] + g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \hbar^\rho(\vartheta) g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] - \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By conducting the product of (3) with the following relation

$$\frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\overline{\mathfrak{a}})} \left( \frac{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\rho - (\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(a))^\rho}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{\overline{\mathfrak{a}}}{k} - 1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\vartheta) \Psi'(\vartheta)}{(\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(a))^{1-\rho}}. \quad (4)$$

Additionally, integrating both sides with regard to  $\vartheta$  from  $a$  to  $x$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now, dividing (5) by

$$\overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \left[ \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right],$$

completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.1** Let the continuous and positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $a < x \leq b, \varrho > 0, \zeta \geq \delta_\rho > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the left  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  $\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right)}{\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right)} \geq \frac{\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right)}{\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right)}. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** By taking  $h^\varrho(x) = \Psi(x) - \Psi(a)$  in Theorem 3.1, we get Corollary 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2** Suppose that the sequence of continuous and positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $a < x \leq b, \varrho > 0, \zeta \geq \delta_\rho > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the left  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  $\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ & \geq \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \int_a^x \mathfrak{N}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(x), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta)) d\theta \\ & = \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\varpi)} \int_a^x \left( \frac{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\lambda - (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(a))^\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k}-1} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\theta) [(\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(a))^\varrho - (\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(a))^\varrho] \\ & \quad \left[ g_\rho^{\zeta-\delta_\rho}(\theta) - g_\rho^{\zeta-\delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \right] \frac{\Psi'(\theta) d\theta}{(\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(a))^{1-\lambda}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + g_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}} (\vartheta) \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right) \right] \\
&\quad - (\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} g_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}} (\vartheta) \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right) \right]. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (8) by

$$\frac{1}{\mathfrak{k}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(\omega)} \left( \frac{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\omega}{\mathfrak{k}} - 1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (\vartheta) \Psi'(\vartheta)}{(\Psi(\vartheta) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{1-\lambda}}, \tag{9}$$

and integrating both sides from  $\mathfrak{a}$  to  $x$  with respect to  $\vartheta$ , we get in view of Definition 2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j} (x) \right) \right]. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, dividing (10) by

$$\left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta} (x) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(x) - \Psi(a))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right].$$

Gives the proof of Corollary 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3** Let continuous increasing function be  $h(x)$  and let the sequence of continuous and positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $a < x \leq b, \varrho > 0, \zeta \geq \delta_\rho > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the left  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  $\varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ & \geq \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By conducting the product of (3) and (9) and then integrating the resultant inequality from  $a$  to  $x$  with respect to  $\vartheta$  yields,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ & - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Dividing (11) by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ {}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \mathfrak{h}^{\varrho}(\mathfrak{x}) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}) \right) \right] \left[ {}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ {}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}) \right) \right] \left[ {}_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \mathfrak{h}^{\varrho}(\mathfrak{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j}(\mathfrak{x}) \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

yields the proof of Corollary 3.3.

**Theorem 3.2** Let the sequence of continuous and positive decreasing functions be  $\{\mathfrak{g}_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]$ . If  $\mathfrak{a} < \mathfrak{x} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\varrho > 0$ ,  $\zeta \geq \delta_{\rho} > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the right  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  ${}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{{}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}) \right)}{{}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j}(\mathfrak{x}) \right)} \geq \frac{{}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{x}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta}(\mathfrak{x}) \right)}{{}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{x}))^{\varrho} \prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j}(\mathfrak{x}) \right)}.$$

**Proof.** Under the specified condition, there is

$$[(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^{\varrho} - (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\varrho}] \left[ \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\theta) - \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\vartheta) \right] \geq 0.$$

Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(\mathfrak{x}), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta)) \\ & = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{k}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(\varpi)} \left( \frac{(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{x}))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\mathfrak{k}} - 1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{g}_j^{\delta_j}(\theta)}{(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{1 - \lambda}} \\ & \quad [(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^{\varrho} - (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\varrho}] \left[ \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\theta) - \mathfrak{g}_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\vartheta) \right] \Psi'(\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Obviously, the function defined in (12) is positive  $\forall \theta \in (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]$ . Integrating both sides of (12) with regard to  $\theta$  from  $\mathfrak{x}$  to  $\mathfrak{b}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \int_{\mathfrak{x}}^{\mathfrak{b}} {}_{\mathfrak{k}}\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(\mathfrak{x}), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta)) \\ & = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{k}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(\varpi)} \int_{\mathfrak{x}}^{\mathfrak{b}} \left( \frac{(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{x}))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\mathfrak{k}} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\theta) [(\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^\lambda - (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^\lambda] \\
& \left[ g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\theta) - g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \right] \frac{\Psi'(\theta) d\theta}{(\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{1-\lambda}} \\
& = (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^\lambda \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\
& + g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(x))^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
& - (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^\lambda g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
& - \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(x))^\lambda \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

By conducting the product of (13) with

$$\frac{1}{\mathfrak{k}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(\varpi)} \left( \frac{(\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(x))^\lambda - (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\mathfrak{k}} - 1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\vartheta) \Psi'(\vartheta)}{(\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\vartheta))^{1-\lambda}}, \tag{14}$$

and integrating the resultant relation from  $x$  to  $\mathbb{b}$  with respect to  $\vartheta$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(x))^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
& - \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(x))^\lambda \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of (15) by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(x))^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \left[ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right],$$

gives the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.4** Let sequence of continuous positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $a < x \leq b, \varrho > 0, \zeta \geq \delta_\rho > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the right  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  $\mathfrak{M}_k^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ & \geq \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By conducting the multiplication of (14) with

$$\frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\varpi)} \left( \frac{(\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\lambda - (\Psi(b) - \Psi(\vartheta))^\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k}-1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\vartheta) \Psi'(\vartheta)}{(\Psi(b) - \Psi(\vartheta))^{1-\lambda}}, \quad (16)$$

and then integrating about  $\vartheta$  from  $x$  to  $b$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\ & - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\ & - \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \mathfrak{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^\varrho \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Dividing both sides of (17) by the following

$$\left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^{\varrho} \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta}(x) \right) \right] \\ + \left[ \omega \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( (\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^{\varrho} \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right],$$

yields the proof of Corollary 3.4.

**Theorem 3.3** Let the continuous and increasing function be  $h(x)$  and let the sequence of continuous and positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the intervals  $[a, b]$ . If  $a < x \leq b$ ,  $\varrho > 0$ ,  $\zeta \geq \delta_{\rho} > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the right  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  $\varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta}(x) \right)}{\varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right)} \geq \frac{\varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^{\varrho}(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_{\rho}^{\zeta}(x) \right)}{\varpi \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( h^{\varrho}(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right)}.$$

**Proof.** Under the given hypothesis, we have

$$[h^{\varrho}(\vartheta) - h^{\varrho}(\theta)] \left[ g_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\theta) - g_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\vartheta) \right] \geq 0.$$

Consider the function

$$\varpi \mathbb{N}_b^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(x), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta)) \\ = \frac{1}{k \Gamma_k(\varpi)} \left( \frac{(\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(b) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k} - 1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\theta)}{(\Psi(b) - \Psi(\theta))^{1 - \lambda}} \\ [h^{\varrho}(\vartheta) - h^{\varrho}(\theta)] \left[ g_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\theta) - g_{\rho}^{\zeta - \delta_{\rho}}(\vartheta) \right] \Psi'(\theta). \quad (18)$$

In fact, the function  $\varpi \mathbb{N}_b^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(x), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta))$  is positive  $\forall \theta \in (a, b]$ . Integrating (18) with regard to  $\theta$  from  $x$  to  $b$  yields

$$0 \leq \int_x^b \varpi \mathbb{N}_b^{\lambda, \Psi}(\Psi(x), \Psi(\vartheta), \Psi(\theta)) d\theta \\ = \frac{1}{k \Gamma_k(\varpi)} \int_x^b \left( \frac{(\Psi(b) - \Psi(x))^{\lambda} - (\Psi(b) - \Psi(\theta))^{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{k} - 1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(\theta) [\hbar^\varrho(\vartheta) - \hbar^\varrho(\theta)] \left[ g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\theta) - g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \right] \frac{\Psi'(\theta) d\theta}{(\Psi(\mathbb{b}) - \Psi(\theta))^{1-\lambda}} \\
& = \hbar^\varrho(\vartheta) \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] + g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
& \quad - \hbar^\varrho(\vartheta) g_\rho^{\zeta - \delta_\rho}(\vartheta) \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] - \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

By conducting the product of (19) with (14) and integrating both sides about  $\vartheta$  from  $x$  to  $\mathbb{b}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
& \quad - \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of (23) by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right],$$

leads to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.5** Let continuous increasing function be  $\hbar(x)$  and let the sequence of continuous positive decreasing functions be  $\{g_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  on the interval  $[a, \mathbb{b}]$ . If  $a < x \leq \mathbb{b}$ ,  $\varrho > 0$ ,  $\zeta \geq \delta_\rho > 0$  for  $1 \leq \rho \leq m$ . Then for the right  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI operator  $\omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\
& \quad + \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
& \geq \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\
& \quad + \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \omega_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{b}}^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\varrho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By multiplying (19) with (16) and then integrating the resultant relation with respect to  $\rho$  from  $x$  to  $b$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq & \left[ \omega_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\
 & + \left[ \omega_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right] \\
 & - \left[ \omega_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\
 & - \left[ \omega_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right]. \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of (21) by the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \omega_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \\
 & + \left[ \omega_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \prod_{j \neq \rho}^m g_j^{\delta_j} g_\rho^\zeta(x) \right) \right] \left[ \varpi_k \mathcal{M}_b^{\lambda, \Psi} \left( \hbar^\rho(x) \prod_{j=1}^m g_j^{\delta_j}(x) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Completes the proof of Corollary 3.5.

**Remark 2**

- i. If we choose for  $\Psi(x) = x$ , we get the inequalities presented by [41].
- ii. If we choose  $k = 1$ , we get new inequalities for the operators presented by [42].
- iii. If we choose for  $\Psi(x) = x$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , then we get inequalities for the  $k$ -fractional conformable operators.
- iv. If we choose for  $\Psi(x) = x$  and  $\lambda = k = 1$ , then we get inequalities presented by [45].

## 4. Conclusions

The left and right  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI's have been established along with several significant integral inequalities associated with a finite series of positive and decreasing functions. The operators  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFIs presented in this work are the generalized form of the operators presented by [4, 28, 29]. The work presented in this paper can easily be reduced to the existing operators cited in the literature by considering Remark 1. If we consider  $\Psi(\tau) = \tau$ , then one can get the work presented earlier by Qi et al. [28]. If we take  $k = 1$ , then one can get the inequalities for the operators presented by [29]. Similarly, one can get the inequalities for the other existing operators by applying specific conditions given in Remark 1. One can obtain several other types of fractional integral inequalities and fractional differential equations by using the proposed  $(k, \Psi)$ -CFI's.

## Acknowledgment

The authors S. Haque and N. Mlaiki would like to thank the Prince Sultan University for paying the publication fees for this work through TAS LAB.

## Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

- [1] Anderson DR, Ulness DJ. Newly defined conformable derivatives. *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*. 2015; 10(2): 109–137.
- [2] Abdeljawad T, Jarad F, Alzabut J. Fractional proportional differences with memory. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*. 2017; 226: 3333–3354.
- [3] Jarad F, Abdeljawad T, Alzabut J. Generalized fractional derivatives generated by a class of local proportional derivatives. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*. 2017; 226: 3457–3471.
- [4] Jarad F, Ugurlu E, Abdeljawad T, Baleanu D. On a new class of fractional operators. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2017; 2017: 247.
- [5] Alzabut J, Tyagi S, Abbas S. Discrete fractional-order BAM neural networks with leakage delay: existence and stability results. *Asian Journal of Control*. 2020; 22(1): 143–155.
- [6] Baleanu D, Agarwal RP, Khan H, Khan RA, Jafari H. On the existence of solution for fractional differential equations of order  $3 < \delta_1 \leq 4$ . *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2015; 2015: 362.
- [7] Baleanu D, Agarwal RP, Mohammadi H, Rezapour S. Some existence results for a nonlinear fractional differential equation on partially ordered Banach spaces. *Boundary Value Problems*. 2013; 2013: 112.
- [8] Baleanu D, Khan H, Jafari H, Khan RA, Alipour M. On existence results for solutions of a coupled system of hybrid boundary value problems with hybrid conditions. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2015; 2015: 318.
- [9] Baleanu D, Mustafa OG. On the global existence of solutions to a class of fractional differential equations. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. 2010; 59(5): 1835–1841.
- [10] Baleanu D, Mustafa OG, Agarwal RP. An existence results for a super linear fractional differential equation. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2010; 23(9): 1129–1132.
- [11] Agarwal RP, Ozbekler A. Lyapunov type inequalities for mixed nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with a forcing term. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2017; 314: 69–78.
- [12] Liu WJ, Ngo QA, Huy VN. Several interesting integral inequalities. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*. 2009; 3: 201–212.
- [13] O'Regan D, Samet B. Lyapunov-type inequalities for a class of fractional differential equations. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2015; 2015: 247.
- [14] Tian YZ, Fan M, Sun YG. Certain nonlinear integral inequalities and their applications. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*. 2017; 2017: 8290906.
- [15] Yang X, Lo K. Lyapunov-type inequality for a class of even-order differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2010; 215(11): 3884–3890.

- [16] Mubeen S, Iqbal S. Grüss type integral inequalities for generalized Riemann-Liouville  $k$ -fractional integrals. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2016; 2016: 109.
- [17] Agarwal P, Jleli M, Tomar M. Certain Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities via generalized  $k$ -fractional integrals. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2017; 2017: 55.
- [18] Set E, Noor MA, Awan MU, Gözpinar A. Generalized Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities involving fractional integral operators. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2017; 2017: 169.
- [19] Mubeen S, Iqbal S, Iqbal Z. On Ostrowski type inequalities for generalized  $k$ -fractional integrals. *Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions*. 2017; 8: 107–118.
- [20] Sarikaya MZ, Budak H. Generalized Ostrowski type inequalities for local fractional integrals. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 2017; 145(4): 1527–1538.
- [21] Khan H, Sun HG, Chen W, Baleanu D. Inequalities for new class of fractional integral operators. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*. 2017; 10(12): 6166–6176.
- [22] Jleli M, Kirane M, Samet B. Hartman-Wintner-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem via a fractional derivative with respect to another function. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*. 2017; 2017: 5123240.
- [23] Al-Refai M, Abdeljawad T. Fundamental results of conformable Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems. *Complexity*. 2017; 2017: 3720471.
- [24] Jarad F, Adjabi Y, Baleanu D, Abdeljawad T. On defining the distributions  $\delta^r$  and  $(\delta^0)^r$  by conformable derivatives. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2018; 2018: 407.
- [25] Shi DP, Xi BY, Qi F. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for  $(m, h_1, h_2)$ -convex functions via Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals. *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*. 2014; 2: 23–28.
- [26] Shi DP, Xi BY, Qi F. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions. *Fractional Differential Calculus*. 2014; 4: 31–43.
- [27] Wang SH, Qi F. Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for  $s$ -convex functions via Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*. 2017; 22(6): 1124–1134.
- [28] Qi F, Habib S, Mubeen S, Naeem MN. Generalized  $k$ -fractional conformable integrals and related inequalities. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2019; 4(3): 343–358.
- [29] Sadek L, Baleanu D, Abdo MS, Shatanawi W. Introducing novel  $\Theta$ -fractional operators: advances in fractional calculus. *Journal of King Saud University-Science*. 2024; 36(3): 103352.
- [30] Abdeljawad T, Agarwal RP, Alzabut J, Jarad A, Özbekler A. Lyapunov-type inequalities for mixed non-linear forced differential equations within conformable derivatives. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2018; 2018: 143.
- [31] Abdeljawad T, Alzabut J. On Riemann-Liouville fractional  $q$ -difference equations and their application to retarded logistic type model. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 2018; 41(18): 8953–8962.
- [32] Khan A, Li YJ, Shah K, Khan TS. On coupled  $p$ -Laplacian fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Complexity*. 2017; 2017: 8197610.
- [33] Li YJ, Shah K, Khan RA. Iterative technique for coupled integral boundary value problem of non-integer order differential equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2017; 2017: 251.
- [34] Shah K, Khalil H, Khan RA. Upper and lower solutions to a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications*. 2016; 2(1): 31–39.
- [35] Shah K, Khan RA. Study of solution to a toppled system of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*. 2017; 3: 2369–2388.
- [36] Abdeljawad T. On conformable fractional calculus. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2015; 279: 57–66.
- [37] Qi F, Agarwal RP. On complete monotonicity for several classes of functions related to ratios of gamma functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. 2019; 2019: 36.
- [38] Qi F, Guo BN. Integral representations and complete monotonicity of remainders of the Binet and Stirling formulas for the gamma function. *Journal of the Royal Academy of Exact, Physical and Natural Sciences. Series A. Mathematics*. 2017; 111: 425–434.
- [39] Warda, Rahman G, Samraiz M, Haque S, Mlaiki N. Exploration of some novel integral inequalities pertaining to the new class of  $(k, \phi)$ -conformable fractional integrals. *Contemporary Mathematics*. 2025; 6(3): 2853–2877.
- [40] Katugampola UN. New fractional integral unifying six existing fractional integrals. *arXiv:1612.08596*. 2016. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1612.08596>.

- [41] Halmos PR. *Measure Theory*. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc.; 1950.
- [42] Huang CJ, Rahman G, Nisar KS, Ghaffar A, Qi F. Some inequalities of the Hermite-Hadamard type for  $k$ -fractional conformable integrals. *Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2019; 16(1): 7.
- [43] Qi F, Rahman G, Hussain SM, Du W-S, Nisar KS. Some inequalities of Čebyšev type for conformable  $k$ -fractional integral operators. *Symmetry*. 2018; 10(11): 614.
- [44] Rahman G, Nisar KS, Qi F. Some new inequalities of the Grüss type for conformable fractional integrals. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2018; 3(4): 575–583.
- [45] Dahmani Z. New classes of integral inequalities of fractional order. *Le Matematiche*. 2014; 69(1): 237–247.