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**Abstract:** The increasing water pollution is a great concern as millions of people don’t have access to pure water in Bangladesh. A considerable number of people are dying of contaminated water each year not only in Bangladesh but all over the world. Many industries, tanneries, companies, etc. are emitting lots of environmentally hazardous materials into the surrounding water. Many of these pollutants are industrial dyes. The dyes lost from the industrial water during dyeing operation damage the esthetic merit of surface water. They minimize light penetration, hamper aquatic lives and hinder photosynthesis. Some dyes may also have toxic, mutagenic, and carcinogenic characteristics. The purpose of this research is to get rid of the pollutant dye Orange G before the water is contaminated. A method named photo-degradation using different light sources is used to mineralize Orange G dye with composite materials including TiO$_2$-ZnO. This composite was prepared by the hydrothermal method. The photocatalytic behavior of the prepared composite TiO$_2$-ZnO helps in minimizing the effect of this dye to save the water from contamination. The composite compound was studied by experimenting on photo-degradation with Orange G under different light sources such as visible light, UV light, and sunlight. The photo-degradation percentage was found to maximum of 79.60 in the presence of sunlight. The percentages of photo-degradation under UV light and visible light were 48.0 and 18.40 respectively.
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1. Introduction

Environmental pollution is one of the great concerns the modern world is facing recently because a large number of people are dying each year and many people are carrying chronic diseases. So, the shortage of potable water is becoming very severe due to the pollution of source water. The widespread presence of organic pollutants, especially dyes in industrial wastewater from textile, apparel, leather, leather products, footwear and paper industries, plays a vital role in water contamination. In addition, artificial dyes are also used in almost all branches of consumer goods industries. Furthermore, the industrial effluents collected after different operations are usually highly colored, non-biodegradable, toxic, carcinogenic, and colored pigments causing damage to humans. The artificial and organic dyes are resistant to sunlight as well as other degradative environmental conditions. That is why it is necessary to remediate these industrial effluents before they get released into the aquatic environment. Regrettfully, the traditional methods for remedying contaminated water with dyes are not so effective for the total mineralization of these organic dyes.
The most recent works were devoted to investigating the removal of organic pollutants from the environment, especially from the water body. In this regard, titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) with zinc oxide (ZnO) was evaluated to degrade the various kinds of organic dyes under light sources, including visible light and ultra-violet light. Photo-degradation reaction with ZnO/biomass activated carbon was studied under visible and UV light sources to get rid of environmentally hazardous material Orange G. The material, ZnO, was also studied to minimize the effect of azo-dyes. Titanium oxide, ZnO, and Graphene oxide were applied to study the removal of dye methyl orange under visible light. The compounds TiO$_2$ and ZnO with different ratios were used to degrade an organic dye, methylene blue. The compounds, TiO$_2$/ZnO, ZnO/CdS, and ZnO/TiO$_2$/CdS etc. were commonly used as the photocatalysts in photo-degradation process. Methylene blue and Brilliant golden yellow were mineralized by TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$-ZnO respectively under UV light source. The azo-reactive dyes and Brilliant Orange dye were reported to be removed by the photo-degradation. As ZnO was reported to have higher photocatalytic efficiency than TiO$_2$, the prepared TiO$_2$-ZnO was used as a photocatalyst to decolorize organic pollutants/dyes. TiO$_2$ and ZnO, etc. were studied to minimize the effect of dyes including Methyl Orange (MO) and Rhodamine 6G (R6G). The photocatalytic reaction of titanium oxide was investigated to decolorize yellow 14 and azo dyes under UV light. The widespread use of aromatic compounds was curbed by the photochemical degradation of Remazol Brilliant blue and Uniblue A dyes. Recently, as a photocatalyst, semiconductor helps to investigate the various kinds of organic and inorganic pollutants for photo-degradation. TiO$_2$, a semiconductor, is one of widely used photocatalysts for its high photocatalytic activity, non-toxicity, and cost-effectiveness. It was thus of interest to obtain double systems consisting of semiconductors differing in the width of the forbidden bands such as TiO$_2$-CdS, TiO$_2$-WO$_3$, TiO$_2$-SnO$_2$, TiO$_2$-MoO$_3$, and TiO$_2$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Therefore, it is clear that the photo-degradation of organic pollutants/dyes under sunlight was not well reported in previous researches.

The purpose of the current research work is to investigate the prepared composite TiO$_2$-ZnO at two distinctly temperatures 450 °C and 750 °C denoted as A and B to degrade Orange G dye (Figure 1) under three light sources like visible light, UV light and sunlight. This dye, Orange G, is extensively used in textile and dyeing industries. The percentages of photo-degradation of Orange G under these light sources will be compared. Finally, the activities of the composite A and B will also be compared. Furthermore, this research will predict that the percentage of Orange G’s photo-degradation will be maximum under sunlight than under the visible and UV light sources.

![Figure 1. Structure of Orang G dye](image)

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Chemicals, apparatus, and instrument

TiO$_2$ (Merck KG Germany), Orange G dye (reagent grade), and Prepared TiO$_2$-ZnO were used in research work. Analytical instrument namely Electric balance (FR-200, NDO-450ND, Japan), UV-visible spectrophotometer (UV-160A, 30 | Md Abdullah Bin Samad, et al.
Shimadzu, Japan), Magnetic stirrer and pH meter, Laboratory centrifuge machine (3000 cps, Heka, BHG), and UV light source wavelength 254 nm (Applied photolysis, England) were used in different steps of research work. The particle sizes of the composite were characterized by SEM (JSM-6490LA, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Different glass apparatuses including a graduated pipette, volumetric flask, and beaker were used during the photo-degradation experiment. All the chemicals are analytical grade.

2.2 Photo-irradiation experiments

10 mL de-ionized water and 0.2 g of TiO$_2$-ZnO composite were taken in a 100 mL beaker and kept in it overnight. Then, 0.6 mL 0.01 M Orange G solution and 89.40 mL de-ionized water were added into it to prepare $6 \times 10^{-5}$ M. The pH of the suspension recorded was 6.67. The prepared solution then faced 40 minutes’ adsorption, and also the suspension was magnetically stirred while irradiated for two hours (Figure 2). The pH of the suspension was recorded again. Afterward, the suspension was centrifuged and ready for taking absorbance using a UV spectrophotometer. The photo-degradation percentage was calculated as a % photo-degradation = $(a_0 - a_t)/a_t \times 100$ (assuming photo-degradation following the same trend as that of de-colorization), where $a_0$ was the absorbance at time 0 minute and $a_t$ was the absorbance at time t minute. The irradiation experiments were studied under visible light, UV light and sunlight at the same concentration of Orange G dye and the same amount (0.2 g) of prepared composite TiO$_2$-ZnO.

![Figure 2. Schematic diagram for the reactor where irradiation was carried out](image)

2.3 Synthesis of TiO$_2$-ZnO composite

To synthesize TiO$_2$-ZnO composite, initially, ZnCO$_3$ was prepared by the reaction of ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O and (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$. After the formation of ZnCO$_3$, commercial TiO$_2$ was added to it slowly with continuous stirring until a solid layer formed at the bottom of the beaker. During the addition of TiO$_2$, the heat was applied moderately to speed up the reaction. In the final stage, the suspended liquid was transferred, and solid TiO$_2$-ZnO portion was taken into crucible and heated in a muffle furnace at two different temperatures for 3 hours.

In the photo-degradation reaction of Orange G dye with composite, A/B was converted to mineralized products as presented in Figure 3.
3. Results and discussions

3.1 Characterization of TiO$_2$-ZnO composite by SEM

In Figure 4, the prepared TiO$_2$-ZnO composites A and B were analyzed with the help of the images of the SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) to understand surface topography. The particle sizes of composite A were found to be in the range from 85 nm to 95 nm dimension. On the other hand, particle sizes of composite B were found to be in the range from 150 nm to 200 nm dimension.$^{1,23}$ The larger particle sizes of B might be accounted for the accumulation of particles at higher temperatures and vice-versa for A.

3.2 Construction of calibration curve of Orange G

Beer-Lambert law describes the absorption of a monochromatic beam of light by an absorbing system.

One form of the law is expressed by $A = \log \frac{I_0}{I}$ = εcl, where,

$I_0$ = Intensity of the light incident upon the sample in a cell of path length l cm,
\[ I = \text{Intensity of the light leaving the sample cell}, \]
\[ A = \text{Absorbance}, \]
\[ c = \text{Molar concentration of absorbing species}, \]
\[ \varepsilon = \text{Molar absorption coefficient or molar extinction coefficient or molar absorptivity}. \]

From Figure 5 based on Table 1, the molar extinction co-efficient of Orange G in aqueous solution at room temperature (30 °C) and at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 478 \text{ nm} \) is 13567 L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations of Orange G ( \times 10^5 ) (M)</th>
<th>Absorbance at ( \lambda_{\text{max}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ y = 0.1352x + 0.0051 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9999 \]

**Figure 5.** Calibration curve of Orange G at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \).
3.3 Comparison of photo-degradation results between sunlight, UV-light, and visible light sources for sample A

Photo-degradation experiments of Orange G dye using A under the same conditions were carried out (Figure 6 and Table 2). From Figure 6 and Table 2, it was clear that the percentage of photo-degradation by using sunlight was maximum because of a higher intensity of sunlight. As a result, maximum photo-degradation of Orange G was observed and a higher percentage of photo-degradation was obtained. This result was similar to the photo-degradation. The minimum percentage of photo-degradation was recorded under visible light due to the lower intensity of visible light compared with sunlight. The photo-degradation of Orange G under UV light was in between sunlight and visible light because the intensity of UV light is greater than that of visible light but lower than that of sunlight.

![Table 2. Data of % photo-degradation of Orange G in the suspension of 0.20 g composite A under different light sources](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Irradiation time (Min)</th>
<th>% Photo-degradation of Orange G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>18.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV light</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>79.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 6. Photo-degradation percentage versus time under three different sources](image)

3.4 Comparison of photo-degradation results between sunlight, UV-light, and visible light sources for sample B

Photo-degradation experiments of Orange G dye using B followed the same pattern as A under the same experimental conditions (Figure 7 and Table 3). From Figure 7 and Table 3, the percentage of photo-degradation by
using sunlight was higher because of a higher intensity of sunlight. This result was similar to photo-degradation.\(^3\) The lower percentage of photo-degradation (Figure 7 and Table 3) was recorded under visible light indicating minimum decolorization of Orange G for the lower intensity of visible light compared to sunlight. The photo-degradation of Orange G under UV light (from Figure 7 and Table 3) was in between sunlight and visible light because the intensity of UV light is greater than that of visible light but lower than that of sunlight. So, the photo-degradation of Orange G by the composite catalysts A and B followed the same patterns under the same experimental conditions.

Comparing the results of both Figure 6 & 7 and Table 2 & 3, it was found that composite A was the better photocatalyst than B. The higher calcined temperature of composite B was responsible for the lower efficiency of Orange G photo-degradation. At higher temperatures, the agglomeration of the particle’s size became larger and surface area decreased, which leads to lower adsorption of Orange G. Conversely, at lower calcined temperature, photocatalysts possessed larger surface area and a large number of active sites for adsorption of Orange G dye. Thus, prepared composite A at lower calcined temperature was more efficient than prepared composite B at higher calcined temperature.\(^3\)

Table 3. Data of % photo-degradation of Orange G in the suspension of 0.20 g composite B under different light sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Irradiation time (Min)</th>
<th>% Photo-degradation of Orange G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV light</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>37.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sunlight</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>66.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Photo-degradation percentage versus time under three different sources
3.5 Kinetics study of photo-degradation of Orange G

Table 4. Data for the extracted slopes from the graph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composites</th>
<th>Light sources</th>
<th>Pseudo-first order rate constants, k (min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>1.91 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>UV light</td>
<td>5.62 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>13.21 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Visible light</td>
<td>1.82 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>UV light</td>
<td>4.18 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>9.72 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 8. \( \ln \frac{C_0}{C_t} \) versus time plot to determine pseudo-first-order rate constant](image)

The kinetics study of photo-degradation of Orange G was conducted. The first-order rate equation is commonly expressed by

\[ \ln \frac{C_0}{C_t} = kt. \]

The concentration of dye at zero minute is \( C_0 \), the concentration at any time (t minute) is \( C_t \) and k (Table 4) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant. From Figure 8, the plot of \( \ln \frac{C_0}{C_t} \) versus time t for A and B under sunlight and UV light
followed the first-order integrated rate equation. This result was similar to kinetics study. But in the case of visible light, the plot became curvature initially, which might be due to very rapid photo-degradation initially compared with a later time. Thus, the photo-degradation of Orange G using A and B under sunlight and UV light followed pseudo-first-order kinetics but under visible light did not.

4. Conclusion

The TiO$_2$-ZnO composite exhibited a varying photocatalytic activity towards degradation of Orange G dye under different light sources including sunlight, UV light, and visible light, with the same experimental conditions. The photo-degradations under visible light, UV light and sunlight were 18.40%, 48.0% and 79.60% respectively by irradiating Orange G dye for 2 hours in the suspension of A. But the photo-degradations of Orange G on the surface of B under visible light, UV light and sunlight were 15.98%, 37.16% and 66.99% respectively with the same experimental conditions as A. Henceforth, A was a better photocatalyst to decolorize organic pollutant Orange G under sunlight compared to visible light and UV light. It was also established that A acted as a better composite photocatalyst than B. In a future study, the characterizations like XRD, EDX, and FTIR of the prepared composite A will be studied. Moreover, it will be studied how the percentage photo-degradation of dye will change with parameters including catalyst loading, solution pH, the concentration of dye, calcined temperature, etc.
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