

Research Article

Biomonitoring of Heavy Metals in the Guava (*Psidium guajava*) for Their Health Risk Assessment in Kluang, Malaysia

Chee Kong Yap^{1*}, Azlini Razali¹, Rosimah Nulit¹, Shih Hao Tony Peng², Chee Wah Yap³, Hideo Okamura⁴, Mohamad Saupi Ismail⁵

E-mail: yapckong@hotmail.com, yapchee@upm.edu.my

Received: 15 October 2020; Revised: 25 December 2020; Accepted: 21 January 2020

Abstract: This study aimed to investigate the concentrations of copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb) and iron (Fe) in different parts of guava (pulps, peels and seeds) and its topsoil collected from Kluang, Malaysia, and to assess their effects on human health. The level of metals was determined using acid digestion method and atomic absorption spectrometry. The results showed that the concentrations of Cu, Zn and Pb in all parts of guava was above the World Health Organization (WHO) permissible limits. However, the value of heavy metals in the topsoil were below the WHO limit. The concentration order of the metals in the guava's pulps was Fe > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ni. The contamination factor (*Cf*) calculated from the topsoil was low for Cu, Zn and Ni and moderate for Pb. However, potential ecological risk (*Er*) of individual metal ranged from 0.25-7.58 for Cu, Zn, Pb and Ni was low. The potential non-carcinogenic health risk for consumers was assessed using estimated daily intake (EDI) and target hazard quotient (THQ). The contribution of heavy metals to the EDI for all consumers followed the order of Fe > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ni. The THQ values were all below 1 for all five metals tested, indicating no potential risk to the consumers. We suggest that the Kluang's guava is safe to consume. However, regular monitoring and human health risk assessment of heavy metals in the guava is recommended to be carried out.

Keywords: Guava, heavy metals, ecological risk, health risk, carcinogenic

1. Introduction

Fruits are important for human health and nutrition, mainly as source of vitamins. Guava (*Psidium guajava*) is well known tropic tree which is abundantly grown for fruit [1]. The tree originated from southern Mexico through Central America. It has a wide ecological adaptation toward different soil types and climates, and therefore its fruits are abundantly available in many tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world [2]. The fruits contain high medicinal values and are rich in vitamin A, C, iron, phosphorus, calcium and minerals [1, 3]. Guava can also be categorised as medicinal plant, since it has been used in many countries for the treatment of diarrhoea, dysentery, gastroenteritis, hypertension,

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

²All Cosmos Bio-Tech Holding Corporation, PLO650, Jalan Keluli, Pasir Gudang Industrial Estate, 81700 Pasir Gudang, Johor, Malaysia ³MES SOLUTIONS, 22C-1, Jalan BK 5A/2A, Bandar Kinrara, 47100 Puchong, Selangor, Malaysia

 ⁴Research Center for Inland Seas, Faculty of Maritime Sciences, Kobe University, 5-1-1 Fukaeminami, Kobe, Higashinada 658-0022, Japan
⁵Fisheries Research Institute, Batu Maung, 11960 Pulau Pinang, Malaysia

diabetes, caries and pain relief [1]. In Malaysia, the subject fruit is regularly consumed by the public and can be easily found at local markets and fruit stalls.

A general pathway of heavy metal exposure to human is through daily intake of food crops, including fruits and vegetables. The consumption of food contaminated with heavy metals could threaten the human health. Continuous consumption of food that are contaminated with high level of heavy metals can pose health risk to normal body function and cause many diseases such as cardiovascular, nervous, kidney, liver, and bone diseases in human [4]. Also, prolonged consumption of food with low level of heavy metals has a detrimental influence on human health, and within years of accumulation, the negative effect becomes obvious [5]. Hence, heavy metal contamination has become a major concern across the world.

Monitoring of heavy metal levels in fruits and vegetables is vital for health risk assessment during food consumption. Many countries have conducted regular monitoring to establish information on heavy metals in food. Numerous papers have documented the metals concentration in the fruits [6-9] and vegetables [4, 10-20], yet only a few in edible guavas [7, 21-24]. Thus, it is essential to evaluate the chemical composition of this guava and make known of their impact on public health.

The objective of this study is to determine the concentrations of some selected heavy metals i.e. copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb) and iron (Fe) in the pulps, peels and seeds of guava as well as their habitat topsoil collected from Kluang, Malaysia. The health risk associated with these heavy metals to consumers were also evaluated.

2. Materials and methods

The sampling for guava was conducted on 1 December 2015, at Taman Kekal Pengeluaran Makanan (Permanent Food Production Areas), located in Kluang, Johor, on the southern part of Peninsular Malaysia (1°55′59.2428″ N; 103°11′8.8152″ E). Ripe and unripe guavas were randomly collected from five individual trees (Table 1). The fruits were sorted, labelled and stored in polystyrene containers. The habitat topsoil samples were collected, placed in sealable polyethylene plastic bags and put in an iced box. All samples were transported to the laboratory in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Serdang, Selangor for acid digestion.

Tree	Tree Height (m)	Fruit Diameter (cm)	Fruit Weight (g)	Topsoil depth (cm)
B1	4-5	18.0	100	10.0
B2	4-5	30.7	450	10.0
В3	4-5	31.4	510	10.0
B4	4-5	28.3	370	10.0
B5	4-5	28.3	380	10.0

Table 1. Description on measurement of guava (Psidium guajava) and its habitat topsoil collected from of guava plantation in Kluang

At the laboratory, the fruits were washed, cleaned, cut and separated into fleshy pulps, peels (skins) and seeds, following the method by [22]. The separated fruit parts and topsoil were oven dried to constant weight for 72 hours at 60°C. Then, the dried samples were crushed to powder using a mortar and pestle. The powdered samples were sieved through a 63 µm stainless steel sieve.

Approximately 1 g of different dried parts (peels, pulps and seeds) were placed in separate digestion tubes and dissolved in 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid (HNO₃; AnalaR grade; BDH 69%). For topsoil, 1 g of its dried samples were digested in a combination of concentrated nitric acid (HNO₃; AnalaR grade; BDH 69%) and perchloric acid (HClO₄; AnalaR grade; BDH 60%) in the ratio of 4:1. The tubes were heated for 1 hour on a hot block digester at 40°C before the temperature was raised to 140°C, where the contents were fully digested after 3 hours. The digested samples were then diluted up to 40 mL with distilled water and filtered with Whatman's No.1 filter papers. The filtered solutions were kept in acid washed pill boxes. All experiments were carried out in triplicates, using the method highlighted by [25].

The samples were digested in aqua regia and the concentrations of Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb and Fe were determined using an air acetylene flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) model Thermo Fisher Scientific Spectrometer (S Series GE712405 v1.27). The recoveries of certified reference materials for MESS-3 were Cu (101%), Zn (57.7%), Ni (78.9%), Pb (107%) and Fe (53.9%). The results were presented in mg/kg dry weight of sample, following Yap et al. [25].

2.1 Ecological risk assessment

The contamination factor (Cf) was calculated for each pollutant. Cf requires at least five surficial sediment samples are averaged to produce a mean pollutant concentration which then compared to a baseline pristine reference level [26-28], as follows:

$$Cf = Cd / Cr$$

Where Cd is the concentration of the metal for this study, Cr is the reference concentrations (mg/kg dry weight) based on continental crust reference values (Cu = 25; Zn = 65; Ni = 56; Pb = 14.8) [29].

The potential ecological risk (Er) of individual metals, except for Fe, was estimated using the formula proposed by [26], as follows:

$$Er = TRF \times Cf$$

The toxic-response factor (TRF) used were Cu = 5, Zn = 1, Ni = 5 and Pb = 5. However, the Er for Fe was not calculated because the TFR was not established [26].

Lastly, the potential risk of individual (PERI) metal was calculated as the summation of all Er values [26, 28].

2.2 Health risk assessment

The dry weight basis concentration of the heavy metal obtained previously were converted to wet weight basis using a conversion factor of ratio dry weight to wet weight of sample. The conversions to wet weight basis are based on conversion factors of 0.12 and 0.19 for ripe pulps and unripe pulps, respectively. The dry weight concentration for each metal was then multiplied with conversion factor to get the wet weight basis concentration. In order to assess the health risks through consumption of guava, formulas highlighted by [13] and [25] were used. The estimated daily intake (EDI) was calculated based on the equation below:

EDI
$$(\mu g/kg/day) = (Mc \times CR) / ABW$$

Where Mc is the metal concentration in wet weight basis (mg/kg), CR is the consumption rate for average-level consumer (ALC) and high-level consumer (HLC) (g/day), and ABW is the average body weight (60 kg for adult; [25]) (19.25 kg for children; [11]).

Yen ST, et al. [30] reported that the consumption rate of fruits for average-level consumer (ALC) and high-level consumer (HLC) were 150 and 300 g/day respectively for adults. While for children, the consumption rate for ALC and HLC were lower at 50 and 100 g/day respectively.

Estimation of non-carcinogenic risk of heavy metals consumption was determined using target hazard quotient (THQ). THQ is defined as the ratio between EDI and ORD, as follows:

$$THQ = EDI / ORD$$

Where ORD is the oral reference dose ($\mu g/kg/day$).

The values of ORD (μ g/kg/day) used in this study for Cu, Zn, Ni, and Fe were 40, 300, 20, and 700 respectively [25, 31]. The ORD for Pb was based on provisional tolerable daily intake as 3.57 [32]. A THQ lower than 1 indicates an acceptable level of non-carcinogenic effects on health, whilst THQ of 1 or more indicates an unacceptable level of risk [25].

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Metals in the guava

The mean data of heavy metal concentrations in the pulps, peels and seeds of guava and its habitat topsoils are presented in Table 2. The level of heavy metals varied between different parts of guava and between different conditions (ripe and unripe). The metal concentrations (mg/kg dry weight) in the edible ripe pulps were Cu (23.8), Zn (9.39), Ni (2.44), Pb (3.91) and Fe (40.9) while in the unripe pulps were Cu (4.52), Zn (8.15), Ni (2.03), Pb (3.91) and Fe (38.6). Overall, the values of Cu, Zn, Ni and Fe ripe pulps were higher than those in the unripe pulps. For peels, the values of Cu and Zn in the ripe peels were higher than those in the unripe peels. For seeds, the values of Cu and Pb in the ripe seeds were higher than those in the unripe seeds were higher than those in the ripe seeds.

Table 2. Heavy metal concentrations (mg/kg dry weight except for those indicated *)
in the pulps, peels and seeds of guava (Psidium guajava) and its habitat topsoil collected from of guava plantation in Kluang. N = 3

Parts		Cu	Zn	Ni	Pb	Fe
Pulp	Ripe	23.8 ± 3.87	9.39 ± 0.76	2.44 ± 0.55	3.91 ± 0.73	40.9 ± 1.27
	Unripe	4.52 ± 0.53	8.15 ± 0.12	2.03 ± 0.41	3.91 ± 0.98	38.6 ± 0.95
Pulp*	Ripe	2.86	1.13	0.29	0.47	4.91
	Unripe	0.86	1.55	0.38	0.74	7.33
Peel	Ripe	58.2 ± 12.5	19.0 ± 5.48	2.81 ± 1.05	2.73 ± 2.48	45.9 ± 4.44
	Unripe	37.6 ± 20.3	11.4 ± 1.24	2.46 ± 0.01	2.97 ± 0.01	41.7 ± 0.69
Seed	Ripe	28.0 ± 6.56	14.5 ± 1.61	0.79 ± 0.45	9.09 ± 3.42	27.4 ± 1.23
	Unripe	18.3 ± 2.15	19.0 ± 0.52	0.89 ± 0.44	3.59 ± 0.01	36.9 ± 6.13
Topsoil		10.6 ± 0.33	32.6 ± 0.57	2.54 ± 0.35	23.16 ± 4.58	35458 ± 1957
WHO permissil	ble limit in plant	10	0.60	10	2	
WHO permissi	ible limit in soil	36	50	35	85	

Note: * = wet weight basis; values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation; numbers in bold represent values above WHO limit.

In general, the concentrations of Cu, Zn and Pb in all parts of dried guava were above the World Health Organization (WHO) permissible limits of 10, 0.60 and 2 mg/kg respectively. However, this study also revealed that Cu, Zn, Ni, and Pb concentration in the topsoil of Kluang were all below the WHO permissible limits of 36, 50, 35, and 85 mg/kg respectively.

In another local guava plantation situated in Bidor, Perak, on the northern part of Peninsular Malaysia, Ang and Ng [21] reported that the metal concentrations (mg/kg dry weight) in the seedless guava as Cu (4.61 for agricultural land; 3.74 for ex-mining land), Zn (11.6; 8.74), Ni (0.18; 0.55), and Pb (2.44; 8.71). Outside Malaysia, Waheed et al. [7] reported that the concentrations of Fe and Zn in the guava from local markets of Pakistan were 70 mg/kg and 29.5 mg/kg dry weight respectively. Yami et al. [23] reported that the metal concentrations (mg/kg dry weight) in the edible portion of guava collected from Nura Era Farm of Ethiopia as Cu (1.20), Zn (1.40), and Fe (5.60). Another study in Pakistan by Abrar et al. [24] discovered that the values of Pb in guava planted along Sagian Wala Bypass, Lahore, were ranged from 6.57 to 13.10 mg/kg. Thus, it is sensible to assume that guava is a good heavy metal accumulator.

The results showed that different parts of guava accumulate these metals at different concentrations. The concentrations of Cu, Zn, Ni and Fe in the peels were higher than those in the seeds and pulps (except for unripe seeds). However, for Pb, the value was higher in seeds than those in peels and pulps. This could be explained by the different ability of metal accumulation in the different tissues, pesticides residues, and atmospheric deposition on the surface of the fruit. The higher metal levels in the peels suggest that total washing and peeling off the fruits are needed before consuming the fruits. Nonetheless, the higher level of Pb in the pulps than the peels indicates the needs for regular monitoring of heavy metals for consumer safety.

The levels of Cu, Zn, Ni and Fe were higher in the ripe pulps and peels than in the unripe pulps and peels. In seeds, the levels of Cu and Pb were greater in the ripe seeds than those in the unripe seeds, while levels of Zn, Ni and Fe were higher in the unripe seeds than those in the ripe seeds. The different levels of metals between ripe and unripe parts of guava could be due to differences in water content and the maturity stage of the tree that bear the fruits [9]. Yang et al. [10] stated that the factors influencing the metal levels in plants and habitat topsoil which included soil condition, climate, irrigation, agricultural practices, atmospheric deposition, degree of maturity of the plant and fruit at the time of harvesting. The relatively lower levels of Cu, Zn, Ni, and Fe in the guava fruits (both ripe and unripe) could be attributed to these nutrients are required for plant growth with good management practices. The application of pesticides and fertilizers in this plantation followed the recommended dosages.

The abundance of heavy metals in the ripe guava's pulps was in the order: Fe > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ni. The metals were dominated by Fe, Cu, and Zn as these nutrients play major roles in optimal plant growth and major enzymatic activities [33]. Zn acts as an important activator of enzymes in synthesizing the hormone precursor [34], while Fe is essential in chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthesis [33].

Fe content in guava was found abundant in peels followed by pulps and seeds. The trend was differed than the previous study by [22], where Fe was found abundant in seeds followed by peels and pulps. Pulps of guava obtained from agricultural land in Bidor showed distribution of Zn, Cu and Pb of 11.62, 4.61 and 2.44 mg/kg dry weight respectively [21]. According to Radwan and Salama [8], the concentration of Zn and Cu were the highest compared to Pb and Cd in multiple fruits species sampled from a local market in Alexandria city, Egypt.

Fe was the most abundant metal in the topsoil followed by Zn, Pb, Cu and Ni with the values of 35458, 32.6, 23.2, 10.6, and 2.54 mg/kg respectively. The excessive level of Fe could be due to its present in the earth crust. According to [27], Fe has high natural concentration in soil and does not enrich from anthropogenic sources. The low Cu levels in Kluang's topsoil could be due to the acidic type of soils caused by the leaching of Cu at the surface (0-30cm), which then increases the acidity in the soil [35].

3.2 Ecological risk assessment

The values of Cf, Er, and PERI are presented in Table 3. The Cf values for the Cu, Zn and Ni were 0.41, 0.34 and 0.05 respectively, indicates 'low contamination factor' (Cf < 1; [26]). However, Pb showed Cf value of 1.52, indicates 'moderate contamination factor' ($1 \le Cf < 3$; [26]). The plantation area was far away from main roads and industrial activities. Thus, the area was less subjected to pollution. The value of Cf in the decreasing order was Pb > Cu > Zn > Ni.

The Er values for the four metals range from 0.25-7.58. According to Hakanson [26], the Er value of less than 40 indicates low potential ecological risk posed by the availability of those metals in the soil. The pattern for Er in this study was similar to Cf, i.e. Pb > Cu > Zn > Ni.

Heavy metals	Cf	Er	
Cu	0.41	2.06	_
Zn	0.34	0.34	
Ni	0.05	0.25	
Pb	1.52	7.58	
PERI		10.23	

Table 3. Values of contamination factor (Cf), ecological risk (Er) and potential ecological risk index (PERI) in the topsoils of guava plantation in Kluang

The PERI value was calculated at 10.2, indicates low ecological risk (PERI < 150; [26]). In Kluang's plantation area, the soil is acidic with pH of 3-4. According to Ang and Ng [21], plants absorbing the heavy metal in the soil condition under acidic when pH is less than 5.0. The plantation owner treated the soil with agricultural limestone to neutralize the acidic soil condition. Thus, the plant can be cultivated productively. The usage of chemical pesticides and fertilizers were only carried out once a year. In general, they practice a good and ecological type farming.

Overall, the metal concentrations from this study have yet to exceed the preindustrial reference levels [26, 29].

3.3 Health risk assessment

The health risk assessment was carried out in relation to its carcinogenic effects as well as non-carcinogenic effects based on calculation of EDI and THQ. Table 4 showed the values of EDI and THQ in the edible pulps of guava. The contribution of heavy metals to the EDI for all consumers followed the order of Fe > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ni.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 4.} Estimated daily intake (EDI) ($\mu g/kg/day$) and target hazard quotient (THQ) (mg/kg) of heavy metals in the edible pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the support of the pulps of the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of the pulps of the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults and children of 60 kg and 19.25 kg body weight respectively are the pulps of guava by average adults are the pulps of guava by average adults and the pulps of guava by average adults are the pulps of guava by average adults are the pulps of guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults are the guava by average adults and guava by average adults and guava by average adu$

		Cu	Zn	Ni	Pb	Fe	Cu	Zn	Ni	Pb	Fe
				EDI					THQ		
Adult (CR = 150)	ALC	7.15	2.83	0.73	1.18	12.28	0.179	0.009	0.036	0.235	0.018
	HLC	2.15	3.88	0.95	1.85	18.33	0.054	0.013	0.048	0.370	0.026
Adult ($CR = 300$)	ALC	14.30	5.65	1.45	2.35	24.55	0.358	0.019	0.073	0.235	0.035
	HLC	4.30	7.75	1.90	3.70	36.65	0.108	0.026	0.095	0.370	0.052
Children ($CR = 50$)	ALC	7.43	2.94	0.75	1.22	12.75	0.186	0.010	0.038	0.235	0.018
	HLC	2.23	4.03	0.99	1.92	19.04	0.056	0.013	0.049	0.370	0.027
Children (CR = 100)	ALC	14.86	5.87	1.51	2.44	25.51	0.371	0.020	0.075	0.235	0.036
	HLC	4.47	8.05	1.97	3.84	38.08	0.112	0.027	0.099	0.370	0.054

Note: ALC: Average level consumer; HLC: High level consumer; CR = consumption rate.

The THQ values for Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb and Fe were all below 1 for both adults and children. Yap, et al. [25] described that the value of THQ of more than 1 will indicate the level of exposure is higher than ORD, which means the daily consumption of the fruits within the level has high possibility to cause negative health effects to consumers. In general, the consumers will pose no risk if the value is below 1. Thus, the current result indicates that there should be no non-carcinogenic risks of all five metals if the fruit were consumed.

4. Conclusions

This study indicates that the levels of Cu, Zn and Pb found in the pulps, peels and seeds of guava were above the WHO stipulated limits. However, the study also concluded that the metal levels in the soils were lower than the safe limits set by WHO. The abundance level of metals in this fruit was in the order Fe > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ni. The Cf values for the heavy metals indicated low-to-moderate contamination factors. The Er values for the metals indicated a low potential ecological risk. Based on the THQ values we concluded that no potential health risk is involved in consuming the guava. The consumers are considered to be safe. Nonetheless, regular monitoring of heavy metals should be conducted to examine for any possible human health risk of heavy metals when the guavas are to be consumed. Such monitoring is required for the consumer well-being. These findings could be used as a reference for further study.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the partial financial support provided through the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS), [Vote no.: 5524953], by Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- [1] Naseer S, Hussain S, Naeem N, Pervaiz M, Rahman M. The phytochemistry and medicinal value of Psidium guajava (guava). *Clinical Phytoscience*. 2018; 4: 32.
- [2] Singh SP. Guava (Psidium guajava L.). In: *Postharvest Biology and Technology of Tropical and Subtropical Fruits. Cocona to Mango*. Woodhead Publishing. 2011. pp. 213-246.
- [3] Lim YY, Lim TT, Tee JJ. Antioxidant properties of several tropical fruits: A comparative study. *Food Chemistry*. 2007; 103: 1003-1008.
- [4] Sharma V, Shukla RK, Saxena N, Parmar D, Das M, Dhawan A. DNA damaging potential of zinc oxide nanoparticles in human epidermal cells. *Toxicology Letters*. 2009; 185: 211-218.
- [5] Liu H, Probst A, Liao B. Metal contamination of soils and crops affected by the Chenzhou lead/zinc mine spill (Hunan, China). *Science of the Total Environment*. 2005; 339:153-166.
- [6] Khairiah J, Zalifah MK, Yin YH, Aminah A. The uptake of heavy metals by fruit type vegetables grown in selected agricultural areas. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2004; 7: 1438-1442.
- [7] Waheed S, Siddique N, Rahman A, Zaidi JH, Ahmad S. INAA for dietary assessment of essential and other trace elements in fourteen fruits harvested and consumed in Pakistan. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 2004; 260: 523-531.
- [8] Radwan M, Salama A. Market basket survey for some heavy metals in Egyptian fruits and vegetables. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2006; 44: 1273-1278.
- [9] Li JT, Liao B, Lan CY, Qiu JW, Shu WS. Zinc, nickel and cadmium in carambolas marketed in Guangzhou and Hong Kong, China: implication for human health. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2007; 388: 405-412.
- [10] Yang Q, Li H, Long F. Heavy metals of vegetables and soils of vegetable bases in Chongqing, Southwest China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.* 2007; 130: 271-279.
- [11] Guerra F, Trevizam AR, Muraoka T, Marcante NC, Canniatti-Brazaca SG. Heavy metals in vegetables and potential risk for human health. *Scientia Agricola*. 2012; 69: 54-60.
- [12] Khairiah J, Saad BS, Habibah J, Salem N, Semail AB. Heavy metal content in soils and vegetables grown in an Inland valley of terengganu and a river delta of Kelantan, Malaysia. *Environmental and Earth Sciences Research Journal*. 2014; 6: 307-312.
- [13] Cherfi A, Abdoun S, Gaci O. Food survey: Levels and potential health risks of chromium, lead, zinc and copper content in fruits and vegetables consumed in Algeria. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2014; 70: 48-53.
- [14] Yaacob A, Chee Kong Y, Nulit R, Omar H, Abdo Al-Shami S, Riyahi Bakhtiari A. Assessment of health risks of the toxic Cd and Pb between leafy and fruit vegetables collected from selected farming areas of Peninsular Malaysia. *Integrative Food, Nutrition and Metabolism.* 2010; 5.
- [15] Yaacob A, Yap CK, Nulit1 R, Omar1 H, Al-Shami2 SA, Bakhtiari AR. A comparative study of health risks of Fe and Ni in the vegetables collected from selected farming areas of Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Aquatic Pollution and Toxicology*. 2018; 2.
- [16] Yaacob A, Yap CK, Omar H, Aris AZ, Latif MT. Health risks of essential Cu and Zn via consumption of vegetables and relationships with the habitat topsoils from three farming areas of Peninsular Malaysia. *Soil Pollution: Sources, Management Strategies and Health Effects.* 2019; 229-260.
- [17] Yap CK, Yaacob A, Hafiz M, Nulit R, Chee S, Chee Seng L. Heavy metals in Bitter Gourd (Momordica charantia): Human health risk assessment. *ARC Journal of Nutrition and Growth*. 2019; 5: 1-5.
- [18] Yap CK, Zaid MZC, Nulit R, Leow CS. Heavy metals (Cu, Ni and Zn) in chili (Capsicum annuum) collected from selected farms in Selangor and their human health risk assessments. *EC Nutrition*. 2019; 15: 1-6.
- [19] Yap CK, Yaacob A, Wong KW, Nulit R, Nallapan M, Mohd Hafiz Ibrahim MH, Leow CS, et al. Human health risks of heavy metals in okra (Abelmochus esculentus) and lettuce (Lactuta sativa) collected from selected farms in Peninsular Malaysia. *Food Science & Nutrition Technology*. 2019; 4(3): 000180.
- [20] Yap CK, Cheng WH, Wong KW, Yaacob A, Razalai R, Leow CS, Peng SHT, Ismail MS, Yap CW, He Y, et al. Health risks of essential Ni and Fe via consumption of water spinach Ipomoea aquatica collected from Peninsular Malaysia. *Annals of Environmental Science and Toxicology*. 2020; 4: 1-4.

- [21] Ang L, Ng LT. Trace element concentration in Mango (Mangifera indica L.), Seedless Guava (Psidium guajava L.) and Papaya (Carica papaya L.) grown on agricultural and ex-mining lands of Bidor, Perak. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture Science*. 2000; 23: 15-22.
- [22] Khairiah J, Ding-Woei Y, Habibah J, Ahmad-Mahi R, Aminah A, Ismail BS. Concentration of heavy metals in guava plant parts and soil in the Sungai Wangi Plantation, Perak, Malaysia. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2009; 4: 310-316.
- [23] Yami SG, Chandravanshi BS, Wondimu T, Abuye C. Assessment of selected nutrients and toxic metals in fruits, soils and irrigation waters of Awara Melka and Nura Era farms, Ethiopia. *SpringerPlus*. 2016; 5: 747.
- [24] Abrar A, Mushtaq M, Abbas M, Shahzadi R. Determination of lead in guava (Psidium guajava) planted near Sagian Wala Bypass, Lahore. *Pure and Applied Biology*. 2017; 6.
- [25] Yap CK, Cheng WH, Karami A, Ismail A. Health risk assessments of heavy metal exposure via consumption of marine mussels collected from anthropogenic sites. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2016; 553: 285-296.
- [26] Hakanson L. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control a sedimentological approach. *Water Research*. 1980; 14: 975-1001.
- [27] Abrahim GMS, Parker RJ. Assessment of heavy metal enrichment factors and the degree of contamination in marine sediments from Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New Zealand. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2008; 136: 227-238.
- [28] Cheng WH, Yap CK. Potential human health risks from toxic metals via mangrove snail consumption and their ecological risk assessments in the habitat sediment from Peninsular Malaysia. *Chemosphere*. 2015; 135: 156-165.
- [29] Wedepohl KH. The composition of the continental crust. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 1995; 59: 1217-1232.
- [30] Yen ST, Tan AKG, Jr RMN. Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption in Malaysia: An ordinal system approach. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*. 2011; 55: 239-256.
- [31] US EPA. Regional Screening Levels (RSLs)-Generic Tables. US EPA. 2015,
- [32] FAO/WHO. *Joint FAO/WHO food standards programme, Codex alimentarius commission, Twenty-fourth session.* Food and Agriculture Organization, World Health Organization; 2001.
- [33] Hopkins WG. Introduction to Plant Physiology. Wiley; 1999.
- [34] Maschner H. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press; 1986.
- [35] Merian E. Metals and Their Compounds in the Environment: Occurrence, Analysis and Biological Relevance. Wiley; 1990.